Examples of abelian surfaces with everywhere good reduction

L. Dembélé (joint with A. Kumar)

Warwick University

March 22, 2013

L. Dembélé (joint with A. Kumar) ()

Abelian surfaces

March 22, 2013 1 / 32

Fontaine, Abrashkin: There is no abelian variety over $\mathbb Q$ with everywhere good reduction.

However, long before this result, there were few elliptic curves with unit conductor in the literature.

For example, the curve

$$E: y^2 + xy + \varepsilon^2 y = x^3,$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{5+\sqrt{29}}{2}$ is the fundamental unit in $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{29})$, was known to Tate in the late 60s and has been extensively studied by Serre.

There is now an abundance of elliptic curves with everywhere good reduction:

- Setzer, Stroeker, Comalada, Kida, Cremona, Pinch, Kagawa, etc.
- The database has been considerably expanded by Elkies.
- D-Voight: Assuming modularity, Elkies' database contains all the curves for all fundamental discriminants ≤ 1000.

In contrast, there is not a single example of an abelian surface with everywhere good reduction in the literature (except in the case of complex multiplication, or when the abelian surface is a product of elliptic curves).

It would be desirable to remedy that situation.

Motivation

A much more philosophical reason to study these objects:

Khare:

"The proof of the Serre conjecture in retrospect can be viewed as a method to exploit an accident which occurs in three different guises:

- (Fontaine, Abrashkin) There are no non-zero abelian varieties over Z.
- (Serre, Tate) There are no irreducible representations

$$\bar{\rho}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}),$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_2 or \mathbb{F}_3 that are unramified outside of 2 and 3 respectively.

• $S_2(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 0$, i.e., there are no cusp forms of level $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and weight 2."

Let F be a number field of class number 1, and E an elliptic curve over F given by a (global minimal) Weierstrass equation

$$E: y^2 + a_1 x y + a_3 y = x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_4 x + a_6,$$

with $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_F$, the ring of integers of F.

The invariants c_4 and c_6 satisfy the equation $c_4^3 - c_6^2 = 1728\Delta$, where Δ is the discriminant of *E*. In other words, (c_4, c_6) is an \mathcal{O}_F -integral point on the curve

$$y^2 = x^3 - 1728\Delta.$$
 (1)

E has everywhere good reduction $\iff \Delta$ is a unit in \mathcal{O}_F .

- So, to find all the elliptic curves over F with everywhere good reduction it is enough to solve (1) for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}/(\mathcal{O}_F^{\times})^{12}$ (finite).
- Unfortunately, abelian varieties of higher dimension are not characterised by a nice diophantine equation as in (1).
- For this reason, we need an additional input when looking for the ones with everywhere good reduction.
- This extra input is provided by the **Eichler-Shimura conjecture**.

Conjecture (Eichler-Shimura)

Let F be a totally real number field and \mathfrak{N} an intregal ideal of F. Let f be a Hilbert newform of parallel weight 2 and level \mathfrak{N} . Let $(a_\mathfrak{m}(f))_{\mathfrak{m}\subseteq \mathcal{O}_F}$ be the set of Fourier coefficients of f, and K_f the number field generated by them. There exists an abelian variety A_f/F of dimension $[K_f : \mathbb{Q}]$, with good reduction outside of \mathfrak{N} , such that

$$L(A_f, s) = \prod_{\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(K_f)} L(f^{\tau}, s),$$

where

$$L(f^{\tau},s) := \sum_{\mathfrak{m}\subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \frac{a_{\mathfrak{m}}(f)^{\tau}}{\mathrm{N}\mathfrak{m}^s}.$$

The following statement is a special case of the so-called **Paramodularity Conjecture** due to Brumer-Kramer, which encompasses Conjecture 1.

Conjecture (Brumer-Kramer)

Let g be a paramodular Siegel newform of genus 2, weight 2 and level N, with integer Hecke eigenvalues, which is not in the span of Gritsenko lifts. Then there exists an abelian surface B defined over \mathbb{Q} of conductor N such that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B) = \mathbb{Z}$ and L(g, s) = L(B, s).

