

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION

# American Stroke Association

A Division of American Heart Association

**Excess Body Weight and Incidence of Stroke: Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies With 2 Million Participants** Pasquale Strazzullo, Lanfranco D'Elia, Giulia Cairella, Francesca Garbagnati,

Francesco P. Cappuccio and Luca Scalfi Stroke 2010;41;e418-e426; originally published online Mar 18, 2010; DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.576967

Stroke is published by the American Heart Association. 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 72514 Copyright © 2010 American Heart Association. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0039-2499. Online ISSN: 1524-4628

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://stroke.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/41/5/e418

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Stroke is online at http://stroke.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/

Permissions: Permissions & Rights Desk, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, a division of Wolters Kluwer Health, 351 West Camden Street, Baltimore, MD 21202-2436. Phone: 410-528-4050. Fax: 410-528-8550. E-mail: journalpermissions@lww.com

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at http://www.lww.com/reprints

## **Excess Body Weight and Incidence of Stroke** Meta-Analysis of Prospective Studies With 2 Million Participants

Pasquale Strazzullo, MD; Lanfranco D'Elia, MD; Giulia Cairella, MD; Francesca Garbagnati, PhD; Francesco P. Cappuccio, MD, FRCP; Luca Scalfi, MD

- *Background and Purpose*—A systematic review of the prospective studies addressing the relationship of overweight and obesity to major stroke subtypes is lacking. We evaluated the occurrence of a graded association between overweight, obesity, and incidence of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke by a meta-analysis of cohort studies.
- *Methods*—A search of online databases and relevant reviews was performed. Inclusion criteria were original article in English, prospective study design, follow-up ≥4 years, indication of number of subjects exposed, and number of events across body mass index categories. Crude unadjusted relative risk (RR) and 95% CI were calculated for each study for overweight or obese compared with normal-weight categories. Log-transformed values and SE were used to calculate the pooled RR with random effects models; publication bias was checked. Additional analyses were performed using the multivariate estimates of risk reported in the individual studies.
- *Results*—Twenty-five studies were included, with 2 274 961 participants and 30 757 events. RR for ischemic stroke was 1.22 (95% CI, 1.05–1.41) for overweight and 1.64 (95% CI, 1.36–1.99) for obesity, whereas RR for hemorrhagic stroke was 1.01 (95% CI, 0.88–1.17) and 1.24 (95% CI, 0.99–1.54), respectively. Subgroup and meta-regression analyses ruled out gender, population average age, body mass index and blood pressure, year of recruitment, year of study publication, and length of follow-up as significant sources of heterogeneity. The additional analyses relying on the published multivariate estimates of risk provided qualitatively similar results.
- *Conclusions*—Overweight and obesity are associated with progressively increasing risk of ischemic stroke, at least in part, independently from age, lifestyle, and other cardiovascular risk factors. (*Stroke*. 2010;41:e418-e426.)

Key Words: body mass index ■ cerebrovascular disease ■ excess body weight ■ meta-analysis ■ stroke

 ${f S}$  troke is a major cause of death in developed countries. Its prevalence and disability burden are expected to increase in the future because of population aging.<sup>1</sup> Besides age, risk factors include hypertension, smoking, diabetes mellitus, left ventricular hypertrophy, and atrial fibrillation.<sup>2</sup> Obesity is a precursor of hypertension, diabetes, and their complications, which play an important indirect role in the epidemiology of stroke; moreover, it is associated with the action of powerful cytokines impacting on the sympathetic nervous system activity, the renin-angiotensin axis, the endothelial function, and the microcirculation.<sup>3</sup>

Randomized, controlled trials of the effects of treating obesity on the risk of stroke are lacking. Recently, a large collaborative study provided prospective results about the relationship between obesity and mortality from stroke on a total population of nearly 900 000 individuals, mainly from Western countries, but it did not provide incidence rates, which are actually a more informative index of the burden imposed by stroke on the community.<sup>4</sup> Another recent study

was a meta-analysis that did provide information on the rate of stroke (and other comorbidities) but included only 7 studies, for a total population of 150 000 participants, all from Western countries.<sup>5</sup> Three other less recent meta-analyses included only populations from Eastern countries and were mostly focused on the relation with subarachnoid hemorrhage.<sup>6–8</sup>

We report here on a systematic review and meta-analysis of the prospective studies published up to May 2009 that addressed the relationship between excess body weight and stroke in a total population of  $\approx$ 2 million subjects from both Western and Eastern countries. We aimed to establish whether a graded association occurs between excess body weight and stroke, whether the features of this association are different for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, given the differences in the epidemiology and pathogenesis of the 2 main stroke subtypes, and whether multivariate adjustment for various potential confounders modifies the strength of the association.

Stroke is available at http://stroke.ahajournals.org

Received December 21, 2009; accepted December 30, 2009.

From Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine (P.S., L.D.), Department of Food Science (L.S.), "Federico II" University of Naples, Naples, Italy; CeSAR–IRCCS S. Lucia Foundation (G.C., F.G.), Rome, Italy; University of Warwick (F.P.), Warwick Medical School, Clinical Sciences Research Institute, Coventry, UK.

Correspondence to Pasquale Strazzullo, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, ESH Excellence Center for Hypertension, "Federico II" University Medical School, via S. Pansini, 5, 80131 Naples, Italy. E-mail strazzul@unina.it

<sup>© 2010</sup> American Heart Association, Inc.

## Materials and Methods

### Data Sources and Study Selection Criteria

A literature search of the online databases (PUBMED, EMBASE, HTA) from January 1966 through May 2009 was performed using the following key words: "BMI," "body mass index," "overweight AND stroke," "cerebrovascular disease," or combinations thereof either in medical subject headings or in the title/abstract. Further information was retrieved through a manual search of references from recent reviews and relevant published original studies.

To be included in the meta-analysis, a published study had to meet the following criteria: (1) original article in English; (2) prospective (cohort) study design; (3) follow-up of at least 4 years (mean or median); (4) adult population; and (5) indication of the number of subjects exposed and the number of events occurred in different body mass index (BMI) categories.

### **Data Extraction**

The following characteristics of the identified studies and respective populations were recorded: publication reference, total number of participants, country, gender, age range/mean age, recruitment time, length of follow-up (years), stroke type investigated (total/ischemic/hemorrhagic), number of events, and stroke incidence. For each study, the data were independently extracted by 2 investigators (L.D., F.G.) and, in case of divergent evaluation, the discrepancy was resolved in conference with arbitration by a third investigator (P.S.). In the case of missing data, the authors were contacted and asked to provide the necessary information.

