

# AG for NT

## 1 Sheaves of Modules

Let  $X$  be a topological space. Recall what a sheaf is:  $F : U \mapsto F(U)$ ,  $V \subset U$  then we have a map  $F(U) \rightarrow F(V)$  with a uniqueness and existence property

We have  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a scheme.

**Definition.** A sheaf  $F$  of abelian groups on  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module if each  $F(U)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module in such a way that for  $V \subset U$ ,  $s \in F(U)$  and  $t \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  we have  $(t \cdot s)|_V = t|_V \cdot s|_V \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$ .

A *morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules*  $F \rightarrow G$  is a morphism of sheafs  $F \rightarrow G$  such that each  $F(U) \rightarrow G(U)$  is a  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module homomorphism.

*Remark.* Each  $F_x$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

**Example.** New from Old:

1.  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module then the sheaf associated to  $u \mapsto \bigoplus_{i \in I} f_i(U)$  is also an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module,  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} f_i$ .
2. If  $F, G$  are  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules then sheaf associated to  $u \mapsto F(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} G(U)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Denoted  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} G$ .
3.  $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ , if  $F$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module then  $f_*F$  is an  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Have  $f_\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ . So  $f_*F$  becomes an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module.
4. As above,  $G$  an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module, then  $F^{-1}G$  is an  $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. We have  $f_\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  induces  $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ . So  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is also an  $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Define  $f^*G := f^{-1}G \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_X$ . This is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module

**Definition.** A sheaf  $F$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module is *locally free* if we can cover  $X$  by open subset  $U_i$  such that  $F|_{U_i}$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of  $\mathcal{O}_X|_{U_i}$ . And if we can just take one copy, we say that  $F$  is an *invertible sheaf*.

**Example (Key Example).** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $A$ -module,  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . We will define an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\widetilde{M}$  as follows. For  $f \in A$ , set  $\widetilde{M}(D(f)) = M_f \cong M \otimes_A A_f$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_X(D(f)) \cong A_f$  so  $M_f$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_X(D(f))$ -module. The restriction maps  $M_f \rightarrow M_g$  for  $D(g) \subset D(f)$  is given by  $\otimes_A M$  the map  $A_f \rightarrow A_g$ .

**Exercise.** Show that  $\widetilde{M}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sheaf, where  $\mathcal{B} = \{D(f) | f \in A\}$ .

Extend to  $\widetilde{M}$  sheaf on  $X$  which is an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module

What are the stalks: Let  $f \in \text{Spec } A$ ,  $(\widetilde{M})_f \cong \varinjlim_{D(f) \ni f} M_f \cong \varinjlim_{D(f) \ni f} A_f \otimes_A M \cong M \otimes_A \varinjlim_{D(f) \ni f} A_f \cong M \otimes_A A_f \cong M_f$

*Remark.* Given  $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$  an  $A$ -module homomorphism, we get  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module morphism  $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$  by localizing.

Conversely,  $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$  induces an  $A$ -module homomorphism  $\widetilde{M}(X) = M \rightarrow \widetilde{N}(X) = N$ . (This is done by taking global sections)

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . Then

1.  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  a collection of  $A$ -module, then  $\widetilde{\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \widetilde{M}_i$

2.  $L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$  of  $A$ -modules is exact if and only if  $\widetilde{L} \rightarrow \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$  is exact. (i.e., exact on stalks)
3.  $\widetilde{M \otimes_A N} \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widetilde{N}$
4. Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism. This induces  $f : \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ . Let  $M$  be a  $B$ -module. Then  $f_* \widetilde{M} \cong \widetilde{M}$  where the second  $\widetilde{M}$  is viewed as an  $A$ -module via  $\phi$ . Let  $N$  be an  $A$ -module then  $f^*(\widetilde{N}) \cong \widetilde{N \otimes_A B}$ .
5. Let  $f \in A$ .  $(D(f), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}|_{D(f)}) \cong \text{Spec } A$  (using the map  $A \rightarrow A_f$ ). Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module,  $\widetilde{M}|_{D(f)} \cong \widetilde{M_f}$  as  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A_f}$ -module.

