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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the distribution of closed geodesics on a compact negatively curved manifold. We concentrate on geodesics lying in a prescribed homology class and, under certain conditions, obtain a local limit theorem to describe the asymptotic behaviour of the associated counting function as the homology class varies.


## 0. Introduction

Let $M$ be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold with first Betti number $k>0$ and with negative sectional curvatures. Suppose also that either $\operatorname{dim} M=2$ or that $M$ is $1 / 4$-pinched, i.e., the sectional curvatures all lie in an interval $[-\kappa,-\kappa / 4]$, for some $\kappa>0$. Such a manifold contains a countable infinity of prime closed geodesics. (We say that a closed geodesic is prime if it is not a non-trivial multiple of another closed geodesic.) In this paper we shall be interested in how these closed geodesics are distributed with respect to homology.

The homology group $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{k} \oplus$ Tor, where Tor is the (finite) torsion subgroup. In this paper, it will be convenient to consider the torsion-free part of the homology, $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) /$ Tor. We shall, in fact, assume that an isomorphism has been fixed and write $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$ instead of $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) /$ Tor.

For a typical (prime) closed geodesic $\gamma$ on $M$, let $l(\gamma)$ denote its length and $[\gamma] \in$ $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) /$ Tor $=\mathbb{Z}^{k}$ the torsion-free part of its homology class. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$, define a counting function

$$
\pi(T, \alpha)=\#\{\gamma: l(\gamma) \leq T,[\gamma]=\alpha\}
$$

Recently, several papers have studied the asymptotics of this function as $T \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, Anantharaman [1] and Pollicott and Sharp [17] have shown that there exist constants $C_{0}>0$, independent of $\alpha$, and $C_{n}(\alpha), n \geq 1$, such that, for any $N \geq 1$, we have the asymptotic expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(T, \alpha)=\frac{e^{h T}}{T^{k / 2+1}}\left(C_{0}+\frac{C_{1}(\alpha)}{T}+\frac{C_{2}(\alpha)}{T^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{C_{N}(\alpha)}{T^{N}}+O\left(\frac{1}{T^{N+1}}\right)\right) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $h>0$ denotes the topological entropy of the geodesic flow over $M$. (In fact, the expansion in [17] contained some extra terms corresponding to powers of $T^{-1 / 2}$; a more careful analysis, as carried out in [11], shows that these terms vanish.) Furthermore, Kotani [11], has studied the dependence of the coefficients $C_{n}(\alpha)$ on $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$, showing that they may be expressed as polynomials of degree $2 n$ in $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$. In the special case of manifolds of constant negative curvature, the expansion (0.1) was obtained by Phillips and Sarnak [14] and, independently, Katsuda and Sunada [9] obtained the leading term. For manifolds of variable negative curvature (without the pinching condition) the leading term of (0.1) was obtained by Katsuda [8], Lalley [12] and Pollicott [15]. Analogous results for manifolds with cusps have been obtained by Epstein [6] and Babillot and Peigné [3].

In this note, we take a slightly different view and address the question of the behaviour of $\pi(T, \alpha)$ when $\alpha$ is allowed to vary independently of $T$. We obtain the following "local limit theorem".

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold with first Betti number $k>0$ and with negative sectional curvatures. Suppose also that either $\operatorname{dim} M=2$ or that $M$ is 1/4-pinched. Then there exists a symmetric positive definite real matrix $\mathcal{D}$ such that,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{h \sigma^{k} T^{k / 2+1}}{e^{h T}} \pi(T, \alpha)-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}} e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T}\right|=0
$$

uniformly in $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$, where $\sigma>0$ satisfies $\sigma^{2 k}=\operatorname{det} \mathcal{D}$.
Here $\left\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right.$ denotes the usual inner product $\langle x, y\rangle=x_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+x_{k} y_{k}$. As a particular consequence, we recover the leading term of the expansion (0.1), with $C_{0}=h^{-1} \sigma^{-k}(2 \pi)^{-k / 2}$. Theorem 1 appears not to have been stated even for manifolds of constant negative curvature, although, in that case, the result can be easily deduced from the analysis contained in [14].