Categorization of excess body weight differed among studies, and in some cases it was necessary to combine ≥2 BMI subgroups to comply with the conventional normal weight (BMI <25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), overweight (BMI between 25 and 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) categories for Western populations.<sup>9</sup> For Eastern populations, the categories' cut-offs were BMI <23 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for normal weight, 23 to 27.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for overweight, and >27.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for the obese subjects.<sup>10</sup>

### **Statistical Analysis**

The quality of the studies included in the meta-analysis was evaluated by the Downs and Black score system.11 We calculated an unadjusted relative risk (RR) and its 95% CI for each study as a measure of stroke incidence among overweight and obese individuals in comparison with normal-weight participants, respectively, using the formula: RR=(Eo/Eo+NEo)/(Enw/Enw+NEnw), where E=events, NE=not events, o=overweight and/or obesity, and nw=normal weight. The respective SE were calculated from the RR CI. The value from each study and the corresponding SE were transformed into their natural logarithms to stabilize the variances and to normalize their distribution. Pooled RR and their 95% CI were estimated using both fixed effects (weighting method: inverse variance) and random effects (weighting method: DerSimonian-Laird) models.<sup>12</sup> Eventually, the pooled estimates from random effects models were used because the tests for heterogeneity were statistically significant in all analyses. The heterogeneity among studies was tested by Q statistic and quantified by I<sup>2</sup> statistic.<sup>13</sup> Possible publication bias was investigated by funnel plot asymmetry, Egger regression test, and the trim-and-fill method.14,15

Sensitivity analysis was used to see the extent to which inferences might depend on a particular study or group of studies. Metaregression and subgroup analyses were performed to check for specific sources of heterogeneity.

Finally, further meta-analyses of the relationship between excess body weight and incidence of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke were performed including all the suitable studies available in the literature (supplemental Figure I, available online at http://stroke.ahajournals. org), provided that the adjusted RR or hazard ratios (HR) were reported by the authors as result of multivariate analyses. Statistical analyses were performed using the MIX software version 1.7<sup>16</sup> and the Stata software (version 9.1) for meta-regression analysis.

## Results

## **Characteristics of the Study Cohorts**

According to the stepwise procedure depicted in supplemental Figure I, 25 studies<sup>17-41</sup> were included in the meta-analysis; their relevant features are reported in Table 1. All the studies had a quality score of at least 15 out of 19. Overall, the meta-analysis involved 2 274 961 participants from 10 countries. Nine studies were from Asia, 10 were from Europe, and 6 were from the United States, whereas no studies were found from Africa and Latin America. The total number of cerebrovascular events reported was 30 757. There were separate reports of 11722 ischemic and 8380 hemorrhagic strokes. Fourteen studies registered fatal and nonfatal strokes, 9 registered only fatal strokes, and 2 registered only nonfatal strokes. For the 7 studies that reported outcomes separately for male and female participants<sup>23,26,28,29,31,34,37</sup> and the 1 reporting separate results for individuals with or without preexisting coronary heart disease,27 the different subgroups were counted as separate cohorts in the meta-analysis; thus, the total number of cohorts identified was 33. It must be noted, however, that for each different comparison made in this study, only a limited number of cohorts was available depending on the type of data provided in the individual studies. The weighted average follow-up time for all the studies included in the meta-analysis was 17.5 years.

## Overweight, Obesity, and Incidence of Total Stroke

The pooled RR of total stroke for overweight and obese subjects combined vs normal-weight individuals was 1.05 (95% CI, 0.89–1.24; z=0.59; P=0.56; 28 cohorts with 2 274 941 participants and 30 767 events). The heterogeneity between studies was significant (P<0.0001;  $I^2=97\%$ ) and there was no evidence of publication bias (Egger test, P=0.98).

The comparison of total stroke rates in obese vs normalweight individuals was based on  $28^{18-27,30-41}$  cohorts (1 800 924 participants and 22 279 events). The pooled RR of obese vs normal-weight subjects was 1.26 (95% CI, 1.07– 1.48; z=2.79; P=0.005), with a significant heterogeneity (P<0.0001;  $I^2=91\%$ ). There was evidence of publication bias (Egger test, P=0.01), but no missing study was identified by the trim-and-fill method.

From 28 cohorts<sup>18–27,30–41</sup> available for the comparison of total stroke rates in overweight vs normal-weight individuals (2 159 827 participants and 27 357 events), the pooled RR (overweight vs normal-weight) was 1.05 (95% CI, 0.93–1.17; z=0.80; P=0.42). Significant heterogeneity (P<0.0001;  $I^2=93\%$ ) was detected, with no conclusive evidence for publication bias and no missing study being identified by the trim-and-fill method. In the sensitivity analysis, for both analyses, the stroke risk did not vary substantially with the exclusion of individual studies.

## Excess Body Weight and Incidence of Ischemic Stroke

The pooled RR of ischemic stroke for overweight and obese subjects combined vs normal-weight individuals was 1.30 (95% CI, 1.06–1.60; z=2.55; P=0.01; 19 cohorts with 1 792 418 participants and 11 170 events). The heterogeneity

	Table 1.	Main	<b>Characteristics</b>	of	the	Studies	Included	in	the	Meta-Ar	nalysis
--	----------	------	------------------------	----	-----	---------	----------	----	-----	---------	---------

