Computing Kleinian modular forms

Aurel Page

June 4, 2014

Warwick, LMFDB workshop

Elliptic curves and automorphic forms

Want a database of elliptic curves over number field F?

Elliptic curves and automorphic forms

Want a database of elliptic curves over number field F?
Start with GL_2 automorphic forms!

Where do you find automorphic forms?

Elliptic curves and automorphic forms

Want a database of elliptic curves over number field F?
Start with GL_2 automorphic forms!

Where do you find automorphic forms?
In the cohomology of arithmetic groups!

Matsushima's formula: Γ discrete cocompact subgroup of connected Lie group G, E representation of G.

$$H^i(\Gamma, E) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \mathrm{Hom}(\pi, L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)) \otimes H^i(\mathfrak{g}, K; \pi \otimes E)$$

Automorphic forms for GL_2

Where do you find GL_2 automorphic forms?

Automorphic forms for GL_2

Where do you find GL_2 automorphic forms?

- $H^*(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F), E)$

Automorphic forms for GL_2

Where do you find GL_2 automorphic forms?

- $H^*(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F), E)$
- Jacquet–Langlands: $H^*(\mathcal{O}^\times, E)$, $\mathcal{O}$ order in a quaternion algebra

Automorphic forms for GL_2

Where do you find GL_2 automorphic forms?

- $H^*(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F), E)$
- Jacquet–Langlands: $H^*(\mathcal{O}^\times, E)$, $\mathcal{O}$ order in a quaternion algebra

Kleinian case: $\mathcal{O}^\times \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $H^1(\mathcal{O}^\times, E)$

Automorphic forms for GL_2

Where do you find GL_2 automorphic forms?

- $H^*(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F), E)$
- Jacquet–Langlands: $H^*(\mathcal{O}^\times, E)$, $\mathcal{O}$ order in a quaternion algebra

Kleinian case: $\mathcal{O}^\times \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $H^1(\mathcal{O}^\times, E)$
Call $H^i(\mathcal{O}^\times, E)$ a space of Kleinian modular forms.

Motivation for the Kleinian case

- Elliptic curves, Abelian varieties of GL_2 type over number fields.

Motivation for the Kleinian case

- Elliptic curves, Abelian varieties of GL_2 type over number fields.
- Torsion phenomenon.

Motivation for the Kleinian case

- Elliptic curves, Abelian varieties of GL_2 type over number fields.
- Torsion phenomenon.
- Attached Galois representations: open case.

Arithmetic Kleinian groups

Kleinian groups

$\mathbb{H} := \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}j$ where $j^2 = -1$ and $jz = \bar{z}j$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

The upper half-space $\mathcal{H}^3 := \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{R}_{>0}j$.
Metric $ds^2 = \frac{|dz|^2 + dt^2}{t^2}$, volume $dV = \frac{dx\,dy\,dt}{t^3}$.

Kleinian groups

$\mathbb{H} := \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}j$ where $j^2 = -1$ and $jz = \bar{z}j$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

The upper half-space $\mathcal{H}^3 := \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{R}_{>0}j$.
Metric $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{|\mathrm{d}z|^2 + \mathrm{d}t^2}{t^2}$, volume $\mathrm{d}V = \frac{\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}t}{t^3}$.

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot w := (aw+b)(cw+d)^{-1}$ for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Kleinian groups

$\mathbb{H} := \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}j$ where $j^2 = -1$ and $jz = \bar{z}j$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

The upper half-space $\mathcal{H}^3 := \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{R}_{>0}j$.
Metric $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \frac{|\mathrm{d}z|^2 + \mathrm{d}t^2}{t^2}$, volume $\mathrm{d}V = \frac{\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y\,\mathrm{d}t}{t^3}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot w := (aw+b)(cw+d)^{-1} \text{ for } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Kleinian group: discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.
Cofinite if it has finite covolume.

Quaternion algebras

A quaternion algebra B over a field F is a central simple algebra of dimension 4 over F.

Explicitly, $B = \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) = F + Fi + Fj + Fij$, $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$, $ij = -ji$ (char $F \neq 2$).

Quaternion algebras

A quaternion algebra B over a field F is a central simple algebra of dimension 4 over F.

Explicitly, $B = \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) = F + Fi + Fj + Fij$, $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$, $ij = -ji$ (char $F \neq 2$).

Reduced norm: $\mathrm{nrd}(x + yi + zj + tij) = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abt^2$.

Quaternion algebras

A quaternion algebra B over a field F is a central simple algebra of dimension 4 over F.

Explicitly, $B = \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) = F + Fi + Fj + Fij$, $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$, $ij = -ji$ (char $F \neq 2$).

Reduced norm: $\mathrm{nrd}(x + yi + zj + tij) = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abt^2$.

$\mathbb{Z}_F$ integers of F number field.
Order $\mathcal{O} \subset B$: subring, finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_F$-module, $\mathcal{O}F = B$.