Remarks.
(i) If we take the torsion part of $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ into account then we need to modify Theorem 1 to read

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{h \sigma^{k} T^{k / 2+1}}{e^{h T}} \pi(T, \alpha)-\frac{1}{(\# \text { Tor })(2 \pi)^{k / 2}} e^{-\left\langle\alpha_{F}, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha_{F}\right\rangle / 2 T}\right|=0
$$

uniformly in $\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$, where $\alpha_{F} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$ denotes the torsion-free part of $\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. (ii) In Kotani's formula for the term $C_{n}(\alpha) / T^{n}$ in (0.1), the highest power of $\alpha$ makes a contribution

$$
\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2} h \sigma^{k}} \frac{1}{n!}\left(-\frac{\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle}{2 T}\right)^{n}
$$

As observed by Kotani in [11], formally summing these contributions gives the expression $e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T} /(2 \pi)^{k / 2} h \sigma^{k}$.

Theorem 1 should be compared with the results on homology classes varying linearly in $T$ obtained by Lalley [12] and Babillot and Ledrappier [2]. Using these results, one can show that, for $\delta>0$ sufficiently small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\|\alpha\| \leq \delta T}\left|\frac{T^{k / 2+1}}{C(\alpha / T) e^{H(\alpha / T) T}} \pi(T, \alpha)-1\right|=0 \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(x)$ is an entropy function satisfying $H(0)=h, \nabla H(0)=0$ and $\nabla^{2} H(0)=-\mathcal{D}^{-1}$, and where $C(x)$ is continuous with $C(0)=C_{0}$. On the other hand, Theorem 1 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\|\alpha\| \leq \delta T}\left|\frac{h \sigma^{k} T^{k / 2+1}}{e^{h T}} \pi(T, \alpha)-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}} e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T}\right|=0 \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(as the supremum over $\|\alpha\|>\delta T$ clearly tends to zero). However, even though $H(x)=$ $H(0)-\left\langle x, \mathcal{D}^{-1} x\right\rangle / 2+O\left(\|x\|^{3}\right)$, which gives

$$
\exp \{H(\alpha / T) T\}=\exp \left\{H(0) T-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T+O\left(\|\alpha\|^{3} / T^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

the presence of the third order terms means that one cannot deduce (0.3) from (0.2).
The results of [2] and [12] do imply a central limit theorem: for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{k}$,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\gamma: l(\gamma) \leq T,[\gamma] / \sqrt{T} \in A\}}{\#\{\gamma: l(\gamma) \leq T\}}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2} \sigma^{k}} \int_{A} e^{-\left\langle x, \mathcal{D}^{-1} x\right\rangle / 2} d x
$$

A key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 is an understanding of the analytic domain of a family of functions of a complex variable, called $L$-functions, indexed by the characters of $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$. In the next section, we shall define these functions and discuss their properties. In Section 2, we shall introduce a family of functions $\mathcal{S}_{T}(t), t \in[-\pi, \pi]^{k}$, obtained by summing a suitably weighted character $e^{i\langle t, \cdot\rangle}$ over all (multiple) closed geodesics of length at most $T$, and show that they are related to contour integrals of the corresponding $L$ functions. The results in Section 1 are then used to estimate the sums $\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)$. In Section 3, we shall use an approach adapted from [18] to transfer information from the $\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)$ to an auxiliary function $\psi(T, \alpha)$, which is essentially a weighted version of $\pi(T, \alpha)$, and obtain an analogue of Theorem 1 valid for $\psi(T, \alpha)$. In Section 4, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1 by elementary arguments. In the final section, we shall discuss the application of our method to homologically full Anosov flows, giving a new proof of the first order asymptotic formula for $\pi(T, \alpha)$ (but without uniformity) in that case.
Notation. For given functions $A(T)$ and $B(T)$, we shall write $A(T) \sim B(T)$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, if $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} A(T) / B(T)=1$, and $A(T)=O(B(T))$ if $|A(T)| \leq C B(T)$, for some constant $C>0$.

## 1. $L$-FUNCTIONS

In order to obtain our main result, we shall need to understand the analytic behaviour of a certain family of functions of a complex variable. We will identify the character group of $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$ with $[-\pi, \pi]^{k}$. For $t \in[-\pi, \pi]^{k}$, define

$$
L(s, t)=\prod_{\gamma}\left(1-e^{-s l(\gamma)+i\langle t,[\gamma]\rangle}\right)^{-1}
$$

where the product is taken over all prime closed geodesics $\gamma$. This converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>h$ and has a meromorphic extension to a strictly larger half-plane [13].