Eichler-Shimura construction for $F = \mathbb{Q}$

Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, and let $X_1(N)$ be the modular curve of level $\Gamma_1(N)$.

This curve and its Jacobian $J_1(N)$ are defined over \mathbb{Q} .

The space $S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ of cusp forms of weight 2 and level $\Gamma_1(N)$ is a \mathbb{T} -module, where \mathbb{T} is the Hecke algebra.

Let $f \in S_2(\Gamma_1(N))$ be a newform, and let $I_f = \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathbb{T}}(f)$.

Shimura showed that the quotient

$$A_f := J_1(N)/I_f J_1(N)$$

is an abelian variety A_f of dimension $[K_f : \mathbb{Q}]$ defined over \mathbb{Q} with endomorphisms by an order in K_f and that

$$L(A_f,s)=\prod_{g\in [f]}L(g,s),$$

where [f] denotes the Galois orbit of f.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

- For **elliptic curves** defined over \mathbb{Q} , Cremona makes this construction explicit using the theory of **modular symbols**.
- For hyperelliptic curves of genus 2, there is also the so-called Jacobian nullwerte approach used by the Barcelona school to compute equations for these curves.

Case $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd:

Conjecture 1 is known and its proof exploits the **cohomology of Shimura curves.**

It is possible that one could use Voight's method to compute equations for abelian surfaces uniformised by Shimura curves.

Case: $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ even:

Many cases of the conjecture are still unknown. For example, when $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ and f is a newform of level (1) and parallel weight 2 over F, it is not always possible to construct the associated surface A_f .

From now on, F is a real quadratic field of narrow class number one.

For a newform f of parallel weight 2 over F, recall that K_f denotes the coefficient field of f.

Notations:

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(F/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \sigma\}, \, \operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(K_f/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \tau\}.$$

Our examples can be subdivided in the following cases:

- **I**: The form f is a base change from \mathbb{Q} .
- **II:** The form f is not a base change from \mathbb{Q} :

(a) The Gal(K_f/\mathbb{Q})-orbit $\{f, f^{\tau}\}$ is Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})-invariant.

(b) The Gal (K_f/\mathbb{Q}) -orbit $\{f, f^{\tau}\}$ is not Gal (F/\mathbb{Q}) -invariant.

In this case, the Hecke eigenvalues of the Hilbert newform f satisfy

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f) = a_{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}}(f).$$

Let g be a newform in $S_2(\Gamma_1(D))$ whose base change is f.

Since the level of f is (1), the form $g \in S_2(D, \chi_D)^{\text{new}}$ by a result of Mazur-Wiles, where χ_D is the fundamental character of $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.

Furthermore, the abelian variety B_g attached to g is a fourfold such that

$$B_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F \simeq A_f \times A_f^{\sigma},$$

where A_f and A_f^{σ} are isogenous.

So in this case, the existence of the surface A_f can be proved from the classical Eichler-Shimura construction.

Examples: Case I

The smallest discriminant for which we obtain such a surface is D = 53. The abelian surface A_f has real multiplication by $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$.

Np	p	$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$	$(x^2 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)x + \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p})(x^2 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)^{\tau}x + \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p})$
4	2	e+1	$x^4 - 2x^3 + 7x^2 - 8x + 16$
7	-w - 2	-e - 2	$x^4 + 4x^3 + 16x^2 + 28x + 49$
7	-w + 3	-e - 2	$x^4 + 4x^3 + 16x^2 + 28x + 49$
9	3	-3e + 1	$x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 - 18x + 81$
11	<i>w</i> – 2	3 <i>e</i>	$x^4 + 4x^2 + 121$
11	w+1	3 <i>e</i>	$x^4 + 4x^2 + 121$
13	w-1	-2e + 1	$x^4 - 2x^3 + 19x^2 - 26x + 169$
13	-w	-2e + 1	$x^4 - 2x^3 + 19x^2 - 26x + 169$
17	-w - 5	-3	$x^4 + 6x^3 + 43x^2 + 102x + 289$
17	w – 6	-3	$x^4 + 6x^3 + 43x^2 + 102x + 289$
25	5	2 <i>e</i> + 4	$x^4 - 8x^3 + 58x^2 - 200x + 625$
29	-w-6	3 <i>e</i> – 3	$x^4 + 6x^3 + 49x^2 + 174x + 841$

