[10]

[10]

## **Stochastic Models of Complex Systems**

## Problem sheet 2

Sheet counts 40/100 homework marks, [x] indicates weight of the question. \* Questions do not enter the mark.

## 2.1 Birth-death processes

A birth-death process X is a continuous-time Markov chain with state space  $S = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, ...\}$  and jump rates

 $i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} i + 1$  for all  $i \in S$ ,  $i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} i - 1$  for all  $i \ge 1$ .

- (a) Write the generator G and the master equation. Under which conditions is X irreducible? Using detailed balance, find a formula for the stationary probabilities  $\pi_k^*$  in terms of  $\pi_0^*$ .
- (b) Suppose α<sub>i</sub> = α for i ≥ 0 and β<sub>i</sub> = β for i > 0. This is called an M/M/1 queue.
   Under which conditions on α and β can the stationary distribution be normalized? Give a formula for π<sup>\*</sup><sub>k</sub> in that case.

- Derive a differential equation for  $\mu_t = \mathbb{E}(X_t)$  (involves  $\pi_0(t)$  on the right-hand side), and show that with  $\pi(0) = \pi^*$  the right-hand side vanishes.

(c) Suppose α<sub>i</sub> = α and β<sub>i</sub> = iβ for i ≥ 0. This is called an M/M/∞ queue.
Under which conditions on α and β can the stationary distribution be normalized? Give a formula for π<sup>\*</sup><sub>k</sub> in that case.

- Derive a closed equation for  $\mu_t$  and solve it for general initial condition  $\mu_0$ .

- (d) Suppose  $\alpha_i = i\alpha$ ,  $\beta_i = i\beta$  for  $i \ge 0$  and  $X_0 = 1$ .
  - Discuss qualitatively the behaviour of  $X_t$  as  $t \to \infty$ .
  - Derive a closed equation for  $\mu_t$  and solve it for general initial condition  $\mu_0$ .

## 2.2 Contact process

Consider the CP  $(\eta_t : t \ge 0)$  on the complete graph  $\Lambda = \{1, \ldots, L\}$  (all sites connected) with state space  $S = \{0, 1\}^L$  and transition rates

$$c(\eta, \eta^x) = \eta(x) + \lambda \left(1 - \eta(x)\right) \sum_{y \neq x} \eta(y) \, ,$$

where  $\eta, \eta^x \in S$  are connected states such that  $\eta^x(y) = \begin{cases} 1 - \eta(x) & , y = x \\ \eta(y) & , y \neq x \end{cases}$ , ( $\eta$  with site x flipped).

- (a) Let N<sub>t</sub> = ∑<sub>x∈Λ<sub>L</sub></sub> η<sub>t</sub>(x) ∈ {0,..., L} be the number of infected sites at time t. Show that (N<sub>t</sub> : t ≥ 0) is a Markov chain with state space {0,..., L} by computing the transition rates c(n, m) for n, m ∈ {0,..., L}. Write down the master equation for the process (N<sub>t</sub> : t ≥ 0).
- (b) Is the process  $(N_t : t \ge 0)$  irreducible, does it have absorbing states? What are the stationary distributions?

(c) Assume that  $\mathbb{E}(N_t^k) = \mathbb{E}(N_t)^k$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . This is called a **mean-field assumption**, meaning basically that we replace the random variable  $N_t$  by its expected value. Use this assumption to derive the **mean-field rate equation** for  $\rho(t) := \mathbb{E}(N_t)/L$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho(t) = f(\rho(t)) = -\rho(t) + L\lambda(1-\rho(t))\rho(t) .$$

(d) Analyze this equation by finding the stable and unstable stationary points via  $f(\rho^*) = 0$ . What is the prediction for the stationary density  $\rho^*$  depending on  $\lambda$ ?

**2.3 Simulation of CP** (Sample code on the course webpage) [20] Consider the contact process  $(\eta_t : t \ge 0)$  as defined in Q2.2, but now on the one-dimensional lattice  $\Lambda_L = \{1, \ldots, L\}$  with connections only between nearest neighbours and periodic boundary conditions.

The critical value  $\lambda_c$  is defined such that the infection on the infinite lattice  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$  started from the fully infected lattice dies out for  $\lambda < \lambda_c$ , and survives for  $\lambda > \lambda_c$ . It is known numerically up to several digits, depends on the dimension, and lies in the interval [1,2] in our case.

All simulations of the process should be done with initial condition  $\eta_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \Lambda$ .

(a) To get a general idea, simulate the process for e.g. L = 256 for several values of  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ . Plot the number of infected individuals  $N_t = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \eta_t(x)$  as a function of time up to time  $10 \times L$ , averaging over 100 realizations in a double-logarithmic plot. What is the expected behaviour of  $N_t$  depending on  $\lambda$ ?

For a given system size L, find the window of interest choosing  $\lambda = 1, 1.2, ..., 1.8, 2$ averaging over 100 realizations with times up to  $10 \times L$ . Then use fine increments of 0.01 for  $\lambda$  and averages of at least 500 realizations to find an estimate of the critical value  $\lambda_c(L) \in [1, 2]$ .

Repeat this for different lattice sizes, e.g. L = 128, 256, 512, 1024, and plot your estimates of  $\lambda_c(L)$  against 1/L. Extrapolate to  $1/L \rightarrow 0$  to get an estimate of  $\lambda_c = \lambda_c(\infty)$ . This approach is called **finite size scaling**, in order to correct for systematic **finite size effects** which influence the critical value.

(b) Let T be the hitting time of state  $\eta = 0$ , i.e. the lifetime of the infection. Measure the lifetime of the infection for  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\lambda = 2$  by running the process until extinction of the epidemic.

For  $\lambda = 1 < \lambda_c$  we expect  $T \propto C \log L$ +small fluctuations for some C > 0. So use large system sizes e.g. L = 128, 256, 512, 1024 (or larger), confirm that  $\mathbb{E}(T)$  scales like  $\log L$  and determine C by averaging at least 200 realizations of T for each L. Then shift your data  $T_i$  for each L by  $T_i - \mathbb{E}(T)$  and plot the 'empirical tail' of the distribution of the shifted data (use log-scale on the y-axis).

For  $\lambda = 2 > \lambda_c$  we expect  $T \sim Exp(1/\mu)$  to be an exponential random variable with mean  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(T) \propto e^{CL}$  for some C > 0. So use \*small\* system sizes e.g. L = 8, 10, 12, 14 (see how far you can go), confirm that  $\mathbb{E}(T)$  scales like  $e^{CL}$  and determine C by averaging at least 200 realizations of T. Then rescale your data  $T_i$  for each L by  $T_i/\mathbb{E}(T)$  and plot the 'empirical tail' of the distribution of the rescaled data (use log-scale on the y-axis).

The **empirical tail** of data  $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_M)$  is the statistic  $tail_t(T) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbb{1}_{T_i > t}$ . This decays from 1 to 0 as a (random) function of time t.

BINNING DESTROYS INFORMATION  $\rightarrow$  AVOID HISTOGRAMS!

- (c)\* Repeat the analysis of (a) on the fully connected graph  $\Lambda_L$ , and compare your estimate of  $\lambda_c$  with the mean-field prediction from Q2.2.
- (d)\* For  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\eta_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \Lambda$ , derive a formula for the distribution of the lifetime T of the infection. (Hint: google 'extreme value statistics' and 'Gumbel distribution'.)