It will be convenient to consider multiple closed geodesics $\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma^{n}, n \geq 1$. In this case we shall write $l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=n l(\gamma),\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]=n[\gamma]$, and $\Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=l(\gamma)$. (Note that $\Lambda$ is analogous to the von Mangoldt function in number theory.)

We shall be interested in the logarithmic derivative $L^{\prime}(s, t) / L(s, t)$ of $L(s, t)$. Whenever the summation converges, we have the identity

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}=-\sum_{\gamma^{\prime}} \Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) e^{-s l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)+i\left\langle t,\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle}
$$

We shall make use of the properties of $L^{\prime}(s, t) / L(s, t)$ described by the following two propositions. These results were obtained in [17] and rely heavily on the techniques of Dolgopyat [4]. We write $U(\delta)=\{t:\|t\|<\delta\}$.

Proposition 1 ([17]). For all sufficiently small $\delta>0$ the following statements are true.
(i) There exists $\epsilon>0$ and an analytic function $s: U(\delta) \rightarrow(-\infty, h]$, satisfying $s(0)=h$ and $s(t)<h$ for $t \neq 0$, such that

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}+\frac{1}{s-s(t)}
$$

is analytic in $\operatorname{Re}(s)>h-\epsilon$.
(ii) There exists $\epsilon>0$ such that, for $t \notin U(\delta), L^{\prime}(s, t) / L(s, t)$ is analytic in $\operatorname{Re}(s)>$ $h-\epsilon$.

Proposition 2 ([17]). There exists $\epsilon>0, C>0$, and $0<\beta<1$, such that, for all $t \in[-\pi, \pi]^{k}$,

$$
\left|\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right| \leq C|\operatorname{Im}(s)|^{\beta},
$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1-\epsilon$ and $|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \geq 1$.
The function $s(t)$ enjoys the following properties.
Lemma 1. $\nabla s(0)=0$ and $\nabla^{2} s(0)$ is strictly negative definite.
We shall write $\mathcal{D}=-\nabla^{2} s(0)$ and define $\sigma>0$ by $\sigma^{2 k}=\operatorname{det} \mathcal{D}$. The next result is crucial for our subsequent analysis.

Proposition 3. There exists $\delta>0$ such that, for $t \in U(\delta \sigma \sqrt{T})$,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} e^{(s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-h) T}=e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Furthermore, $\left|e^{(s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-h) T}\right| \leq e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}}$ and

$$
\left|e^{(s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-h) T}-e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}}\right| \leq 2 e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Proof. Let $f(t)=e^{s(t)-h}$. Then $f(0)=1, \nabla f(0)=\nabla s(0)=0$, and $\nabla^{2} f(0)=\nabla^{2} s(0)=$ $-\mathcal{D}$. Applying Taylor's Theorem, we have that, for $\|t / \sigma \sqrt{T}\| \leq \delta$,

$$
f\left(\frac{t}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}\right)=1-\frac{\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle}{2 \sigma^{2} T}+O\left(\frac{\|t\|^{3}}{T^{3 / 2}}\right)
$$

(where the implied constant is independent of $t$ ). The first statement follows from the identity $\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}(1-x / T)^{T}=e^{-x}$.

Provided $\delta>0$ is sufficiently small, for $\|u\| \leq \delta$, we have

$$
\langle u, \mathcal{D} u\rangle / 2+O\left(\|u\|^{3}\right) \geq\langle u, \mathcal{D} u\rangle / 4
$$

Since $(1-x / T)^{T}<e^{-x}$, this gives us $|f(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})| \leq e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}}$. Applying the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}}\right| & \leq e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}}+e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}} \\
& \leq 2 e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. The function $s(t)$ has an interpretation in terms of the thermodynamic formalism of the geodesic flow on $S M$. For a continuous function $G: S M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define its pressure $P(G)=\sup _{\mu}\left\{h_{\mu}(\phi)+\int G d \mu\right\}$, where the supremum is taken over all probability measures invariant under the geodesic flow. We can define a (smooth) function $F: S M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ with the property that, for each closed geodesic $\gamma, \int_{0}^{l(\gamma)} F(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) d t=[\gamma]$. Then $\mathbb{R}^{k} \ni z \mapsto$ $P(\langle z, F\rangle)$ is real analytic and has an analytic extension to a neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. We have that $s(t)=P(\langle i t, F\rangle)$ and that $\mathcal{D}=\left.\nabla^{2} P(\langle z, F\rangle)\right|_{z=0}[10],[19]$.

