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The topic of the talk is

- Random walks in Dirichlet random environment (RWDE) : a special class of random walks in random environment (RWRE) with at each site independent Dirichlet random variables
or equivalently
- Directed edge reinforced random walks: linearly reinforced random walks on directed graphs with counters on directed edges

This model originally appeared in the work of Pemantle on reinforced RW on trees. These environments have a remarkable property of "stability under time reversal". I will explain some consequences on the environment viewed from the particle and ballisticity criteria.

## Random Walks in Random Environment on $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$

Let $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right)$ be the canonical base of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, and $e_{j+d}=-e_{j}$. $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 d}\right)$ is the set of unit vectors of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$.
The set of environments is the set of (weakly) elliptic transition probabilities

$$
\Omega=\left\{\left(\omega\left(x, x+e_{i}\right)\right) \in(0,1)^{\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times\{1, \ldots, 2 d\}}, \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}, \sum_{i=1}^{2 d} \omega\left(x, x+e_{i}\right)=1\right\}
$$

For $\omega \in \Omega$, the law of the Markov chain in environment $\omega$, starting from $x$, is denoted by $P_{x}^{\omega}$ :

$$
P_{x}^{\omega}\left(X_{n+1}=y+e_{i} \mid X_{n}=y\right)=\omega\left(y, y+e_{i}\right)
$$

We define the law $\mathbb{P}$ on the environment $\Omega$ as follows: At each point $x$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, we choose independently the transition probabilities

$$
\left(\omega\left(x, x+e_{i}\right)\right)_{i=1, \ldots, 2 d}
$$

according to the same law $\mu ; \mu$ is a law on the set

$$
\left\{\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{2 d}\right) \in(0,1]^{2 d}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2 d} \omega_{i}=1\right\}
$$

The annealed law is

$$
\mathbb{P}_{x}(\cdot)=\mathbb{E}\left(P_{x}^{\omega}(\cdot)\right)
$$

Many results in the last 10 years mainly in the ballistic regime (Sznitman's (T) condition) or at weak disorder. But even more open questions.

## Dirichlet law

The Dirichlet law is the multivariate generalization of the beta law. The Dirichlet law with positive parameters $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$, $\left(\mathcal{D} i r\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\right)$, is the law on the simplex

$$
\left\{\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right), p_{i}>0, \sum p_{i}=1\right\}
$$

with density

$$
\frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1}\right) d p_{1} \cdots d p_{n-1}
$$

Dirichlet laws give a natural family of laws on probabilities on finite sets. They play an important role in Bayesian statistics.

## Random Walks in Dirichlet environments (RWDE)

We choose some positive weights $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 d}$, one for each direction $e_{i}$ : the Dirichlet environment corresponds to the case

$$
\mu=\operatorname{Dir}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 d}\right)
$$

i.e. to the case where the $\left(\omega\left(x, x+e_{i}\right)\right)_{i=1, \ldots, 2 d}$ are choosen independently with the same Dirichlet law $\operatorname{Dir}\left(\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 d}\right)\right)$.

The annealed process has the law of a directed edge reinforced RW

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{0}\left(X_{n+1}=X_{n}+e_{i} \mid \sigma\left\{X_{k}, k \leq n\right\}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha_{i}+I_{n}\left(X_{n}, X_{n}+e_{i}\right)}{\sum \alpha_{k}+I_{n}\left(X_{n}, X_{n}+e_{k}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I_{n}\left(x, x+e_{k}\right)=$ number of crossings of the directed edge $\left(x, x+e_{k}\right)$ before time $n$.

Dirichlet environments have a property of stability under time reversal which is a key tool in proving

- Transience on transient graphs
- Directional transience
- The existence of an invariant measure for the environment viewed from the particle
- This property is also related to the explicit parameters which appear for limit theorems in 1D (Enriquez, S., Tournier, Zindy).