*Proof.* Exercise □

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a scheme. An  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $F$  is *quasi-coherent* if we can cover  $X$  by open affine  $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$  such that  $F|_{U_i} \cong \widetilde{M_i}$  for some  $A_i$ -module  $M_i$ .

The sheaf  $F$  is *coherent* if we can take each  $M_i$  to be finitely generate (as modules)

## 1.1 Quasi-coherent Sheaves on affine schemes

**Proposition 1.3.** If  $X = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $F$  a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X$ , then  $F \cong \widetilde{M}$  for some  $A$ -module  $M$ .

*Proof.* Observe that: If  $F \cong \widetilde{M}$  then  $\Gamma(X, F) := F(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma(X, \widetilde{M}) \cong M$ . So given any quasi-coherent sheaf  $F$ , we will show that  $F \cong \Gamma(X, F)$ .

Let  $U = D(f)$  be principal open.  $F(U)$  is an open  $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = A_f$ -module. So we have a map  $\Gamma(X, F)_f \rightarrow F(U)$  defined by  $\frac{s}{fk} \mapsto \frac{s|_U}{fk}$ . This map induces a morphism of sheaves  $\Gamma(X, F) \rightarrow F$ .

We want to show that this is an isomorphism. So we will show that  $\Gamma(X, F)_f \rightarrow F(U)$  is an isomorphism for each  $f \in A$ . This is done using the following lemma

**Lemma.** Let  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . Take  $f \in A$ ,  $U = D(f)$ ,  $F$  a quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X$ . Then

1. If  $s \in \Gamma(X, F)$  is such that  $s|_U = 0$ , then  $\exists n > 0$  such that  $f^n s = 0 \in \Gamma(X, F)$
2. Given  $t \in F(U)$ , there is  $n > 0$  such that  $f^n t$  is the restriction of a  $s \in \Gamma(X, F)$  (for some  $s$ )

*Remark.* 1. gives injectivity and 2. surjectivity of the map is the proposition.

*Proof.* Part 2. is an exercise

Can cover  $X$  by  $U_i = \text{Spec } A_i$  such that  $F|_{U_i} \cong \widetilde{M_i}$  for some  $A_i$ -module  $M_i$ . If  $D(g) \subset U_i$  then  $\widetilde{M_i}|_{D(g)} \cong \widetilde{(M_i)_g}$ . So without loss of generality,  $U_i = D(g_i)$  for some  $g_i \in A$ . As  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is quasi compact, finitely many  $g_i$  will do.  $D(f)$  is covered by the sets  $D(f) \cap D(g_i) = D(f \cdot g_i)$ , and  $F(D(f \cdot g_i)) \cong \widetilde{(M_i)_{f \cdot g_i}}$ . Let  $s_i$  be the image of  $s$  in  $M_i$ . Then  $s_i = 0$  in  $(M_i)_f$ , so there exists  $n > 0$  such that  $f^n s_i = 0$  in  $M_i$ . By finiteness we can assume  $n$  is independent of  $i$ . Then  $f^n s$  restrict to 0 in each  $D(g_i)$ . Hence globally  $f^n s = 0$ . □

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $X = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $F$  is coherent sheaf on  $X$ . If  $A$  is Noetherian, then  $\Gamma(X, F)$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module. So in particular  $F \cong \widetilde{M}$  for a finitely generated  $A$ -module  $M$

*Proof.* Exercise □

**Corollary 1.5.** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . Then the function  $M \mapsto \widetilde{M}$  gives an equivalence of categories between  $A$ -modules and quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$  modules. The 'Inverse' is  $\Gamma(X, -)$ .

If  $A$  is Noetherian, same is true fro finitely generated  $A$ -modules and coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

**Corollary 1.6.** If  $X$  is a scheme,  $F$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, then  $F$  is quasi-coherent if and only if every open affine subset  $U = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $F|_U \cong \widetilde{M}$  for some  $A$ -module  $M$ .

If  $X$  is Noetherian,  $F$  is coherent, same is true with each  $M$  finitely generated.