## 2. Contour Integration

We shall now use the results on $L$-functions obtained in the preceding section to examine the behaviour of the summatory function

$$
\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)=\sum_{l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq T}^{\prime} \Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) e^{i\left\langle t,\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle}
$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. (Here, the ${ }^{\prime}$ on the summation sign denotes that the terms with $l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)=T$ are counted with weight $1 / 2$.)

We begin by relating $\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)$ to $L^{\prime}(s, t) / L(s, t)$. This is achieved through the following lemma.

Lemma 2 [20, p.132] (Effective Perron Formula). Define a function $\theta(y)$ by

$$
\theta(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0<y<1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \text { if } y=1 \\
1 \text { if } y>1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Then, uniformly for $d>0, R>0$,

$$
\left|\theta(y)-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{d-i R}^{d+i R} \frac{y^{s}}{s} d s\right|=O\left(\frac{y^{d}}{1+R|\log y|}\right) .
$$

Set $d=h+T^{-1}$ and $R=T^{K}$ (where $K>0$ will be chosen later). Applying Lemma 2 term-by-term to $-L^{\prime}(s, t) / L(s, t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{d-i R}^{d+i R}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{s T}}{s} d s+O\left(\sum_{\gamma^{\prime}} \frac{\Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) e^{d T} e^{-d l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}}{1+R\left|T-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right|}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will estimate the big- $O$ term in this expression. First set $\epsilon=T^{-M}$ (where $M>0$ will be chosen later) and consider the terms for which $\left|T-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon$. We will use the following result contained in [16].
Proposition 4 [16]. There exists $c<h$ such that

$$
\#\left\{\gamma^{\prime}: l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq T\right\}=\int_{2}^{e^{h T}} \frac{1}{\log u} d u+O\left(e^{c T}\right)
$$

As a consequence, we may write

$$
\#\left\{\gamma^{\prime}:\left|T-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon\right\}=\int_{e^{h T-h \epsilon}}^{e^{h T+h \epsilon}} \frac{1}{\log u} d u+O\left(e^{c T}\right)=O\left(\frac{\epsilon e^{h T}}{T}\right)
$$

Furthermore, if $\left|T-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon$ then $e^{d T} e^{-d l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}=O(1)$. Thus

$$
\sum_{\left|T-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon} \frac{\Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) e^{d T} e^{-d l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}}{1+R\left|T-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right|}=O\left(\frac{e^{h T}}{T^{M}}\right)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\sum_{\left|T-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right|>\epsilon} \frac{\Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) e^{d T} e^{-d l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}}{1+R\left|T-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\right|} \leq \frac{e^{d T}}{R \epsilon} \sum_{\gamma^{\prime}} \Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) e^{-d l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}=O\left(\frac{e^{h T}}{T^{K-M-1}}\right)
$$

where we have used the estimate

$$
\left|\frac{L^{\prime}\left(h+T^{-1}, 0\right)}{L\left(h+T^{-1}, 0\right)}\right|=O(T)
$$

Combining the estimates above, equation (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{d-i R}^{d+i R}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{s T}}{s} d s+O\left(\frac{e^{h T}}{T^{\min \{M, K-M-1\}}}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. For all $N \geq 1$ we have the following estimates. (The implied constants are independent of $t$.)
(i) For $t \in U(\delta)$,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)=\frac{e^{s(t) T}}{s(t)}+O\left(\frac{e^{h T}}{T^{N}}\right)
$$

(ii) For $t \notin U(\delta)$,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)=O\left(\frac{e^{h T}}{T^{N}}\right)
$$

Proof. Choose $h-\epsilon<c<h$ and let $\Gamma$ denote the contour formed by the rectangle with vertices at $d-i R, d+i R, c+i R$, and $c-i R$, oriented counter-clockwise.
(i) Suppose that $t \in U(\delta)$. By Proposition 1(i) we can choose $c<s(t)$ so that, using the Residue Theorem,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{s T}}{s} d s=\frac{e^{s(t) T}}{s(t)}
$$