Table: Newform of level (1) and weight (2,2) over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{53})$

L. Dembélé (joint with A. Kumar) ()

Abelian surfaces

March 22, 2013 14 / 32

Theorem

Let
$$C: y^2 + Q(x)y = P(x)$$
 be the curve over F , where

$$P(x) := (9w - 50)x^{6} - (28w - 142)x^{5} + (34w - 155)x^{4}$$
$$- (2w - 12)x^{3} + (21w - 83)x^{2} - (105w - 439)x + 92w - 379$$
$$Q(x) := -(w + 3)x^{3} + (2w - 1)x^{2} + (4w - 17)x - 7w + 29.$$

Then

- (a) The discriminant of this curve is $\Delta_C = -\epsilon^{27}$, where ϵ is the fundamental unit. Thus C has everywhere good reduction.
- (b) The surface A := J(C) is modular and corresponds to the unique f in $S_2(1)$, the space of Hilbert newforms of weight (2,2) and level (1) over $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{53})$.

< □ ▶ < / ₽ ▶

The following result explains the connection between Conjectures 1 and 2.

Lemma

Assume that Conjecture 2 is true. Let F be a real quadratic field. Let \mathfrak{N} be an integral ideal of \mathcal{O}_F such that $\mathfrak{N}^{\sigma} = \mathfrak{N}$. Let f be a Hilbert newform of weight (2,2) and level \mathfrak{N} over F, which satisfies the hypotheses of Case II (a). Then f satisfies Conjecture 1.

Examples: Case II (a)

Proof:

Since f is a non-base change, a result of Johnson-Leung-Roberts implies that there is a paramodular Siegel newform g of genus 2, level ND^2 and weight 2 attached to f, where $N = N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})$.

Moreover, since $Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$ preserves $\{f, f^{\tau}\}$, we must have the commutative diagram:

In other words, we must have

$$a_{\mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}}(f) = a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)^{\tau}, \, \forall \, \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{F}.$$

Proof (cont'd):

Therefore, the Hecke eigenvalues of the form g are integers.

So by Conjecture 2, there is an abelian surface B_g defined over \mathbb{Q} with $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B_g) = \mathbb{Z}$ such that $L(B_g, s) = L(g, s)$.

Let A_f be the quadratic twist of B_g to F. Then A_f satisfies Conjecture 1, since it has the correct conductor N and L-factors.

Remark

By Lemma 4, if A_f is an abelian surface attached to a Hilbert newform f satisfying Case II (a), then A_f is (essentially) the base change to F of some surface B defined over \mathbb{Q} , which acquires extra endomorphisms. Therefore, we know that the Igusa-Clebsch invariants of A_f are in \mathbb{Q} , and we can use this fact in looking for A_f . The first real quadratic field of narrow class number 1 where there is a form which satisfies Case II (a) is $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{193})$ (see Table 2).

The coefficients of f generate the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_f := \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}]$ of the field $K_f = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$.

By Conjecture 1 (Eichler-Shimura conjecture), this form corresponds to a surface A over F with everywhere good reduction.

