Microscale instability and mixing in driven and active suspensions

Michael Shelley, Applied Math Lab, Courant Institute

Collaborators:

Lisa Fauci Christel Hohenegger Eric Keaveny **David Saintillan** Joseph Teran **Becca Thomases** Tulane CIMS CIMS UIUC UCLA UC-Davis





Dynamics and interactions of micro-structure in complex fluids

- Dynamics of non-Newtonian fluids
- Reinforced composite materials
- Biological locomotion
- Elastic "turbulence"
 & low Re mixing Groisman & Sternberg '00, '01, ...
- Microfluidic rectifiers
 Groisman, Enzelberger, & Quake '03



I-S_A phase trans -- PPM



B. subtilis – one and many C. Dombrowski et al '05, 07





microfluidic rectifier – Groisman & Quake



microscale mixing – Groisman & Steinberg

Experiments: V. Steinberg & A. Groisman Viscoelastic fluid – Elastic "turbulence" - Efficient mixing Rotating plates (Low Re, "High" Wi)





Arratia et al, PRL 2006

Elastic fluid instabilities near hyperbolic points



Stokes-Oldroyd-B (*Re*<<1)

- model of a "Boger" elastic fluid (normal stresses, no shear thinning)
- derives from a microscopic, dilute theory of polymer coils
- one of the standard viscoelastic flow models; Little known about large data solutions.

$$-\nabla p + \Delta \mathbf{u} = -\beta \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p} - \mathbf{f} \text{ and } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$Wi \ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p}^{\nabla} = -(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{p} - \mathbf{I})$$

momentum and mass balance

transport and dissipation of polymer stress

• $\sigma_{\mathbf{p}}^{\nabla} = \frac{D\sigma_{\mathbf{p}}}{Dt} - (\nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \sigma_{\mathbf{p}} + \sigma_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}})$ Upper convected time derivative

• $Wi = \frac{\tau_p}{\tau_f}$; Weissenberg number ratio of polymer relax. time to flow time-scale

$$\tau_f = \frac{\mu}{\rho LF}$$

• $\beta = \frac{G\tau_f}{\mu}$; coupling strength μ = solvent viscosity; F = external force scale τ_p = polymer relaxation time; G = background poly. stress

• $\beta \cdot Wi = \frac{G\tau_p}{\mu} = \frac{\text{polymer viscosity}}{\text{solvent viscosity}}$

Material constant; fix to ¹/₂ as in expts of Arratia *et al*

Properties:

(1) Has decaying "strain" energy:
$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int \operatorname{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{I} \right)$$

 $\dot{E} + Wi^{-1}E = 2\beta^{-1} \left[-\int |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + \int \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{f} \right]$

But lacks of scale dependent dissipation: (2)

> $\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{\sigma}}_p}{\partial t} = L(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{\mathbf{\sigma}}_p + P(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{\mathbf{f}}$ Use the Fourier transform to solve the linearized with $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k} / |\mathbf{k}|$

problem

- Assume linear (3) Polymer stress tensor: $\sigma_{\rm p} = \nu \langle {\rm fr} \rangle = C \langle {\rm rr} \rangle$ Assume linear Hooke's law for bead forces is s.p.d.
- Existence of large-data solutions is unknown, even in 2d (4)

Simulations: De-aliased Fourier based spectral method; second order time stepping.

Vorticity field for Newtonian fluid



Background force

$$\mathbf{f} = \begin{pmatrix} -2\sin x\cos y\\ 2\cos x\sin y \end{pmatrix}$$

With Newtonian fluid yields

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin x \cos y \\ -\cos x \sin y \end{pmatrix}$$

Creates hyperbolic points in background flow *ala* Arratia *et al.*, *PRL* 2006

Also Berti et al '08, Xi & Graham '09 Becherer, Morozov, van Saarloos '08, 09



Local Model – fix strain-rate α – determined by flow -- and advect stress field by local straining velocity