Using Proposition 2, we also have the following bounds:
(a)

$$
\left|\left(\int_{c+i R}^{d+i R}+\int_{c-i R}^{d-i R}\right)\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{s T}}{s} d s\right|=O\left(R^{\beta-1} e^{h T}\right)=O\left(\frac{e^{h T}}{T^{K(1-\beta)}}\right)
$$

(b)

$$
\left|\int_{c-i R}^{c+i R}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{s T}}{s} d s\right|=O\left(R^{\beta} e^{c T}\right)=O\left(T^{\beta K} e^{c T}\right)
$$

Combining this with (2.2) gives

$$
\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)=\frac{e^{s(t) T}}{s(t)}+O\left(\frac{e^{h T}}{T^{N}}\right)
$$

where

$$
N=\min \{M, K-M-1, K(1-\beta)\}
$$

Since $K$ and $M$ are arbitrary, we may take $N$ as large as we please.
(ii) Suppose that $t \notin U(\delta)$. Then, by Proposition 1(ii),

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{s T}}{s} d s=0
$$

The result now follows as in the proof of (i).

## 3. An Auxiliary Function

In this section, we shall prove a result analogous to Theorem 1 but where $\pi(T, \alpha)$ is replaced by the auxiliary function

$$
\psi(T, \alpha)=\sum_{\substack{l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq T \\\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]=\alpha}}{ }^{\prime} \Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)
$$

which can be related to the sums $\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)$ considered in the previous section. We shall adapt an approach used by Rousseau-Egele [18] to examine the quantity $\sigma^{k} T^{k / 2} e^{-h T} \psi(T, \alpha)$. For $a>0$, write $I(a)=[-a, a]^{k}$. Using the orthogonality relationship

$$
\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k}} \int_{I(\pi)} e^{-i\langle t, \alpha\rangle} e^{i\langle t, y\rangle} d t=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } y=\alpha \\
0 \text { if } y \in \mathbb{Z}^{k} \backslash \alpha
\end{array},\right.
$$

we have that

$$
\psi(T, \alpha)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k}} \int_{I(\pi)} e^{-i\langle t, \alpha\rangle} \mathcal{S}_{T}(t) d t
$$

Making the substitution $t \mapsto t / \sigma \sqrt{T}$, we obtain

$$
\sigma^{k} T^{k / 2} \psi(T, \alpha)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k}} \int_{I(\pi \sigma \sqrt{T})} e^{-i\langle t, \alpha\rangle / \sigma \sqrt{T}} \mathcal{S}_{T}(t / \sigma \sqrt{T}) d t
$$

The next result is the key to the proof of Theorem 1.

## Proposition 5.

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}}\left|\frac{h \sigma^{k} T^{k / 2}}{e^{h T}} \psi(T, \alpha)-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}} e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T}\right|=0
$$

Using the identity,

$$
e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T}=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} e^{i\langle t, \alpha\rangle / \sigma \sqrt{T}} e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}} d t
$$

we have established the bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 \pi)^{k}\left|\frac{h \sigma^{k} T^{k / 2}}{e^{h T}} \psi(T, \alpha)-\frac{e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T}}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{U(\delta \sigma \sqrt{T})} e^{-i\langle t, \alpha\rangle / \sigma \sqrt{T}}\left\{h e^{-h T} \mathcal{S}_{T}(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}}\right\} d t\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{I(\pi \sigma \sqrt{T}) \backslash U(\delta \sigma \sqrt{T})} e^{-i\langle t, \alpha\rangle / \sigma \sqrt{T}} h e^{-h T} \mathcal{S}_{T}(t / \sigma \sqrt{T}) d t\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k} \backslash U(\delta \sigma \sqrt{T})} e^{-i\langle t, \alpha\rangle / \sigma \sqrt{T}} e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}} d t\right| \\
& =A_{1}(T, \alpha)+A_{2}(T, \alpha)+A_{3}(T, \alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}} A_{3}(T, \alpha)=0
$$

so, to complete the proof of Proposition 5, it remains to estimate $A_{1}(T, \alpha)$ and $A_{2}(T, \alpha)$. To do this we shall use the information on $s(t)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{T}(t)$ contained in Proposition 3 and Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. There exists $C>0$ such that, for all sufficiently small $\delta>0$,