Notations:

$$w = \frac{1 + \sqrt{193}}{2}, \ e = \frac{1 + \sqrt{17}}{2}.$$

 $\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(F/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \sigma\}, \operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}(K_f/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \tau\}.$

Np	þ	$a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)$	$(x^2 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)x + \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p})(x^2 - a_{\mathfrak{p}}(f)^{\tau}x + \mathrm{N}\mathfrak{p})$
2	9 <i>w</i> - 67	е	$x^4 - x^3 - 2x + 4$
2	9w + 58	-e+1	$x^4 - x^3 - 2x + 4$
3	-2w + 15	е	$x^4 - x^3 + 2x^2 - 3x + 9$
3	2w + 13	-e+1	$x^4 - x^3 + 2x^2 - 3x + 9$
7	-186w - 1199	-e + 2	$x^4 - 3x^3 + 12x^2 - 21x + 49$
7	186 <i>w</i> – 1385	e+1	$x^4 - 3x^3 + 12x^2 - 21x + 49$
23	38 <i>w</i> – 283	- <i>e</i> - 6	$x^4 + 13x^3 + 84x^2 + 299x + 529$
23	-38 <i>w</i> - 245	e — 7	$x^4 + 13x^3 + 84x^2 + 299x + 529$
25	5	1	$x^4 - 2x^3 + 51x^2 - 50x + 625$
31	-16w - 103	e – 3	$x^4 + 5x^3 + 64x^2 + 155x + 961$
31	-16w + 119	-e-2	$x^4 + 5x^3 + 64x^2 + 155x + 961$

Table: The first few Hecke eigenvalue attached to a non-base change for of conductor (1) over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{193})$

L. Dembélé (joint with A. Kumar) ()

March 22, 2013 21 / 32

Theorem

Let $C: y^2 + Q(x)y = P(x)$ be the curve over F, where

$$P(x) := 2x^{6} + (-2w + 7)x^{5} + (-5w + 47)x^{4} + (-12w + 85)x^{3} + (-13w + 97)x^{2} + (-8w + 56)x - 2w + 1,$$
$$Q(x) := -x - w.$$

Then

(a) The discriminant $\Delta_C = -1$, hence C has everywhere good reduction.

(b) The surface J(C) is modular and corresponds to the form f listed in Table 2.

- 4 母 ト 4 ヨ ト - ヨ - の Q (や

Remark

- (1) Both C and J(C) have everywhere good reduction. However, this is not true in general. Indeed, it can happen that the curve C has bad reduction at a prime \mathfrak{p} while J(C) does not.
- (2) A result of Stroeker states that if E is an elliptic curve defined over a real quadratic field F having good reduction everywhere, then Δ_E ∉ {−1,1}.

Theorem 6 shows that this is not true for genus 2 curves.

Sketch of proof:

By direct calculation, one sees that $\Delta_C = -1$. So C and J(C) have everywhere good reduction.

However, it is important to know that we found the curve C based on our heuristics which rely on Conjectures 1 and 2.

Indeed, let $S_2(1)$ be the space of Hilbert cuspforms of level (1) and parallel weight 2 over $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{193})$.

- $S_2(1)$ has dimension 9, and decomposes into two Hecke constituents of dimension 2 and 7 respectively.
- The Hecke constituent of the form f in Table 2 is 2-dimensional. It is a **non-base change** and is stable under Gal(K_f/\mathbb{Q}).

So we can look for our surface A_f with the help of Lemma 4.

▲冊▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ - 国 - の Q ()

- For a fundamental discriminant D, let $Y_{-}(D)$ be the Hilbert modular surface which parametrises principally polarised abelian surfaces with real multiplication by \mathcal{O}_D , the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.
- The surface $Y_{-}(D)$ has a model over \mathbb{Q} .

In the 1970s, Hirzebruch et al. computed the geometric invariants of many of these surfaces and determined their Enriques-Kodaira classification.

Recently, Elkies and Kumar computed explicit equations for the birational models of these surfaces for all the fundamental discriminants \leq 100.