 $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = (\alpha x, -\alpha y); \quad \varphi = \sigma_{\mathbf{p}}^{11}; \quad t \to Wi \cdot t \; ; \; \varepsilon = \alpha \cdot Wi$ $\varphi_t + \varepsilon x \varphi_x - \varepsilon y \varphi_y + (1 - 2\varepsilon) \varphi - 1 = 0$

General solution :

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{1 - 2\varepsilon} + e^{(2\varepsilon - 1)t} H_{11}(x e^{-\varepsilon t}, y e^{\varepsilon t})$$

Relevant solution : $H_{11}(a,b) = h(b)$ with $h(b) \sim |b|^q$ as $|b| \rightarrow \infty$

Why? Choose q to eliminate long time t – dependence

$$\Rightarrow q = \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \left[\varphi \right|_{t \to \infty} = \frac{1}{1 - 2\varepsilon} + C \left| y \right|^{\frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}} \right]$$

steady states also studied by Rallison & Hinch '88 and M. Renardy '06



Note $\varepsilon < 1$ implies q > -1 so the stress is integrable.

Divergence in stress

cusp in

stress

q = -*1*

5

<u>Mixing and Symmetry-Breaking:</u> Thomases & Shelley '09 The SOB system is also unstable to symmetry-breaking; see Poole *et al* '07, Xi & Graham '08



Full disclosure: Small amount of polymer stress diffusion added to control gradient growth

Long-time behavior with increasing *Wi*:







Wi=6, t=2000

Larger Wi:

- multiple frequencies of oscillation
- robust GRS of viscoelastic flows
- well-mixed fluid outside of GRS

Need new experiments, stability analyses.

Smaller *Wi:* symmetry breaking, little mixing



Update:

(1) 1 of 10 simulations using random amplitude/phase initial perturbations for polymer stress.



(2) What if the number of vortex cells is increased?(3) Now investigating in a new expt'l rig in the AML



16 counter-rotating rotors driving a PAA viscoelastic solution w. Bin Liu, J. Zhang

Collective dynamics of active suspensions (bacterial baths)



Observation: meandering jet and vortices of scale 50-100 μ m, speeds 50-100 μ m/sec in jets Scale of *B. subtilis* ~ 4 μ m (plus tail); swimming speed 20-30 μ m/sec

- A complex fluid driven by dynamics of its microstructure many body interactions mediated by fluid.
- collective behavior leads to strong mixing.
- Role of body geometry? Emergence or role of orientational ordering?
- Competition of hydrodynamic coupling vs. attractive gradients?

Some of the experiments:

- Wu & Libchaber '00:"brownian" motion of test particles in bacterial baths.
- Dombrowski et al '04: large-scale flow structures (many body lengths).
- Kim & Breuer '04, enhanced mixing using bacteria in micro-fluidic device.
- Paxton et al, '04, fabricated chemically-driven nano-rod-swimmers.
- Dreyfus et al, '05, bio-mimetic swimmers driven by magnetic fields
- Short et al, 06, expts and model of Volvox swimming.
- Sokolov et al, '07, expts on concentration dependencies in thin films.

•...

Some of the theory:

- bioconvection: Childress & Spiegel, Pedley and many others
- Simha & Ramaswamy '02: predict instability of long-wave oriented states
- Hernandez-Ortiz *et al*, '05: simulations of force-dipole suspensions show emergence of large-scale structures
- Toner et al, '05: models of flocking.
- Sambelashvili, Lau, & Cai '07, ordering of 2d rod locomotors by local steric interactions
- Pedley, Ishikawa et al, interactions of squirmers (specified surface velocity)
- Saintillan & Shelley, 07, '08, particle simulations, kinetic theory of moving rod suspensions
- Keaveny & Maxey, '08, theory and simulations for bio-mimetic swimmers
- Kanevsky et al, '09, simulations of interacting stress-actuated swimmers

•...