		Becruitment		Age					N of Events for Stro		ke Subtype	Study Quality
Author	Country	Time	Follow-Up, yr	Mean	Range	End Point	Gender	Population	Total	Ischemic	Hemorrhagic	Score
Abbott, 199417	US	1965-1968	22	59.8	55-68	Fatal/nonfatal	М	1163		48		16
Walker, 199618	US	1987	5		40-75	Fatal/nonfatal	М	28 643	118			16
Shaper, 199719	GB	1978–1980	14.8		40–59	Fatal/nonfatal	М	7735	290			17
Wassertheil-Smoller, 2000 <sup>20</sup>	US	1985–1988	5	71		Nonfatal	Total	3975	183			17
Kurth, 2002 <sup>21</sup>	US	1982	12.5		40-84	Fatal/nonfatal	М	21 414	747	631	104	16
Jood, 200422	Sweden	1970–1973	28	51.6		Fatal/nonfatal	М	7402	873	495	144	16
Cui, 2005 <sup>23</sup>	Japan	1988–1990	9.9		40-79	Fatal	М	43 889	765	300	271	16
							F	61 039	685	240	288	
Kurth, 200524	US	1993	10	2	≥45	Fatal/nonfatal	F	39 053	432	347	81	18
Tanne, 2005 <sup>25</sup>	Israel	1963-1968	23	2	≥40	Fatal	М	9151	316			15
Murphy, 2006 <sup>26</sup>	Scotland	1972–1976	20	54	45-64	Fatal/nonfatal	М	7048	601			17
							F	8354	687			
Batty, 200627	GB	1967–1970	35		40-64	Fatal (no CHD)	М	14 400	755			16
						Fatal (with CHD)	М	2526	154			
Chen, 200628	Taiwan	1991–1993	10.4	2	≥20	Fatal/nonfatal	М	1499		72		16
							F	1954		60		
Li, 2006 <sup>29</sup>	Sweden	1991–1996	7		45–73	Fatal/nonfatal	М	10 369		315		17
							F	16 638		237		
Lu, 2006 <sup>30</sup>	Sweden	1991–1992	11.4		30–50	Fatal/nonfatal	F	45 449	170	111	47	17
0ki, 2006 <sup>31</sup>	Japan	1980	19	>	>30	Fatal	М	4171	165	101	39	18
							F	5355	154	81	31	
Park, 200632	Korea	1992	9		20–69	Fatal	М	246 146	493			15
Hong, 2007 <sup>33</sup>	Korea	1985	15.8	66.3		Fatal	М	2608	196			17
Hu, 2007 <sup>34</sup>	Finland	1972–1977	19.5		25-74	Fatal/nonfatal	М	23 967	1673	1331	342	17
							F	26 029	1555	1223	332	
Song, 200735	US	1992–1995	10.2		39–89	Nonfatal	Total	25 626	256			16
Funada, 200836	Japan	1994	7		40-79	Fatal	Total	43 916	467	218	140	17
Sauvaget, 200837	India	1996-1998	8	49		Fatal	М	49 284	579			15
							F	78 575	653			
Zhou, 200838	China	1990–1991	10	54	40-79	Fatal	М	211 946	5766	1231	3609	16
Eeg-Olofsson, 200939	Sweden	1997–1998	5.6	60.3	30-74	Fatal/nonfatal	Total	13 087	756			15
Silventoinen, 200940	Sweden	1969–1994	24.4	18.2	16–25	Fatal/nonfatal	М	1 145 467	8865	2944	2747	16
Zhang, 200941	China	1996-2000	7.3	51.3	40-70	Fatal/nonfatal	F	67 083	2403	1737	205	16
Total								2 274 961	30 757	11 722	8380	

CHD indicates coronary heart disease; F, female; M, male.

between studies was significant (P < 0.0001;  $I^2 = 96\%$ ) and there was no evidence of publication bias (Egger test, P = 0.80).

The comparison of ischemic stroke rate in obese vs normal-weight subjects (pooled RR, 1.64; P < 0.0001; data from 18 cohorts with 1 477 909 participants and 7800 events) is shown in Figure 1A. There was significant heterogeneity between studies (P < 0.0001;  $I^2 = 88\%$ ) and evidence of publication bias by the Eggers test (P = 0.002), but no missing study was identified by the trim-and-fill method.

In overweight compared with normal weight individuals, the pooled RR was 1.22 (P=0.01; data from 19 cohorts with 1 715 939 participants and 9444 events; Figure 1B). There was significant heterogeneity between studies (P<0.0001;  $I^2$ =89%) and no evidence of publication bias (Egger test, P=0.32).

Sensitivity analysis showed that the pooled estimate did not vary substantially with the exclusion of any one study. In particular, after exclusion of the study by Silventoinen et al,<sup>40</sup> which accounted for  $\approx$ 50% of all participants in the metaanalysis and nearly 30% of all strokes, the pooled RR was, respectively, 1.62 (95% CI, 1.32–1.98; *P*<0.0001) and 1.21 (95% CI, 1.03–1.43; *P*=0.02) in obese and overweight subjects compared with normal-weight individuals.

### Sources of Heterogeneity

Further analyses were performed to check for potential sources of heterogeneity with respect to the relationship between excess body weight and ischemic stroke. Metaregression was used for continuous variables and subgroup analyses for categorical variables. With both methods, to include the largest possible number of studies, the outcomes for overweight and obese individuals were combined.

The relationship between excess body weight and risk of ischemic stroke was not significantly different in men (pooled RR, 1.21) and women (RR, 1.55; heterogeneity between



Figure 1. A, Risk of ischemic stroke in obese vs normal-weight subjects. B, Risk of ischemic stroke in overweight vs normal-weight subjects.

groups, P=0.20). With regard to the populations' geographical origin, excess body weight seemed to be a better predictor of the risk of ischemic stroke in European and North American populations (pooled RR, 1.55) than in Asian populations (RR, 1.08), with the heterogeneity level between groups approaching, albeit not quite reaching, statistical significance (P=0.10). The results of meta-regression analyses (supplemental Table I, available online at http:// stroke.ahajournals.org) indicated that population average age, baseline BMI and blood pressure, year of recruitment or of study publication, and length of follow-up were not significant sources of heterogeneity in the relationship between excess body weight and ischemic stroke.

## Excess Body Weight and Incidence of Hemorrhagic Stroke

Fourteen cohorts were available for the comparison of hemorrhagic stroke rates in subjects in the obese and overweight category combined vs normal-weight individuals (1 762 795 participants and 8380 events). The pooled RR was 1.06 (95% CI, 0.83–1.36; z=0.47; P=0.64). The heterogeneity between studies was significant (P<0.0001;  $I^2=95\%$ ), with borderline evidence of publication bias (Egger test, P=0.09), but no missing study identified by the trim-and-fill method.

Figure 2 shows the results of separate analyses of the incidence of hemorrhagic stroke in obese (14 cohorts available with 1 461 057 individuals and 6382 events) and overweight (14 cohorts, 1 688 375 participants, and 7855 events) subjects, respectively, vs normal-weight individuals. There was a trend for obesity being associated with a greater risk of hemorrhagic stroke compared to the normal-weight condition (pooled RR, 1.24; P=0.059). However, there was no evidence for different risk of hemorrhagic stroke between overweight and normal-weight subjects (pooled RR, 1.01; P=0.84). Sensitivity analysis showed that the pooled estimates of risk did not vary with the exclusion of individual studies. In both cases, there was significant heterogeneity and no evidence of publication bias.

## Systematic Review of the Studies That Explored the Association Between Excess Body Weight and Stroke: Evidence From Multivariate Analysis

Table 2 illustrates the relevant features and the main results of the studies that provided RR or HR derived from multivariate analyses. The number and the type of confounders accounted for were different for different studies. Some, but not all, studies reported RR or HR obtained by adjustment for age only. Given the importance of the adjustment for age, we first conducted a meta-analysis of these studies. Thereafter, we performed another set of analyses including all the studies included in our basic meta-analysis and using the RR or HR adjusted for all the confounders accounted for in each study.