## RWDE on directed graphs

Consider a connected directed graph $G=(V, E)$ with finite degree. We denote by $\underline{e}, \bar{e}$ the tail and the head of the edge $e=(\underline{e}, \bar{e})$. We consider a set of positive weights $\left(\alpha_{e}\right)_{e \in E}, \alpha_{e}>0$.

- The environment set is

$$
\Omega=\left\{\left(\omega_{e}\right)_{e \in E} \in(0,1]^{E}, \text { s. t. } \forall x \in V, \sum_{\underline{e}=x} \omega_{e}=1\right\}
$$

This is the set of possible transition probabilities on the graph $G$.

- The random Dirichlet environment is defined as follows: at each vertex $x$, pick independently the exit probabilities $\left(\omega_{e}\right)_{\underline{e}=x}$ according to a Dirichlet law $\operatorname{Dir}\left(\left(\alpha_{e}\right)_{\underline{e}=x}\right)$.

It defines the law $\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)}$ on $\Omega$.

## Stability under time reversal

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a finite connected directed graph. Let $\operatorname{div}: \mathbb{R}^{E} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{V}$ be the divergence operator

$$
\operatorname{div}(\theta)(x)=\sum_{\underline{e}=x} \theta(e)-\sum_{\bar{e}=x} \theta(e), \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{E} .
$$

If $G=(V, E)$ we denote by $\check{G}=(V, \check{E})$ the reversed graph obtained by reversing all the edges. If $\omega$ is an environment we denoted by $\check{\omega}$ the time-reversed environment defined as usual

$$
\breve{\omega}_{\check{e}}=\pi(\underline{e}) \omega_{e} \frac{1}{\pi(\bar{e})} .
$$

where $\pi$ is the invariant probability measure in the environment $\omega$.
Lemma (S., 08)
Let $\left(\alpha_{e}\right)_{e \in E}$ be positive weights with null divergence. If $\left(\omega_{e}\right)$ is a Dirichlet random environment with distribution $\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)}$ then $\check{\omega}$ is a Dirichlet random environment on $\check{G}$ with distribution $\mathbb{P}^{(\check{\alpha})}$, where $\check{\alpha}$ is defined by $\check{\alpha}_{\check{e}}=\alpha_{e}$.

## Time reversal on the torus

On the torus

$$
T_{d}^{(N)}=(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{d}
$$

if we have take some weights $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 d}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\alpha_{\left(x, x+e_{i}\right)}=\alpha_{i},
$$

then the weights have null divergence. So if $\omega$ is distributed according to $\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)}$, then $\check{\omega}$ is distributed according to $\mathbb{P}^{(\check{\alpha})}$ where $\check{\alpha}$ is obtained from $\alpha$ by symmetry:

$$
\check{\alpha}_{\left(x, x-e_{i}\right)}=\alpha_{i}
$$

## The environment viewed from the particle

Let $\tau$ be the spatial shift on the environment

$$
\tau_{x}(\omega)\left(y, y+e_{i}\right)=\omega\left(x+y, x+y+e_{i}\right)
$$

The environment viewed from the particle is the Markov chain on state space $\Omega$ defined by

$$
\bar{\omega}_{n}=\tau_{X_{n}}(\omega)
$$

where $\left(X_{n}\right)$ is the Markov chain in environment $\omega$.
(Q) Does there exists a probability measure $\mathbb{Q}$ on $\Omega$ absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ and invariant for $\left(\bar{\omega}_{n}\right)$ ?

Answering $(Q)$ is a key step. When it exists it is equivalent to $\mathbb{P}$ unique and ergodic.

For general RWRE the answer is known only in a few special cases

- $d=1$ (Kesten, Molchanov)
- Balanced environments (Lawler)
- For "non-nestling" weakly disordered environments in $d \geq 4$ (Bolthausen-Sznitman)
- In a weaker form (equivalence in half-spaces) under (T) condition (Rassoul-Agha, Rassoul-Agha Seppäläinen).