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}} A_{1}(T, \alpha) \leq C\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}} d t\right\} \delta^{2}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3, we have that, for $t \in U(\delta \sigma \sqrt{T})$,

$$
h e^{-h T} \mathcal{S}_{T}(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})=\frac{h e^{(s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-h) T}}{s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})}+O\left(T^{-(k / 2+1)}\right)
$$

Using the analyticity of $s(t)$ and the fact that $\nabla s(0)=0$, we have

$$
\left|e^{(s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-h) T}\left(\frac{h}{s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})}-1\right)\right| \leq C \delta^{2} e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}},
$$

for some constant $C>0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}(T, \alpha) & \leq \int_{U(\delta \sigma \sqrt{T})}\left|e^{(s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-h) T}-e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}}\right| d t \\
& +C \delta^{2} \int_{U(\delta \sigma \sqrt{T})} e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}} d t+O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 3, we know that $e^{(s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-h) T}$ converges to $e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}}$, as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, we have the estimate

$$
\left|e^{(s(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})-h) T}-e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2}}\right| \leq 2 e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}}
$$

Hence, applying the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain the desired result.
Lemma 5.

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}} A_{2}(T, \alpha)=0
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3(ii), for $t \notin U(\delta / \sigma \sqrt{T})$,

$$
e^{-h T} \mathcal{S}_{T}(t / \sigma \sqrt{T})=O\left(T^{-(k / 2+1)}\right)
$$

so that $\sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}} A_{2}(T, \alpha)=O\left(T^{-1}\right)$.
Proof of Proposition 5. Combining the above results we have that

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}}\left|\frac{h \sigma^{k} T^{k / 2}}{e^{h T}} \psi(T, \alpha)-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}} e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T}\right| \leq C\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} e^{-\langle t, \mathcal{D} t\rangle / 4 \sigma^{2}} d t\right\} \delta^{2}
$$

Since this holds for all sufficiently small $\delta>0$, the proof is complete.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we will use elementary arguments to deduce Theorem 1 from Proposition 5. Whenever we make a big- $O$ estimate, the implied constant will be independent of $\alpha$.

Write

$$
\psi^{*}(T, \alpha)=\sum_{\substack{l(\gamma) \leq T \\[\gamma]=\alpha}} l(\gamma) .
$$

An easy argument shows that

$$
\psi(T, \alpha)=\psi^{*}(T, \alpha)+O\left(T^{2} e^{h T / 2}\right)
$$

Thus Proposition 5 implies the following.

## Proposition 6.

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}}\left|\frac{h \sigma^{k} T^{k / 2}}{e^{h T}} \psi^{*}(T, \alpha)-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}} e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T}\right|=0 .
$$

Finally we consider $\pi(T, \alpha)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\psi^{*}(T, \alpha) \leq T \pi(T, \alpha)
$$

For the corresponding lower bound, choose $\tau>0$ and set $\theta=(1+\tau)^{-1}<1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{T \pi(T, \alpha)}{e^{h T}} & =\frac{T}{e^{h T}} \sum_{\substack{\theta T<l(\gamma) \leq T \\
[\gamma]=\alpha}} 1+\frac{T \pi(\theta T, \alpha)}{e^{h T}} \\
& \leq \frac{1+\tau}{e^{h T}} \sum_{\substack{\theta T<l(\gamma) \leq T \\
[\gamma]=\alpha}} l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)+\frac{T \pi(\theta T, \alpha)}{e^{h T}} \\
& \leq \frac{(1+\tau) \psi^{*}(T, \alpha)}{e^{h T}}+\frac{T \#\{\gamma: l(\gamma) \leq \theta T\}}{e^{h T}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the estimate $\#\{\gamma: l(\gamma) \leq T\}=O\left(e^{h T} / T\right)$ [13], we have established

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{T^{k / 2+1}}{e^{h T}} \pi(T, \alpha)-\frac{T^{k / 2}}{e^{h T}} \psi^{*}(T, \alpha) \\
& \leq \frac{\tau T^{k / 2}}{e^{h T}} \psi^{*}(T, \alpha)+O\left(T^{k / 2} e^{(\theta-1) h T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, by applying Proposition 6,

$$
\limsup _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}}\left|\frac{T^{k / 2+1}}{e^{h T}} \pi(T, \alpha)-\frac{T^{k / 2}}{e^{h T}} \psi^{*}(T, \alpha)\right| \leq \frac{\tau}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2} h \sigma^{k}}
$$

Since $\tau>0$ is arbitrary, this proves Theorem 1 .