## **Ischemic Stroke**

The meta-analysis of the studies providing RR or HR adjusted for age only showed evidence of a direct association with both obesity (13 cohorts, pooled RR, 1.60; 95% CI, 1.48–1.72; P<0.0001; heterogeneity, P=0.8; I<sup>2</sup>=0%) and overweight (14 cohorts, pooled RR, 1.20; 95% CI, 1.14–1.26; P < 0.0001; heterogeneity, P = 0.4;  $I^2 = 2\%$ ).

The meta-analysis performed using the RR or HR adjusted for all the confounders accounted for in each study also indicated a direct association with overweight and obesity (Table 2, combined effect I). The results were similar on inclusion of 5 additional studies<sup>42–46</sup> that did not provide crude unadjusted data and thus were not included in the basic meta-analysis (Table 2, combined effect II).

## Hemorrhagic Stroke

For hemorrhagic stroke, the pooled estimates of risk obtained using the reported RR or HR derived from multivariate analyses indicate a statistically significant association with obesity but no evidence of association with overweight (Table 2). After inclusion of the aforementioned 5 additional studies,<sup>42–46</sup> the association between hemorrhagic stroke and obesity was missed (Table 2, combined effect II). Also, the meta-analysis of the studies that provided RR or HR adjusted for age only did not provide evidence of association either with obesity (11 cohorts, pooled RR, 1.19; 95% CI, 0.91– 1.56; P=0.20; heterogeneity, P<0.001;  $I^2=67\%$ ) or with overweight (11 cohorts, pooled RR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.88–1.21; P=0.7; heterogeneity, P=0.06,  $I^2=43\%$ ).

#### Discussion

The present work is the most comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of the prospective relationship of overweight and obesity with the risk of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. Its strengths are selection of precise criteria for study inclusion/exclusion, consideration of fatal and nonfatal events, inclusion of studies of Western and Eastern populations, use of crude unadjusted data providing an unbiased estimate of risk, large number of participants and events entered in the calculation of the pooled estimates of risk, separate analyses of stroke subtypes whenever possible, and further analysis using multivariate estimates of risk.

The most important finding was the demonstration of a graded positive relationship of overweight and obesity with the incidence of ischemic stroke. Based on the pooled estimates of risk, overweight and obese individuals had, respectively, 22% and 64% greater probability of an ischemic stroke compared with normal-weight subjects. The relationship was not significantly different in men and women, nor did it differ in relation to the average blood pressure level of the populations examined or to the length of follow-up. By contrast, the geographical origin of the study population appeared to be an important source of heterogeneity, with the impact of excess body weight being highly significant in European and North American cohorts but not in Asian populations, which had a low prevalence of obesity. The association between excess body weight and rate of hemorrhagic stroke was much weaker and approached statistical significance only for the obese condition.

We conducted our basic analysis using crude unadjusted rates of ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke derived from single studies. By doing so, we obtained unbiased estimates of the association and avoided the risk of overadjustment occurring very often when accounting for possible confounding by a