## 1.2 Quasi-coherent Sheafs on ProjS

Let  $S = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} S_d$  a graded ring. We have  $\text{Proj}S = \{\text{homogeneous prime ideals not containing } S_+ = \bigoplus_{d > 0} S_d\}$ . Basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{D_+(f) \mid f \text{ homogeneous, } f \in S_+\}$  (where  $D_+(f) = p \in \text{Proj}S \mid f \notin p$ )

$\mathcal{O}_X(D_+(f)) \cong S_{(f)} = \{\text{degree 0 homogenous elements in } S_f\}$ . In fact  $(D_+(f), \mathcal{O}_X|_{D_+(f)}) \cong \text{Spec} S_{(f)}$

Let  $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$  graded  $S$ -module. (So  $M_n \subset M_{n+d}$ ). We want to construct a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\widetilde{M}$  on  $X$ . We do this as follows: Set  $\widetilde{M}(D_+(f)) = M_{(f)} = \{\text{degree 0 homogeneous elements of } M_f\}$ . This is an  $S_{(f)} = \mathcal{O}_X(D_+(f))$ -module. Check that this a  $\mathcal{B}$ -sheaf for  $\mathcal{B} = \{D_+(f)\}$  and check what the restriction maps are.

Set  $\widetilde{M}$  to be the resulting sheaf on  $X$ . What are the stalks:  $(\widetilde{M})_p = M_{(p)} = \text{degree 0 homogeneous elements in } M(T^{-1})$  where  $T = \{\text{homogeneous elements not in } p\}$

**Fact.**  $\widetilde{M}|_{D_+(f)} \cong \widetilde{M}_{(f)}$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} S_{(f)}}$ -module. In particular  $\widetilde{M}$  is quasi-coherent. If  $S$  is Noetherian,  $M$  is finitely generated, then  $\widetilde{M}$  is coherent.

### 1.2.1 Twisting

Let  $S$  be a graded ring and  $M$  a graded  $S$ -module,  $M = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} M_r$ . Define  $M(n)$  to be the  $S$ -module  $M$ , but with a different grading given by  $M(n)_r = M_{n+r}$ . Thus  $\widetilde{M(n)}(D_+(f)) = \{\text{degree } n \text{ homogenous elements in } M_f\}$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $S$  be a graded ring.  $X = \text{Proj}S$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$  to be  $\widetilde{S(n)}$ . If  $F$  is any sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, define  $F(n) := F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$ .

*Remark.*  $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$  is called the Twisting Sheaf of Serre.

Twisting is 'well-behaved' provided that  $S$  is generated by  $S_1$  as an  $S_0$ -algebra. E.g.,  $A[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  for some ring  $A$ . Indeed, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.8.**  $S$  is a graded ring,  $X = \text{Proj}S$ . Assume that  $S$  is generated by  $S_1$  as an  $S_0$ -algebra. Then

1.  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$  is an invertible sheaf. (for all  $n$ )
2. If  $M$  is a graded  $S$ -module, then  $\widetilde{M(n)} \cong \widetilde{M}(n)$ .
3.  $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(m+n)$

*Proof.*

*Claim.* The set  $D_+(f)$  for  $f \in S_1$  cover  $X$ . Proof is an exercise, uses the assumption  $S$  is generated by  $S_1$  as an  $S_0$ -algebra.

1. By the claim, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)|_{D_+(f)}$  is isomorphic to  $\widetilde{S_{(f)}}(n)$  as  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec} S_{(f)}}$ -modules. We know that  $\mathcal{O}_X(n)|_{D_+(f)} \cong \widetilde{S(n)}_{(f)}$ . Suffices to show that  $S(n)_{(f)} \cong S_{(f)}$  as  $S_{(f)}$ -modules. But  $S(n)_{(f)} = \text{degree } n \text{ homogeneous elements in } S_f$ . while  $S_{(f)} = \text{degree 0 homogeneous elements in } S_f$ . We can construct a map  $S_f \rightarrow S(n)_f$  by  $s \mapsto f^n s$ . This is an isomorphism as  $f$  is invertible in  $S_f$ .
2. More generally, we have  $\widetilde{M \otimes_S N} \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widetilde{N}$  for graded  $S$ -modules  $M, N$ . But needs the assumption  $S$  is generated by  $S_1$  as an  $S_0$ -algebra (See Hartshornes for details)
3. Follows from part 2.

□