## 5. Homologically Full Anosov Flows

The asymptotic identity identity (0.1) has been generalized to certain transitive Anosov flows $\phi_{t}: N \rightarrow N$, where $N$ is a compact smooth Riemannian manifold. We now use $\gamma$ to denote a (prime) periodic orbit of $\phi$, with least period $l(\gamma)$. Once again, we write $[\gamma]$ for the torsion-free part of the homology class of $\gamma$ in $H_{1}(N, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k} \oplus$ Tor. We say that $\phi$ is homologically full if every homology class in $H_{1}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ is represented by a closed orbit. In this case, there exist $\xi \in H^{1}(N, \mathbb{R}), 0<h^{*} \leq h$ and $C_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(T, \alpha) \sim C_{0} e^{-\langle\xi, \alpha\rangle} \frac{e^{h^{*} T}}{T^{k / 2+1}}, \quad \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result was first proved in [19], drawing on ideas from [10]. An alternative proof was given in [2] and a more precise version is contained in [17]. In this section, we shall sketch a new proof of (5.1), using the techniques discussed above. However, we will not make any claims about uniformity.

Remark. We can define a function $\mathfrak{p}: H^{1}(N, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow R$ by $\mathfrak{p}([\omega])=P(\omega(\mathcal{X}))$, where $\omega$ is a closed 1-form representing the cohomology class $[\omega]$ and $\mathcal{X}$ is the vector field tangent to $\phi$. Then $\xi$ and $h^{*}$ are characterized by the formulae

$$
h^{*}=\mathfrak{p}(\xi)=\min \left\{\mathfrak{p}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right): \xi^{\prime} \in H^{1}(N, \mathbb{R})\right\}
$$

We begin by considering a modified family of $L$-functions. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(s, t)=\prod_{\gamma}\left(1-e^{-s l(\gamma)+\langle\xi, \alpha\rangle+i\langle t,[\gamma]\rangle}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>h^{*}$. The extension of $L^{\prime} / L$ to a uniform strip, described in Propositions 1 and 2, is no longer valid; however, the next result provides a weaker substitute. As in the case of closed geodesics, an analysis due to Dolgopyat [5] is crucial here. For $\rho>0$, write

$$
\mathcal{R}(\rho)=\left\{s: \operatorname{Re}(s)>h^{*}-|\operatorname{Im}(s)|^{-\rho},|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \geq 1\right\}
$$

Proposition 7 ([17]). There exists a constant $\rho>0$ such that, for all sufficiently small $\delta>0$, the following statements are true.
(i) There exists an analytic function $s: U(\delta) \rightarrow\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq z\}$, satisfying $s(0)=h^{*}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s(t))<h^{*}$ for $t \neq 0$, such that

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}+\frac{1}{s-s(t)}
$$

is analytic in $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$.
(ii) For $t \notin U(\delta), L^{\prime}(s, t) / L(s, t)$ is analytic in $\mathcal{R}(\rho)$.

Proposition 8 ([17]). There exist $C>0$ and $\beta>0$, such that, for all $t \in[-\pi, \pi]^{k}$,

$$
\left|\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right| \leq C|\operatorname{Im}(s)|^{\beta}
$$

for $s \in \mathcal{R}(\rho)$.
Although the function $s(t)$ is now complex valued, it is still the case that $\nabla s(0)=0$ and that $\nabla^{2} s(0)$ is real and strictly negative definite. Moreover, again writing $\mathcal{D}=-\nabla^{2} s(0)$ and $\sigma^{2 k}=\operatorname{det} \mathcal{D}$, the function $e^{s(t)-h^{*}}$ still satisfies the conclusions of Proposition 3.