A First author	Year	Gender		Normal-w	eight	Obesity		Relative Risk (95% Cl)
Kurth	2002	men						1.80 (0.89 to 3.65)
Jood	2004	men				-		0.90 80.48 to 1.69)
Cui	2005	men		-				0.64 (0.36 to 1.13)
Cui	2005	women						0.88 (0.58 to 1.32)
Kurth	2005	women		,				0.73 (0.39 to 1.36)
Lu	2006	women			s <u></u>	•		1.75 (0.62 to 4.94)
Oki	2006	men		-	-			0.83 (0.36 to 1.88)
Oki	2006	women						0.82 (0.33 to 2.05)
Hu	2007	men				-		1.20 (0.87 to 1.64)
Hu	2007	women				L.		1.46 (1.11 to 1.92)
Funada	2008	men & w omer	18		_			0.88 (0.50 to 1.55)
Zhou	2008	men				.2		1.32 (1.13 to 1.55)
Silventoinen	2009	men				-		1.97 (1.59 to 2.45)
Zhang	2009	women				_		2.88 (2.02 to 4.11)
Combined effect	n=0.059	7=1 89						1 24 (0 99 to 1 54)
Hotorogeneity:	O=51.0	2-1.05	12=75%	1	~			1.24 (0.00 10 1.04)
	a=0.19	p 10.001	1-10/0	0,1	1		10	
Fager's test:	0-0.10							
Egger's test:	p=0.16			F	Relative Risk (log	scale)		
Egger's test: B First author	p=0.16 Year	Gender		i Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log Iht	overweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl)
Egger's test: B First author	Year	Gender		Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log Iht	scale) Overweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth	<b>Year</b> 2002	Gender men		f Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	overweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood	<b>Year</b> 2002 2004	Gender men men		Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	scale) Overweight		<b>Relative Risk</b> (95% CI) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui	<b>Year</b> 2002 2004 2005	Gender men men men		i Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	scale) Overweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui	<b>Year</b> 2002 2004 2005 2005	Gender men men women		Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	Scale) Overweight		Relative Risk (95% CI) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Kurth	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005	Gender men men women women		F Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	Overweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95) 0.82 (0.49 to 1.36)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Kurth Lu	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006	Gender men men women women women		l	Relative Risk (log	overweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95) 0.82 (0.49 to 1.36) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.08)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Kurth Lu Oki	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006	Gender men men women women women men		Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	overweight		Relative Risk (95% CI) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95) 0.82 (0.49 to 1.36) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.08) 0.63 (0.26 to 1.52)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Qui Qui Kurth Lu Oki Oki	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006	Gender men men women women men women		Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	Vverweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95) 0.82 (0.49 to 1.36) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.08) 0.63 (0.26 to 1.52) 1.03 (0.43 to 2.44)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Kurth Lu Oki Oki Hu	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007	Gender men men women women women men men		F Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	verweight		Relative Risk (95% CI) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95) 0.82 (0.49 to 1.36) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.08) 0.63 (0.26 to 1.52) 1.03 (0.43 to 2.44) 1.15 (0.91 to 1.45)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Cui Cui Kurth Lu Oki Oki Hu Hu	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2007	Gender men men women women men women men women men	_	f Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	overweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95) 0.82 (0.49 to 1.36) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.08) 0.63 (0.26 to 1.52) 1.03 (0.43 to 2.44) 1.15 (0.91 to 1.45) 1.36 (1.08 to 1.72)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Kurth Lu Oki Oki Hu Hu Funada Zhou	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2007 2008	Gender men men women women men women men women men & womer	n	f	Relative Risk (log	Vverweight		Relative Risk (95% CI) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95) 0.82 (0.49 to 1.36) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.08) 0.63 (0.26 to 1.52) 1.03 (0.43 to 2.44) 1.15 (0.91 to 1.45) 1.36 (1.08 to 1.72) 0.67 (0.47 to 0.95)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Cui Kurth Lu Oki Oki Hu Hu Funada Zhou Silventoinen	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2007 2008 2008	Gender men men women women women men women men women men & women men	n	f Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	verweight		Relative Risk (95% CI) 1.30 (0.86 to 1.96) 0.96 (0.69 to 1.34) 0.67 (0.51 to 0.88) 0.73 (0.57 to 0.95) 0.82 (0.49 to 1.36) 1.61 (0.85 to 3.08) 0.63 (0.26 to 1.52) 1.03 (0.43 to 2.44) 1.15 (0.91 to 1.45) 1.36 (1.08 to 1.72) 0.67 (0.47 to 0.95) 0.94 (0.87 to 1.02)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Qui Qui Qui Kurth Lu Qki Qki Hu Hu Hu Funada Zhou Silventoinen Zhang	Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006	Gender men men women women men women men women men & women men men	n	f Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	verweight		Relative Risk (95% Cl)   1.30 (0.86 to 1.96)   0.96 (0.69 to 1.34)   0.67 (0.51 to 0.88)   0.73 (0.57 to 0.95)   0.82 (0.49 to 1.36)   1.61 (0.85 to 3.08)   0.63 (0.26 to 1.52)   1.03 (0.43 to 2.44)   1.15 (0.91 to 1.45)   1.36 (1.08 to 1.72)   0.67 (0.47 to 0.95)   0.94 (0.87 to 1.02)   1.73 (1.21 to 2.47)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Cui Kurth Lu Oki Oki Hu Hu Hu Funada Zhou Silventoinen Zhang	P=0.18 Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2006	Gender men men women women women men women men & women men women	n	f Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	Scale)		Relative Risk (95% CI)   1.30 (0.86 to 1.96)   0.96 (0.69 to 1.34)   0.67 (0.51 to 0.88)   0.73 (0.57 to 0.95)   0.82 (0.49 to 1.36)   1.61 (0.85 to 3.08)   0.63 (0.26 to 1.52)   1.03 (0.43 to 2.44)   1.15 (0.91 to 1.45)   1.36 (1.08 to 1.72)   0.67 (0.47 to 0.95)   0.94 (0.87 to 1.02)   1.73 (1.21 to 2.47)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Cui Kurth Lu Oki Oki Hu Hu Funada Zhou Silventoinen Zhang Combined effect:	P=0.18 Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2007 2008 2009 2009 2009 2009	Gender men men women women men women men & women men men women z=0.21	12-750/	f Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	Vverweight		Relative Risk (95% CI)   1.30 (0.86 to 1.96)   0.96 (0.69 to 1.34)   0.67 (0.51 to 0.88)   0.73 (0.57 to 0.95)   0.82 (0.49 to 1.36)   1.61 (0.85 to 3.08)   0.63 (0.26 to 1.52)   1.03 (0.43 to 2.44)   1.15 (0.91 to 1.45)   1.36 (1.08 to 1.72)   0.67 (0.47 to 0.95)   0.94 (0.87 to 1.02)   1.20 (1.06 to 1.35)   1.73 (1.21 to 2.47)   1.01 (0.88 to 1.17)
Egger's test: B First author Kurth Jood Cui Cui Kurth Lu Oki Oki Hu Hu Funada Zhou Silventoinen Zhang Combined effect: Heterogeneity:	P=0.18 Year 2002 2004 2005 2005 2005 2006 2006 2006 2006 2007 2008 2007 2008 2009 2009 2009 2009	Gender men men women women women men women men & women men women z=0.21 p<0.001	n I <sup>2</sup> =75%	Normal-weig	Relative Risk (log	Vverweight	10	Relative Risk (95% CI)   1.30 (0.86 to 1.96)   0.96 (0.69 to 1.34)   0.67 (0.51 to 0.88)   0.73 (0.57 to 0.95)   0.82 (0.49 to 1.36)   1.61 (0.85 to 3.08)   0.63 (0.26 to 1.52)   1.03 (0.43 to 2.44)   1.15 (0.91 to 1.45)   1.36 (1.08 to 1.72)   0.67 (0.47 to 0.95)   0.94 (0.87 to 1.02)   1.73 (1.21 to 2.47)   1.01 (0.88 to 1.17)

Figure 2. A, Risk of hemorrhagic stroke in obese vs normal-weight subjects. B, Risk of hemorrhagic stroke in overweight vs normal-weight subjects.

Downloaded from stroke.ahajournals.org at University of Warwick (war) / Eng on May 17, 2010