## Equivalence of the static and dynamic point of view

Theorem (S., 10)
Let $d \geq 3$ and $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 d}\right)$ be any positive weights. Set

$$
\kappa=2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2 k} \alpha_{k}\right)-\min _{i=1, \ldots, d}\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+d}\right)
$$

i) If $\kappa \leq 1$, there does not exist any probability measure on $\Omega$ absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)}$ and invariant for $\bar{\omega}_{n}$.
ii) If $\kappa>1$, there exists a (unique ergodic) probability measure $\mathbb{Q}^{(\alpha)}$ on $\Omega$, absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)}$ and invariant for $\bar{\omega}_{n}$. Moreover $\frac{d \mathbb{Q}^{(\alpha)}}{d \mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)}}$ is in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha)}\right)$ for all $p<\kappa$.

## The meaning of $\kappa$

For $i=1, \ldots, d$, set $K_{i}=\left\{0, e_{i}\right\}$ and

$$
\kappa_{i}=2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2 k} \alpha_{k}\right)-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i+d}\right)
$$

$\kappa_{i}$ is the sum of the weights exiting $K_{i}$ and we have that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(T_{K_{i}}^{s}\right)<\infty \text { if and only if } s<\kappa_{i}
$$

where $T_{K_{i}}$ is the exit time of $K_{i}$.
We have $\kappa=\max \kappa_{i}$ and if $\kappa \leq 1$ then the annealead expected time spent in one of the small traps $K_{i}$ is infinite. This explains the non-existence of the absolutely continuous invariant measure.

## Directional transience

Theorem (S., Tournier, 09)
Assume that $\alpha_{e_{1}}>\alpha_{-e_{1}}$. Then for any $d$

$$
\mathbb{P}_{0}(D=\infty) \geq 1-\frac{\alpha_{-e_{1}}}{\alpha_{e_{1}}}
$$

where $D=\inf \left\{n, X_{n} \cdot e_{1}<0\right\}$ (equality is strongly conjectured). Thanks to Kalikow's 0-1 law

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|X_{n} \cdot e_{1}\right|=+\infty, \quad \mathbb{P}_{0} \text { p.s. }
$$

For $d=2$ thanks to Zerner-Merkl 0-1 law

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n} \cdot e_{1}=+\infty, \mathbb{P}_{0} \text { p.s. }
$$

In dimension 1, the law of $P_{0}^{\omega}(D=\infty)$ is explicit (it is Kesten's renewal series, explicit for beta environment, of Chamayou-Letac. It implies explicit limit laws in 1D, cf Enriquez, S., Tournier, Zindy).

Theorem
Let $d \geq 3$. Let $d_{\alpha}=\mathbb{E}_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(X_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\sum \alpha_{k}} \sum \alpha_{k} e_{k}$.
i) If $\kappa \leq 1$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n}}{n}=0, \quad \mathbb{P}_{0}^{(\alpha)} \text { p.s. }
$$

ii) If $\kappa>1$ and $d_{\alpha}=0$ then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n}}{n}=0, \quad \mathbb{P}_{0}^{(\alpha)} \text { p.s. }
$$

and for all $i=1, \ldots, d$

$$
\liminf X_{n} \cdot e_{i}=-\infty, \quad \lim \sup X_{n} \cdot e_{i}=+\infty, \quad \mathbb{P}_{0}^{(\alpha)} \text { p.s. }
$$

iii) If $\kappa>1$ and $d_{\alpha} \neq 0$ then there exists $v \neq 0$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n}}{n}=v, \quad \mathbb{P}_{0}^{(\alpha)} \text { p.s. }
$$

## Sketch of proof of directional transience

We prove a uniform estimate on cylinders of arbitrary length and width.