We shall now mimic the arguments of Section 2. However, the weaker bounds on $L^{\prime}(s, t) / L(s, t)$ force us to use a more complicated auxiliary function. For $n \geq 0$, define

$$
\psi_{n}(T, \alpha)=\frac{e^{\langle\xi, \alpha\rangle}}{n!} \sum_{\substack{l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq T \\\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]=\alpha}}^{\prime} \Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(e^{h^{*} T}-e^{h^{*} l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{n}
$$

Then we have the identity

$$
\sigma^{k} T^{k / 2} \psi_{n}(T, \alpha)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k}} \int_{I(\pi \sigma \sqrt{T})} e^{-i\langle t, \alpha\rangle / \sigma \sqrt{T}} \mathcal{S}_{T}^{*}(t / \sigma \sqrt{T}) d t
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{S}_{T}^{*}(t)=\sum_{l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq T}{ }^{\prime} \Lambda\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) e^{\left\langle\xi,\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle+i\left\langle t,\left[\gamma^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle}\left(e^{h^{*} T}-e^{h^{*} l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{n}
$$

In order to estimate the function $\mathcal{S}_{T}^{*}(t)$ we need the following identity, for $d>h^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{T}^{*}(t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{d-i \infty}^{d+i \infty}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{(s+n) T}}{s(s+1) \cdots(s+n)} d s \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the formula

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{d-i \infty}^{d+i \infty} \frac{y^{s}}{s(s+1) \cdots(s+n)} d s=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } 0<y<1 \\
\frac{1}{n!}\left(1-\frac{1}{y}\right)^{n} \text { if } y \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Choose $0<\epsilon<1 / \rho$ and set $R=T^{\epsilon}$ and $d=h^{*}+T^{-1}$. Then replacing the integral in (5.3) with the truncated integral $\int_{d-i R}^{d+i R}$ introduces an error of order $O\left(e^{\left(h^{*}+n\right) T} / T^{\epsilon n}\right)$. Using the estimates
(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\int_{h^{*}-R^{-\rho+i R}}^{d+i R}+\int_{h^{*}-R^{-\rho-i R}}^{d-i R}\right)\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{(s+n) T}}{s(s+1) \cdots(s+n)} d s\right| \\
& =O\left(R^{\beta-\rho-n-1} e^{\left(h^{*}+n\right) T}\right)=O\left(e^{\left(h^{*}+n\right) T} T^{-\epsilon(\rho+n+1-\beta)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{h^{*}-R^{-\rho} \pm i}^{h^{*}-R^{-\rho} \pm i R}\left(-\frac{L^{\prime}(s, t)}{L(s, t)}\right) \frac{e^{(s+n) T}}{s(s+1) \cdots(s+n)} d s\right| \\
& =O\left(R^{\beta} e^{\left(h^{*}+n-R^{-\rho}\right) T}\right)=O\left(T^{\beta \epsilon} e^{\left(h^{*}+n\right) T} e^{-T^{1-\epsilon \rho}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we may repeat the proof of Lemma 3 to obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6. Setting $N=\min \{\epsilon n, \epsilon(\rho+n+1-\beta)\}$, we have the following. (The implied constants are independent of t.)
(i) For $t \in U(\delta)$,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{T}^{*}(t)=\frac{e^{(s(t)+n) T}}{s(t)(s(t)+1) \cdots(s(t)+n)}+O\left(\frac{e^{\left(h^{*}+n\right) T}}{T^{N}}\right)
$$

(ii) For $t \notin U(\delta), \mathcal{S}_{T}^{*}(t)=O\left(e^{\left(h^{*}+n\right) T} / T^{N}\right)$.

Provided $n$ is sufficiently large that $N>k / 2$, we may repeat the arguments used in the proof of Proposition 5 to obtain

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}}\left|\prod_{j=0}^{n}\left(h^{*}+j\right) \frac{\sigma^{k} T^{k / 2}}{e^{\left(h^{*}+n\right) T}} \psi_{n}(T, \alpha)-\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}} e^{-\left\langle\alpha, \mathcal{D}^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 T}\right|=0 .
$$

From this it immediately follows that

$$
\psi_{n}(T, \alpha) \sim \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2} \sigma^{k}} \prod_{j=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(h^{*}+j\right)} \frac{e^{\left(h^{*}+n\right) T}}{T^{k / 2}}, \quad \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty
$$

The asymptotic formula

$$
\psi_{0}(T, \alpha) \sim \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2} h^{*} \sigma^{k}} \frac{e^{h^{*} T}}{T^{k / 2}}, \quad \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty
$$

now follows by a standard inductive argument (cf. p. 35 of [7]). Finally, (5.1) may be deduced as in section 3. (Note that one needs the a priori estimate lim sup $\lim ^{( }(\pi(T, \alpha))^{1 / T} \leq$ $e^{h^{*}}$, which follows from the convergence of (5.2) for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>h^{*}$.)
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