	Association Measures, RR or HR (95% CI)										
Author	Stroke Subtype	Gender	Overweight	Obesity	l	Factors Controlled for in Multiv	ariate Analysis				
Abbott, 1994 <sup>17</sup>	Ischemic	М	2.10 (1.10-4.10)		Age, systolic blood	l pressure, physical activity, gl cholesterol	ucose, uric acid,hematocrit, total				
Kurth, 2002 <sup>21</sup>	Ischemic	М	1.33 (1.06–1.67)	1.95 (1.39–2.72)	Age, smoking, a	lcohol, physical activity, history	/ of angina, parental history of				
	Hemorrhagic		1.45 (0.81-2.60)	2.25 (1.01-5.01)	myocardial	zed treatment assignment					
Jood, 2004 <sup>22</sup>	Ischemic	Μ	1.10 (0.81–1.51)	1.45 (0.98–2.14)	Age, smoking, physic	ke, occupational class, psychological					
	Hemorrhagic		0.88 (0.50-1.54)	0.87 (0.40-1.89)	stress, systoli	ic blood pressure, hypertension tre	atment, diabetes, cholesterol				
Cui, 2005 <sup>23</sup>	Ischemic	Μ	0.99 (0.63-1.56)	1.05 (0.60-1.86)	Age, hypertension	, diabetes, smoking, alcohol, p	hysical activity, sleep, perceived				
		F	1.19 (0.68–2.08)	1.05 (0.56-1.97)		fish intake					
	Hemorrhagic	Μ	1.62 (0.92-2.85)	1.25 (0.59-2.66)							
		F	0.95 (0.48-1.89)	1.02 (0.49-2.10)							
Kurth, 2005 <sup>24</sup>	Ischemic	F	1.12 (0.61–2.10)	1.29 (0.69-2.41)	Age, smoking, phy	therapy, hypertension, diabetes,					
	Hemorrhagic		0.58 (0.24-1.41)	0.38 (0.13-1.09)		high cholesterol					
Li, 2006 <sup>29</sup>	Ischemic	Μ	1.23 (1.05-1.43)	1.57 (1.28–1.93)	Age, smoking,	alcohol, physical activity, educ	ation, diabetes, hypertension,				
		F	1.30 (1.07–1.59)	1.32 (1.03-1.68)		lipid-lowering drugs, menop	ausal status				
Lu, 2006 <sup>30</sup>	Ischemic	F	1.00 (0.60-1.50)	1.00 (0.50-1.90)	Age, smoking, alcoh	ol, age at first birth, education	, oral contraceptives, hypertension,				
	Hemorrhagic		1.20 (0.60-2.30)	1.10 (0.40-3.10)		diabetes					
0ki, 2006 <sup>31</sup>	Ischemic	М	1.91 (0.86-4.22)	4.73 (0.61-36.9)	Age, smoki	ng, alcohol, systolic blood pres	sure, cholesterol, glucose				
		F	1.05 (0.53-2.09)	1.85 (0.68-5.09)							
	Hemorrhagic	М	1.36 (0.44-4.23)	6.61 (0.79-55.6)							
	Ũ	F	0.50 (0.15-1.72)	1.47 (0.30-7.25)							
Hu. 2007 <sup>34</sup>	Ischemic	М	1.17 (1.03–1.33)	1.42 (1.21–1.67)	Age, study year, s	smoking, physical activity, edu	cation, alcohol, family history of				
nu, 2007**		F	1.06 (0.92-1.23)	1.23 (1.05–1.44)	stroke	stroke, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, diab					
	Hemorrhagic	М	1.02 (0.80-1.30)	1.01 (0.72–1.41)							
		F	0.92 (0.71-1.20)	0.77 (0.56–1.06)							
Funada, 2008 <sup>36</sup> Ischemic Hemorrhagic		MF	1 07 (0 69–1 64)	1 15 (0 62-2 13)	Age gender smokir	na alcohol physical activity er	education weight change since age				
			0.90 (0.51-1.57)	1.45 (0.77-2.72)	rige, genaer, entera	accalent, norgin enange enter age					
7hou 2008 <sup>38</sup>	Ischemic	М	0.94 (0.83–1.06)	1 67 (1 28–2 19)		Icohol					
2.100, 2000	Hemorrhagic		1 06 (0 99–1 14)	1 67 (1 40–1 98)		rigo, aloa, ontoning, a					
Silventoinen 200940	Ischemic	М	1 46 (1 28–1 67)	2 37 (1 83-3 05)	Age conscription off	fice systolic and diastolic bloo	nressure elbow flexion strength				
	Hemorrhagic		1.80 (1.51–2.15)	2.83 (2.03–3.93)	grip strength, knee	extension strength, own and p socioeconomic posi	parental educational, occupational tion				
Zhang, 2009 <sup>41</sup>	Ischemic Hemorrhagic	F	1.17 (0.98–1.41) 1.68 (0.98–2.88)	1.59 (1.36–1.88) 2.11 (1.27–3.50)	Age, education, occupation, family income, menopausal status, oral contraceptiv hormone therapy, aspirin, physical activity, smoking, alcohol, intake of saturated vegetables, fruits, and sodium						
			Association Mea	asures (95% CI)		Test for Overall Effect	Test for Heterogeneity				
Combined	Ischemic		1.18 (1.08–1.28)	1.50 (1.34–1.67)	Overweight	z=3.8; P < 0.001	Q=33.7; P=0.006; I <sup>2</sup> =52%				
effect I			· · · ·	. ,	Obesity	z=7.2; P< 0.001	Q=30.0; P=0.012; I <sup>2</sup> =50%				
	Hemorrhagic		1.14 (0.96–1.37)	1.34 (1.01–1.79)	Overweight	z=1.5: P =0.14	$Q=43.8$ : $P < 0.001$ : $I^2 = 70\%$				
			()	- ( /	Obesity	<i>z</i> =2.0; <i>P</i> =0.04	Q=52.3; <i>P</i> < 0.001; I <sup>2</sup> =75%				
Other studies not inclu	ided in the basic meta-	analysis									
Povrodo 100742	loohomio	E	0.99 (0.57, 1.26)	1 17 (0 74 1 92)	Ago smoking contra	contivo uco mononqueal statue h	ormono thorony time period conirin				
Rexrode, 1997*2	Homorrhagio	F	0.66 (0.57-1.50)	0.56 (0.20, 1.06)	Age, shoking, contraceptive use, menopausai status, normone merapy, une period, as physical activity, antioxidant score, alcohol, hypertension, diabetes, high cholestero						
Comm. 000.4/3	lechemie		1.10 (1.00, 1.20)	0.54 (0.51–0.94) 0.56 (0.29–1.06) privota adulti,		ngking physical activity monthly calory layer blood procesure					
Song, 2004+3	Ischemic	IVI	1.10 (1.00-1.30)	1.20 (1.00-1.50)	Age, alconol, sin	ducose cholester	ny salary level, blood pressure, ni				
Dia	Hemorrhagic		1.00 (0.90–1.20)	1.10 (0.80–1.60)	And souther allele	giuococ, chorotor	on				
Pharm, 200744	Ischemic M F			1.00 (0.61–1.76)	Age, gender, diabetes, hypertension, smokir		g, alcohol, vegetable and fruit intake,				
0100745	Hemorrhagic			0.60 (0.23–1.66)							
Sturgeon, 200745	Hemorrhagic	M F	0.87 (0.59–1.27)	0.94 (0.60–1.47)		Age					
Park, 200840	Ischemic F		1.15 (1.04–1.27)	1.30 (1.15–1.46)	Age, alcohol, physical activity, fasting blood glucose, systolic blood pressure,						
	Hemorrhagic		0.98 (0.85–1.12)	1.00 (0.84–1.19)		G10163(6101					
Combined	Ischemic		1.16 (1.08–1.24)	1.44 (1.31–1.58)	Overweight	z=4.3; <i>P</i> < 0.001	Q=36.2; P=0.01; I <sup>2</sup> =45%				
effect II					Obesity	<i>z</i> =7.5; <i>P</i> < 0.001	Q=37.7; P=0.004; I <sup>2</sup> =52%				
	Hemorrhagic		1.06 (0.93-1.20)	1.20 (0.96–1.51)	Overweight	z=0.8; <i>P</i> =0.39	Q=56.5; P< 0.001; I <sup>2</sup> =68%				
					Obesity	<i>z</i> =1.6; <i>P</i> =0.11	Q=72.9; P< 0.001; I <sup>2</sup> =77%				

## Table 2. Systematic Review: Multivariate Association Between Excess Body Weight and Ischemic/Hemorrhagic Stroke

For a few cohorts the BMI interval defining the overweight or the obese category differed to some extent from the values indicated in the Table.

number of related factors, such as age, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, sedentary lifestyle, and abuse of alcohol. Some of these factors are causally related to weight gain whereas others may be in the pathogenetic pathway between overweight and stroke. Most published studies included these factors as covariate. When we performed supplementary tests using these multivariate risk estimates, the results were qualitatively similar to those obtained with the use of crude unadjusted data: however, the strength of the association with risk of ischemic stroke was attenuated for both overweight and obesity, whereas the association with hemorrhagic stroke was no longer statistically significant.