Slender-body swimmer driven by surface stress

Saintillan & Shelley *PRL* 2007, motivated by Volvox model of Short, Goldstein, *et al*; (simulation of multi-V interactions by Kanevsky, Shelley, Tornberg, '08)



Integrated traction (force per unit length):

$$\boldsymbol{f}(s) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s,\theta) \cdot \boldsymbol{p} r(s) \mathrm{d}\theta = \underbrace{2\pi f_{0}(s)r(s)m(s)\boldsymbol{p}}_{\boldsymbol{f}_{\parallel}, \text{ prescribed}} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{f}_{\perp}(s)}_{\substack{\boldsymbol{unknown}\\(m(s) = \boldsymbol{t}(s) \cdot \boldsymbol{p})}}$$

Force and torque balances:

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \boldsymbol{f}(s) ds = \boldsymbol{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{T} = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} s \boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{f}(s) ds = \boldsymbol{0}$$

Single particle flow fields





Saintillan & Shelley, *PRL '07* 2500 swimming "pushers" in periodic box of dimensions 10 x 10 x 3

effective volume fraction $n (L/2)^3 = 1; n = #$ density (strongly interacting)

All initially aligned in the z direction – nematic order – with randomized positions





Spatially organized instability destroys long-range order. Predicted by Simha & Ramaswamy '02



Loss of global orientational order: order parameters:

$$S_1 = \langle \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{z} \rangle \quad \& \quad S_2 = \frac{1}{2} \Big(3 \langle \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{z} \rangle^2 - 1 \Big)$$

Emergence of large-scale dynamical flow as in Dombrowski *et al*, Hernandez-Ortiz *et al* <u>A kinetic theory for active suspensions</u> S&S, *PRL* '08, *PF* '08 Pose Fokker-Planck equation for distribution function $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ of particle center of mass \mathbf{x} and (unit) swimming director \mathbf{p} (rod theory, Doi & Edwards, '86):

$$\Psi_{t} + \nabla_{x} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{x}}\Psi) + \nabla_{p} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{p}}\Psi) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{1}{V} \int dV_{x} \int dS_{p}\Psi = n$$

w. "particle" fluxes $\int \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{U}_0 \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \nabla_x (D \ln \Psi)$ $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p})(\gamma \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{W})\mathbf{p} - \nabla_p (d \ln \Psi)$

Background fluid velocity:

$$\nabla q - \Delta \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^a$$
 and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$

driven by active swimming stress (Kirkwood theory; Batchelor '70):

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{a}(\mathbf{x},t) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0} \int dS_{p} \Psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t) [\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{I}/3]$$

Pushers: $\sigma_0 < 0$; Pullers: $\sigma_0 > 0$

Important d'less parameters: $U_0 \rightarrow 1$, $\sigma_0 \rightarrow \alpha = O(1)$, $L \rightarrow L/l_c$

A useful special case

Neglecting diffusion, consider a locally aligned suspension:

 $\Psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t) = c(\mathbf{x},t)\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x},t))$

Setting D = d = 0 The full kinetic equations reduce exactly to:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot ((\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{u})c) = 0\\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla_x \mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{nn}) \nabla_x \mathbf{un} \quad \text{(preserves } \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 1) \end{cases}$$

with $\nabla_x q - \nabla_x^2 \mathbf{u} = -\nabla_x \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^a$, $\nabla_x \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$

and particle extra stress $\Sigma^{p} = \alpha c(\mathbf{x},t)(\mathbf{nn} - \mathbf{I}/3)$

Stability analysis II: uniform isotropic case

A nearly isotropic uniform suspension:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[1 + \varepsilon \,\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}+\lambda t)} \right]$$

Derive relation:

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{3i\alpha\gamma}{2\pi} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{\lambda + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + Dk^2} \, \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{F} \Big[\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}} \Big] \tag{1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}\left[\tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}}\right] = \left(\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{k}}\hat{\mathbf{k}}\right) \int dS_{p'} \mathbf{p}' \left(\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{p'}\right) \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\mathbf{p'}\right)$$

