Virginty tests on immigrants at Heathrow Melanie Phillips Social Services Correspondent *The Guardian (1959-2003);* Feb 1, 1979; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: The Guardian and The Observer pg. 1



By Melanie Phillips, Social Services Correspondent

Immigrant women are being subjected to intimate gynaecological examination on entry to Britain. The Home Office claims that this helps them to identify women attempting to enter the country illegally.

It says that medical evidence produced by such examinations enable the immigration author-ities to decide whether the women are bona fide fiances, entitled to enter without going through lengthy clearance pro-cedure, or whether they are married. In that case they would need special clearance— an entry certificate granted in their country of origin. their country of origin.

The Home Office admits that in a specific case, of which the Guardian has details, an exam-ination was conducted to "de-termine whether the woman was a flancee."

clear implication is that Lindu woman was found The if a Hindu woman was found to be a virgin—which in this case she was—she would be believed to be unmarried; and that if she was found to be not a virgin she would be believed to be married.

to be married. The Home Office confirmed last night that an examination was conducted by a port medi-cal officer on a 35-year-old Indian schoolteacher who arrived at Heathrow last week. The woman, who was brought from India by her flance, signed a form which gave her consent. to "a gynaecological examination, which may be vaginal if necessary." The woman, who has asked

The woman, who has asked not to be named, told the Guardian she only signed because she was not familiar with British law and was frigh-tened that she would be sent back to Delhi.

Miss Jo Richardson, Labour MP for Barking, who has said she is "appalled by this mon-strous practice," is to raise the matter in the Commons. A gynaecologist said last night that the case sounded "obscene."

The woman teacher said that soon after arrival she was sent for a medical examination by immigration officials. A woman who spoke Hindi told her to take off all her clothes. "Then a man doctor came in. I asked to be seen by a lady doctor, but they said no."

She was given nothing with which to cover herself. "I

She was given nothing with which to cover herself. "I asked for a dressing gown but it was not provided. I was most reluctant to have the examination, but I didn't know whether it was normal practice here. The doctor said, through the nurse interpreter, that he thought I had been pregnant before, and I said that before marriage no Indian lady would do that sort of thing."

do that sort of thing." The interpreter then trans-lated the consent form for her, and she signed it. "I was frightened that otherwise they would send me back." The doc-tor then began to examine her. "He was wearing rubber gloves and took some medicine out of a tube and put it on some cotton and inserted it into me. He said he was decid-ing whether I was pregnant now or had been pregnant before. I said that he could see that without doing anything to me, but he said there was no need to get shy.

to me, but he said there was no need to get shy. "I have been feeling very bad mentally ever since. I was very embarrassed and upset. I had never had a gynaecological examination before."

examination before." The Home Office disputes some facts. A spokesman said last night that the woman had been given only a cursory examination that was not in-ternal. She had not been re-quired to remove all her clothes. Under immigration rules, potential immigrants

could be examined by medical officers at the ports. "An immigration officer may not be satisfied that a pas-senger is who he or she says they are and may think they are seeking admission by de-ception," the spokesman said. "In this case the officer re-ferred this passenger to the port medical officer to see whether she was, in fact, a bona fide virgin, or fiancée. After a cursory examination the medical officer said that these suspicions could be re-moved. "The medical officer con-

moved. "The medical officer con-cerned has informed us that there was no internal examin-ation and that he very quickly and decently established that she was virgo intacto. If a woman refused such an exam-ination," he said, "this would be taken into account." but she would not be refused entry solely on that account. "The port medical officers, he

solely on that account. The port medical officers, he said, send the examination re-sults back to the immigration officials, and the records would probably be maintained in a confidential way. "It is not standard practice for all immigrant women. It depends on the immigration officer and whether or not he is satisfied that the passenger is all he or she claims to be." Passengers were not normally asked for their consent to such examina-tions and he had not been able **Turn to back page, col. 5** Turn to back page, col. 5

• Below : The consent form used by the Immigration Office

HM IMMIGRATION OFFICE Terminal 3 London (Heathrow) Airport Hounslow Middleser TW6 IND Telephane or -297 9631 ext	
	Your reference
	Our reference
	Date 24-1-79
This is to certify that I Wiss agree to a gynaeological examination which may be a vaginal if necessary. This statement has been read to me in my own mother tongue a and is fully understood by me. <u>Signed</u> <u>Witnessed</u> S. RetucceAPter.	

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Airport officials hold virginity screenings

Continued from page one to establish why this had been done in this case.

Minority groups have long claimed that immigrant women have been subjected to such examinations, Miss Mary Dines, who once worked for the Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants at Heathrow, said yesterday that in 1968 she had been told by immigration officials that they had found out whether two immigrant girls were virgins or not.

She had been so norrified, she said, that she approached Mr Merlyn Rees, then a junior Minister at the Home Office, who had told her, when she said she could provide chapter and verse, that he would do something about it. "Mr Robert Winston, a gynaecologist at Hammersmith Hospital, said last night that the teacher's: examination seemed

scandalous and almost obscene. He disputed the Home Office's insistence that the examination had not been internal.

"If a doctor takes a lubicant out of a tube and puts on gloves, then what else can he be going to do?" he said, "Any kind of internal examination for a woman can be extremely e motional and psychologically disturbing and cannot be the equivalent of, for example, listening to the heart. This is even more so for a Hindu."

Moreover, he added, the examination described by the woman would not prove whether she had ever been pregnant, would not conclusively prove whether she was pregnant at the time, and might not even prove whether she was a virgin. He also questioned the validity of the consent form.

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.