## **Study Limitations**

We regret that a few studies potentially relevant to our review could not be included in the meta-analysis because crude data were not available<sup>42,43,45–52</sup> or because publication occurred after the deadline of our literature review.<sup>53</sup> In 3 such studies featuring large study populations,<sup>42,43,46</sup> statistically significant direct associations were reported between excess body weight and risk of total or ischemic stroke on adjustment for most conventional cardiovascular risk factors. In another large study collecting data from 18 populations from 8 European countries, however, BMI conferred only a modest increase in risk in men but not in women.<sup>53</sup>

By choosing to compare the incidence of stroke in the overweight and obese categories against normal-weight individuals, we lack information about the relationship between BMI and stroke rate in the BMI category <25. Noteworthy, according to the Prospective Study Collaboration results, the relationship between BMI and stroke mortality was J-shaped, with evidence of a clear-cut direct association for BMI 25 to 50, but some evidence of an inverse trend in the BMI range 15 to  $25.^4$ 

Finally, a further limitation of our study was the lack of separate analysis of the effects of visceral and peripheral adiposity, attributable to the small number of suitable studies and to their methodological heterogeneity. Moreover, the possibility of some degree of publication bias cannot be ruled out.

#### Conclusion

In summary, this meta-analysis shows a statistically significant direct and graded association between excess body weight and incidence of ischemic stroke. The association is at least partly independent from age and from other cardiovascular risk factors and lifestyle habits. Regarding the current obesity epidemic, these results reinforce the claim in favor of strong educational campaigns focusing on prevention of this condition.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Tobias Kurth, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Division of Preventive Medicine, Harvard Medical School; Catherine Sauvaget, Screening Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France; and David Tanne, Department of Neurology, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Israel, for providing raw data necessary for the computation of some of the variables in the meta-analysis.

## **Sources of Funding**

Dr D'Elia was supported by research grants from IRCCS-S. Lucia Foundation and SIIA (Italian Society of Hypertension).

#### Disclosure

P.S. conceived the study aims and design, contributed to the systematic review, performed the analysis, interpreted the results, and drafted the manuscript. L.D., G.C., F.G., F.P.C., and L.S. contributed to the data extraction, interpretation of results, and the revision of the manuscript. This work was partly presented at the AHA 2008 Scientific sessions in New Orleans and at the 19<sup>th</sup> Scientific Meeting of the European Society of Hypertension in Milan.

#### References

- 1. Hachinski V. Stroke: the next 30 years. Stroke. 2002;33:1-4.
- Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Global mortality, disability, and the contribution of risk factors: Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet.* 1997;349: 1436–1442.
- Poirier P, Giles TD, Bray GA, Hong Y, Stern JS, Pi-Sunyer FX, Eckel RH. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology, evaluation, and effect of weight loss. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2006;26: 968–976.
- Prospective Studies Collaboration, Whitlock G, Lewington S, Sherliker P, Clarke R, Emberson J, Halsey J, Qizilbash N, Collins R, Peto R. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1083–1096.
- Guh DP, Zhang W, Bansback N, Amarsi Z, Birmingham CL, Anis AH. The incidence of co-morbidities related to obesity and overweight: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2009;9:88.
- Feigin V, Parag V, Lawes CM, Rodgers A, Suh I, Woodward M, Jamrozik K, Ueshima H. Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration. Smoking and elevated blood pressure are the most important risk factors for subarachnoid hemorrhage in the Asia-Pacific region: an overview of 26 cohorts involving 306,620 participants. *Stroke*. 2005;36:1360–1365.
- Ni Mhurchu C, Rodgers A, Pan WH, Gu DF, Woodward M. Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration Body mass index and cardiovascular disease in the Asia-Pacific Region: an overview of 33 cohorts involving 310 000 participants. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2004;33:751–758.
- Yamada S, Koizumi A, Iso H, Wada Y, Watanabe Y, Date C, Yamamoto A, Kikuchi S, Inaba Y, Toyoshima H, Kondo T, Tamakoshi A. Japan Collaborative Cohort Study Group. Risk factors for fatal subarachnoid hemorrhage: the Japan Collaborative Cohort Study. *Stroke*. 2003;34: 2781–2787.
- World Health Organization. *Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry*. Technical Report Series 854. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1995.
- World Health Organization Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet*. 2004;363:157–163.
- Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and nonrandomised studies of health care interventions. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 1998;52:377–384.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials. 1986;7:177–188.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327:557–560.
- Egger M, Davey SG, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315:629–634.
- Sutton AJ, Duval SJ, Tweedie RL, Abrams KR, Jones DR. Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2000; 320:1574–1577.
- Bax L, Yu LM, Ikeda N, Tsuruta H, Moons KG. Development and validation of MIX: comprehensive free software for meta-analysis of causal research data. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2006;6:50.
- Abbott RD, Behrens GR, Sharp DS, Rodriguez BL, Burchfiel CM, Ross GW, Yano K, Curb JD. Body mass index and thromboembolic stroke in nonsmoking men in older middle age. The Honolulu Heart Program. *Stroke*. 1994;25:2370–2376.
- Walker SP, Rimm EB, Ascherio A, Kawachi I, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Body size and fat distribution as predictors of stroke among US men. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1996;144:1143–1150.