Applying \mathbf{F} operator to (1), and evaluation of the integral, yields the eigenvalue relation:

$$\frac{3i\alpha\gamma}{2k} \left[2a^3 - \frac{4}{3}a + \left(a^4 - a^2\right)\log\frac{a-1}{a+1} \right] = 1 \quad \text{w. } a = -i\left(\lambda + Dk^2\right)/k$$



Suspensions of *pushers* are *unstable* at long wavelengths. *pullers* are stable

(eigen-solutions do not describe small-scale behavior – Hohenegger & Shelley '09)

Eigenfunctions:

$$\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}} = \int dS_{p} \tilde{\Psi}_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\mathbf{p} \right) = 0$$

 $\tilde{\Sigma}^a_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}\mathbf{k}$

no concentration fluctuations in linear theory.

active stress eigen-modes are shear-stresses.

Non-linear simulations (2-d)

• Initial condition:
$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}, \phi, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[1 + \sum_{i} \epsilon_i \cos(\boldsymbol{k}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + \xi_i) \times P_i(\cos \phi, \sin \phi) \right]$$

Concentration field *c*

Mean director field *n*



Long-time dynamics: velocity field



- The concentration bands are located inside shear layers.
- These shear layers become unstable, leading to the formation of vortices and to the break-up of the bands, which then reform in the transverse direction.

Configurational entropy:
$$\begin{cases} S = \int dV_x \int dS_p \left(\frac{\Psi}{\Psi_0}\right) \ln\left(\frac{\Psi}{\Psi_0}\right) \\ \ge 0; =0 \text{ only for } \Psi \equiv \Psi_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{3}{\alpha \Psi_0} \int dV_x \mathbf{E} : \mathbf{\Sigma}^a - \frac{1}{\Psi_0} \int dV_x \int dS_p \Psi \left[D \left| \nabla_x \ln \Psi \right|^2 + d \left| \nabla_p \ln \Psi \right|^2 \right]$$

But ... from the momentum equations:

$$P_a(t) = -\int dV_x \mathbf{E} : \mathbf{\Sigma}^a = 2 \int dV_x \mathbf{E} : \mathbf{E}$$

rate of viscous dissipation balances the active power input $P_a(t)$ of the swimmers

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{-6}{\underline{\alpha}\Psi_0} \int dV_x \left| \mathbf{E} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{\Psi_0} \int dV_x \int dS_p \Psi \left[D \left| \nabla_x \ln \Psi \right|^2 + d \left| \nabla_p \ln \Psi \right|^2 \right]$$

Pullers ($\alpha > 0$): fluctuations, as measured by *S*, will dissipate. Pushers ($\alpha < 0$): the input power increases fluctuations, until limited by diffusive processes.



Active swimmer power density:

$$p(\mathbf{x},t) = -\int dp \left(\alpha \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t) \mathbf{p} \right) \Psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t); \quad P_{a}(t) = \int dV_{x} p(\mathbf{x},t)$$

For $P_{a}(t)$ to be positive w. $\alpha < 0$, expect **p** to be aligned with extensional axis of **E**



Mixing by active suspensions

Efficient convective fluid mixing is achieved by stretching and folding of fluid elements during the formation and break-up of the concentration bands.

After approximately 4 cycles, good mixing is achieved in the suspension.





Conclusions

Aligned suspensions of swimming rods destabilize as a result of hydrodynamic interactions.

• The chaotic flow fields arising in suspensions of swimming rods are dominated locally by near uniaxial extensional (pushers) and compressional (pullers) flows.

• At steady state, particle orientations show a clear correlation at short length scales owing to the disturbance flow and to hydrodynamic interactions. This correlation results in an enhancement (or decrease) of the mean particle swimming speed.

 Dynamics in thin liquid films are characterized by a strong particle migration towards the gas/liquid interfaces.

• Kinetic theory predicts instabilities for both aligned and isotropic suspensions. In the isotropic case, the instability is driven by the particle shear stress.

 Non-linear simulations show that active suspensions evolve toward nonuniform distributions as a result of these instabilities. More precisely, the shear stress instability causes the local polar alignment of the particles, which in turn results in the formation of concentration inhomogeneities.