Examples: Case II (a)

They showed that $Y_{-}(17)$ is given as a double-cover of ${f P}^2_{g,h}/{\Bbb Q}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 &= (256h^3 - 192g^2h^2 - 464gh^2 - 185h^2 + 48g^4h - 236g^3h \\ &\quad - 346g^2h - 144gh - 18h - 4g^6 - 20g^5 - 41g^4 - 44g^3 \\ &\quad - 26g^2 - 8g - 1). \end{aligned}$$

With this parametrisation, the Igusa-Clebsch invariants are given by:

$$l_{2} := -\frac{24B_{1}}{A_{1}},$$

$$l_{4} := -12A,$$

$$l_{6} := \frac{96AB_{1} - 36A_{1}B}{A_{1}},$$

$$l_{10} := -4A_{1}B_{2},$$

L. Dembélé (joint with A. Kumar) ()

March 22, 2013 26 / 32

where

$$\begin{split} A_1 &:= (2g+1)^2, \\ A &:= -\frac{16h^2 - 8g^2h + 20gh + 64h + g^4 + 4g^3 + 6g^2 + 4g + 1}{3}, \\ B_1 &:= -\frac{48h^2 - 16g^2h - 40gh - 16h + 4g^4 - 12g^3 - 23g^2 - 12g - 2}{3}, \\ B &:= -\frac{2}{27} \left(64h^3 - 48g^2h^2 - 312gh^2 + 978h^2 + 12g^4h - 6g^3h \right. \\ &\quad + 72g^2h + 210gh + 120h - g^6 - 6g^5 - 15g^4 - 20g^3 \\ &\quad - 15g^2 - 6g - 1 \right), \\ B_2 &:= -64h^3. \end{split}$$

æ

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Image: A matrix and a matrix

A search for points of low height on this surface yields:

$$g = 0, h = -1/4,$$

 $l_2 = 40, l_4 = -56, l_6 = -669, l_{10} = -4,$
 $j_1 = -3200000, j_2 = -208000, j_3 = -16400.$

Over \mathbb{Q} , this gives the curve

 $C': \ y^2 = -8x^6 + 220x^5 - 44x^4 - 14828x^3 - 4661x^2 - 21016x + 10028.$

Twisting C' to F and then reducing yields curve C in Theorem 6.

To prove modularity, we note that 3 is inert in $K_f = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, and consider the 3-adic representation attached to A,

$$\rho_{A,3}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \operatorname{GL}_2(K_{f,(3)}) \simeq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_9).$$

By computing the orders of Frobenius for the first few primes, we see that the mod 3 representation

$$\bar{
ho}_{A,3}: \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)
ightarrow \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_9)$$

is surjective, and absolutely irreducible.

- We prove that $\bar{\rho}_{A,3}$ is modular using a result of Ellenberg.
- The modularity of $\rho_{A,3}$ the follows from work of Gee.

Corollary

Let B be the Jacobian of the curve C'/\mathbb{Q} in the proof of Theorem 6. Then B is paramodular of level 193².

Remark

In their paper, Brumer and Kramer remarked that Conjecture 2 should be verifiable by current technology for paramodular abelian surfaces B over \mathbb{Q} with $\operatorname{End}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(B) \supseteq \mathbb{Z}$. The majority of the surfaces we found fall in Case II (a), and provide such evidence.

D	RM		D	RM	D	RM	D	RM
53	8 ^(c)	ſ	353	5(*)	613	21	929	13
61	12		373	93	677	13, 29, 85	997	13
73	5 ^(c)		389	8	709	5		
193	17		397	24	797	8,29		
233	17		409	13	809	5		
277	29		433	12	821	44		
349	21		461	$5^{(c)}, 5^{(*)}, 29$	853	21		

Conventions:

Case I: $(^{c})$ means that the form f is a base change from \mathbb{Q} . **Case II (b)**: (*) means that both the form f and its theta lift are not base change. Consider the polynomials

$$P := -8x^{6} + (54w - 27)x^{5} + 9103x^{4} + (-14200w + 7100)x^{3}$$
$$- 697185x^{2} + (326468w - 163234)x + 3539399$$
$$Q := x^{3} + (2w - 1)x^{2} - x,$$

where $w = \frac{1 + \sqrt{-223}}{2}$.

The curve $C: y^2 + Q(x)y = P(x)$ has discriminant 1, and its Jacobian J(C) has real multiplication by an $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$.

Question: Show that J(C) is modular and corresponds to a Bianchi modular form.