$\mathbb{P}^{(\alpha, L-1)}($ left $\rightarrow$ right $) ?$

## Sketch of proof of directional transience

We sligthly modify the graph and the weights


## Sketch of proof of the existence of the invariant measure

For $N>0$ let $T_{N}=(\mathbb{Z} / N Z)^{d}$ be the $d$-dim torus. For $\omega \in \Omega_{N}$, let $\pi_{N}^{\omega}$ be the invariant probability measure. We set

$$
f_{N}(\omega)=N^{d} \pi_{N}^{\omega}(0), \quad \mathbb{Q}_{N}^{(\alpha)}=f_{N} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{N}^{(\alpha)}
$$

We prove that for all $s<\kappa$

$$
\sup _{N} \mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(f_{N}^{s}\right)<\infty
$$

We have

$$
\left(f_{N}\right)^{s}=\left(\frac{\pi(0)}{\frac{1}{N^{d}} \sum_{x \in T_{N}} \pi(x)}\right)^{s} \leq \prod_{x \in T_{N}}\left(\frac{\pi(0)}{\pi(x)}\right)^{s / N^{d}}
$$

Let $\check{\omega}$ be the time reversed environment on $T_{N}$

$$
\check{\omega}\left(x, x+e_{i}\right)=\frac{\pi^{\omega}\left(x+e_{i}\right)}{\pi^{\omega}(x)} \omega\left(x+e_{i}, x\right)
$$

By the time reversal lemma, $\check{\omega}$ is a Dirichlet environment with the reversed weights.
Moreover, for all $\theta: E_{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ (where $E_{N}$ is the set of edges of $T_{N}$ ), simple computation gives

$$
\frac{\check{\omega}^{\check{\theta}}}{\omega^{\theta}}=\pi^{\operatorname{div} \theta}
$$

where $\check{\theta}$ is defined by $\check{\theta}(x, y)=\theta(y, x)$ and $\omega^{\theta}=\prod_{E_{N}} \omega(e)^{\theta(e)}$ and $\pi^{\operatorname{div} \theta}=\prod_{T_{N}} \pi(x)^{\operatorname{div} \theta(x)}$.

If $\theta_{N}: E_{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{+}$satisfies

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\theta_{N}\right)=\frac{s}{N^{d}} \sum_{x \in T_{N}}\left(\delta_{0}-\delta_{x}\right)
$$

then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(f_{N}^{s}\right) & \leq \mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(\prod\left(\frac{\pi(0)}{\pi(x)}\right)^{s / N^{d}}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(\pi^{\operatorname{div}\left(\theta_{N}\right)}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(\frac{\check{\omega}^{\check{\theta}_{N}}}{\omega^{\theta_{N}}}\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(\check{\omega}^{q \check{\theta}_{N}}\right)^{1 / q} \mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(\omega^{-p \theta_{N}}\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $1 / p+1 / q=1$.

$$
\mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(f_{N}^{S}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(\check{\omega}^{q \check{\theta}_{N}}\right)^{1 / q^{2}} \mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(\omega^{-p \theta_{N}}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

- The right hand side is finite when $p \theta_{N}(e)<\alpha_{e}$ for all $e$. Hence, we need that $\theta_{N}(e)<(1-\epsilon) \alpha_{e}$ for some $\epsilon>0$. Then, we can find $p$ small enough such that the right hand term is finite.
- Thanks to the time reversal property the transition probabilities $\check{\omega}$ are independent at each sites and everything can be computed. By Taylor expansion, there exists $c>0$ s.t.

$$
\mathbb{E}^{(\alpha)}\left(f_{N}^{s}\right) \leq \exp \left(c \sum \theta_{N}(e)^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, we need that the $L_{2}$-norm of $\theta_{N}$ is bounded.

Lemma
For all $N>0$, there exists $\tilde{\theta}_{N}: E_{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{N}\right)=\frac{\kappa}{N^{d}} \sum_{x \in T_{N}}\left(\delta_{0}-\delta_{x}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{\theta}_{N}(e) \leq \alpha_{e} \\
\sum_{E_{N}} \tilde{\theta}_{N}(e)^{2} \leq C \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant not depending on $N$.
Then, $\theta_{N}=\frac{s}{\kappa} \tilde{\theta}_{N}$ makes the job.
Sketch of proof:
(1) comes from the Max-Flow Min Cut theorem.
(2) comes from $d \geq 3$.
(1) and (2) at the same time needs more work.