- Shaper AG, Wannamethee SG, Walker M. Body weight: implications for the prevention of coronary heart disease, stroke, and diabetes mellitus in a cohort study of middle aged men. *BMJ*. 1997;314:1311–1317.
- Wassertheil-Smoller S, Fann C, Allman RM, Black HR, Camel GH, Davis B, Masaki K, Pressel S, Prineas RJ, Stamler J, Vogt TM. Relation of low body mass to death and stroke in the systolic hypertension in the elderly program. The SHEP Cooperative Research Group. *Arch Intern Med.* 2000;160:494–500.
- Kurth T, Gaziano JM, Berger K, Kase CS, Rexrode KM, Cook NR, Buring JE, Manson JE. Body mass index and the risk of stroke in men. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162:2557–2562.
- Jood K, Jern C, Wilhelmsen L, Rosengren A. Body mass index in mid-life is associated with a first stroke in men: a prospective population study over 28 years. *Stroke*. 2004;35:2764–2769.
- 23. Cui R, Iso H, Toyoshima H, Date C, Yamamoto A, Kikuchi S, Kondo T, Watanabe Y, Koizumi A, Wada Y, Inaba Y, Tamakoshi A. J Am Coll Cardiol Study Group. Body mass index and mortality from cardiovascular disease among Japanese men and women: the J Am Coll Cardiol study. *Stroke.* 2005; 36:1377–1382.
- Kurth T, Gaziano JM, Rexrode KM, Kase CS, Cook NR, Manson JE, Buring JE. Prospective study of body mass index and risk of stroke in apparently healthy women. *Circulation*. 2005;111:1992–1998.
- Tanne D, Medalie JH, Goldbourt U. Body fat distribution and long-term risk of stroke mortality. *Stroke*. 2005;36:1021–1025.
- Murphy NF, MacIntyre K, Stewart S, Hart CL, Hole D, McMurray JJ. Long-term cardiovascular consequences of obesity: 20-year follow-up of more than 15 000 middle-aged men and women (the Renfrew-Paisley study). *Eur Heart.* 2006;27:96–106.
- Batty GD, Shipley MJ, Jarrett RJ, Breeze E, Marmot MG, Davey SG. Obesity and overweight in relation to disease-specific mortality in men with and without existing coronary heart disease in London: the original Whitehall study. *Heart.* 2006;92:886–892.
- Chen HJ, Bai CH, Yeh WT, Chiu HC, Pan WH. Influence of metabolic syndrome and general obesity on the risk of ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2006;37:1060–1064.
- Li C, Engstrom G, Hedblad B, Calling S, Berglund G, Janzon L. Sex differences in the relationships between BMI, WHR and incidence of cardiovascular disease: a population-based cohort study. *Int J Obes* (*Lond*). 2006;30:1775–1781.
- Lu M, Ye W, Adami HO, Weiderpass E. Prospective study of body size and risk for stroke amongst women below age 60. *J Intern Med.* 2006; 260:442–450.
- Oki I, Nakamura Y, Okamura T, Okayama A, Hayakawa T, Kita Y, Ueshima H. Body mass index and risk of stroke mortality among a random sample of Japanese adults: 19-year follow-up of NIPPON DATA80. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2006;22:409–415.
- Park HS, Song YM, Cho SI. Obesity has a greater impact on cardiovascular mortality in younger men than in older men among non-smoking Koreans. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2006;35:181–187.
- Hong JS, Yi SW, Kang HC, Ohrr H. Body mass index and mortality in South Korean men resulting from cardiovascular disease: a Kangwha cohort study. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2007;17:622–627.
- Hu G, Tuomilehto J, Silventoinen K, Sarti C, Mannisto S, Jousilahti P. Body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-hip ratio on the risk of total and type-specific stroke. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007;167:1420–1427.
- Song Y, Manson JE, Meigs JB, Ridker PM, Buring JE, Liu S. Comparison of usefulness of body mass index versus metabolic risk factors in predicting 10-year risk of cardiovascular events in women. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;100:1654–1658.
- Funada S, Shimazu T, Kakizaki M, Kuriyama S, Sato Y, Matsuda-Ohmori K, Nishino Y, Tsuji I. Body mass index and cardiovascular disease mortality in Japan: the Ohsaki Study. *Prev Med.* 2008;47:66–70.

- 37. Sauvaget C, Ramadas K, Thomas G, Vinoda J, Thara S, Sankaranarayanan R. Body mass index, weight change and mortality risk in a prospective study in India. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2008;37:990–1004.
- Zhou M, Offer A, Yang G, Smith M, Hui G, Whitlock G, Collins R, Huang Z, Peto R, Chen Z. Body mass index, blood pressure, and mortality from stroke: a nationally representative prospective study of 212,000 Chinese men. *Stroke*. 2008;39:753–759.
- 39. Eeg-Olofsson K, Cederholm J, Nilsson PM, Zethelius B, Nunez L, Gudbjörnsdóttir S, Eliasson B. Risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes: an observational study in 13,087 patients. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52:65–73.
- 40. Silventoinen K, Magnusson PK, Tynelius P, Batty GD, Rasmussen F. Association of body size and muscle strength with incidence of coronary heart disease and cerebrovascular diseases: a population-based cohort study of one million Swedish men. Int J Epidemiol. 2009;38:110–118.
- Zhang X, Shu XO, Gao YT, Yang G, Li H, Zheng W. General and abdominal adiposity and risk of stroke in Chinese women. *Stroke*. 2009; 40:1098–1104.
- Rexrode KM, Hennekens CH, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Rich-Edwards JW, Speizer FE, Manson JE. A prospective study of body mass index, weight change, and risk of stroke in women. *JAMA*. 1997; 277:1539–1545.
- Song YM, Sung J, Davey SG, Ebrahim S. Body mass index and ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke: a prospective study in Korean men. *Stroke*. 2004;35:831–836.
- 44. Pham TM, Fujino Y, Tokui N, Ide R, Kubo T, Shirane K, Mizoue T, Ogimoto I, Yoshimura T. Mortality and risk factors for stroke and its subtypes in a cohort study in Japan. *Prev Med.* 2007;44: 526–530.
- Sturgeon JD, Folsom AR, Longstreth WT Jr, Shahar E, Rosamond WD, Cushman M. Risk factors for intracerebral hemorrhage in a pooled prospective study. *Stroke*. 2007;38:2718–2725.
- Park JW, Lee SY, Kim SY, Choe H, Jee SH. BMI and stroke risk in Korean women. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16:396–401.43.
- Gillum RF, Mussolino ME, Madans JH. Body fat distribution, obesity, overweight and stroke incidence in women and men-the NHANES I Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001; 25:628–638.
- Singh PN, Lindsted KD, Fraser GE. Body weight and mortality among adults who never smoked. Am J Epidemiol. 1999;150:1152–1164.
- Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Sullivan L, Parise H, Kannel WB. Overweight and obesity as determinants of cardiovascular risk: the Framingham experience. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162:1867–1872.
- Widlansky ME, Sesso HD, Rexrode KM, Manson JE, Gaziano JM. Body mass index and total and cardiovascular mortality in men with a history of cardiovascular disease. *Arch Intern Med.* 2004;164: 2326–2332.
- Zhou B, Wu Y, Yang J, Li Y, Zhang H, Zhao L. Overweight is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease in Chinese populations. *Obes Rev.* 2002;3:147–156.
- Myint PK, Sinha S, Luben RN, Bingham SA, Wareham NJ, Khaw KT. Risk factors for first-ever stroke in the EPIC-Norfolk prospective population-based study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* 2008;15: 663–669.
- 53. Asplund K, Karvanen J, Giampaoli S, Jousilahti P, Niemelä M, Broda G, Cesana G, Dallongeville J, Ducimetriere P, Evans A, Ferrières J, Haas B, Jorgensen T, Tamosiunas A, Vanuzzo D, Wiklund PG, Yarnell J, Kuulasmaa K, Kulathinal S, for the MORGAM Project. Relative risks for stroke by age, sex, and population based on follow-up of 18 European populations in the MORGAM Project. *Stroke.* 2009;40:2319–2326.