Quaternion algebras

A quaternion algebra B over a field F is a central simple algebra of dimension 4 over F.

Explicitly, $B = \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) = F + Fi + Fj + Fij$, $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$, $ij = -ji$ (char $F \neq 2$).

Reduced norm: $\mathrm{nrd}(x + yi + zj + tij) = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abt^2$.

$\mathbb{Z}_F$ integers of F number field.
Order $\mathcal{O} \subset B$: subring, finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_F$-module, $\mathcal{O}F = B$.

A place v of F is split or ramified according as whether $B \otimes_F F_v$ is $\mathcal{M}_2(F_v)$ or a division algebra.

Covolume formula

F almost totally real number field: exactly one complex place.

B/F Kleinian quaternion algebra: ramified at every real place.

Covolume formula

F almost totally real number field: exactly one complex place.

B/F Kleinian quaternion algebra: ramified at every real place.

$\mathcal{O}$ order in B, Γ image of reduced norm one group $\mathcal{O}^1$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Covolume formula

F almost totally real number field: exactly one complex place.

B/F Kleinian quaternion algebra: ramified at every real place.

$\mathcal{O}$ order in B, Γ image of reduced norm one group $\mathcal{O}^1$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem

Γ is a cofinite Kleinian group.
It is cocompact iff B is a division algebra.
If $\mathcal{O}$ is maximal then

$$\mathrm{Covol}(\Gamma) = \frac{|\Delta_F|^{3/2}\zeta_F(2)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\ \mathit{ram.}}(N(\mathfrak{p})-1)}{(4\pi^2)^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]-1}}.$$

Algorithms

Sketch of algorithm

1. Compute B and $\mathcal{O}$

Sketch of algorithm

1. Compute B and $\mathcal{O}$
2. Compute $\mathcal{O}^1$

Sketch of algorithm

1. Compute B and $\mathcal{O}$
2. Compute $\mathcal{O}^1$
3. Compute $H^1(\mathcal{O}^1)$

Sketch of algorithm

1. Compute B and $\mathcal{O}$
2. Compute $\mathcal{O}^1$
3. Compute $H^1(\mathcal{O}^1)$
4. Compute generator δ of ideal of norm $\mathfrak{p}$

Sketch of algorithm

1. Compute B and $\mathcal{O}$
2. Compute $\mathcal{O}^1$
3. Compute $H^1(\mathcal{O}^1)$
4. Compute generator δ of ideal of norm $\mathfrak{p}$
5. Compute Hecke operator T_δ on $H^1(\mathcal{O}^1)$

Fundamental domains

Γ a Kleinian group. An open subset $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}^3$ is a fundamental domain if

- $\Gamma \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{H}^3$
- $\mathcal{F} \cap \gamma\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ for all $1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$.

Dirichlet domains

Dirichlet domains

Let $p \in \mathcal{H}^3$ with trivial stabilizer in Γ. The Dirichlet domain

$$\begin{aligned} D_p(\Gamma) &:= \{x \in X \mid \mathrm{d}(x,p) < \mathrm{d}(\gamma \cdot x, p)\ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid \mathrm{d}(x,p) < \mathrm{d}(x, \gamma^{-1} \cdot p)\ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Dirichlet domains

Let $p \in \mathcal{H}^3$ with trivial stabilizer in Γ. The Dirichlet domain

$$\begin{aligned} D_p(\Gamma) &:= \{x \in X \mid \mathrm{d}(x,p) < \mathrm{d}(\gamma \cdot x, p)\ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid \mathrm{d}(x,p) < \mathrm{d}(x, \gamma^{-1} \cdot p)\ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

is a fundamental domain for Γ that is a hyperbolic polyhedron. If Γ is cofinite, $D_p(\Gamma)$ has finitely many faces.

Structure of the Dirichlet domain

Structure of the Dirichlet domain

- Faces of the Dirichlet domain are grouped into pairs, corresponding to elements $g, g^{-1} \in \Gamma$;

Structure of the Dirichlet domain

- Faces of the Dirichlet domain are grouped into pairs, corresponding to elements $g, g^{-1} \in \Gamma$;
- Edges of the domain are grouped into cycles, product of corresponding elements in Γ has finite order.

Poincaré's theorem

Theorem (Poincaré)

- *The elements corresponding to the faces are generators of Γ. The relations corresponding to the edge cycles generate all the relations among the generators.*

Poincaré's theorem

Theorem (Poincaré)

- *The elements corresponding to the faces are generators of Γ. The relations corresponding to the edge cycles generate all the relations among the generators.*
- *If a partial Dirichlet domain $D_p(S)$ has a face-pairing and cycles of edges, then it is a fundamental domain for the group generated by S.*

Reduction algorithm

$x \in \mathcal{H}^3$, $S \subset \Gamma$ corresponding to the faces of $D_p(\Gamma)$.

Reduction algorithm

$x \in \mathcal{H}^3$, $S \subset \Gamma$ corresponding to the faces of $D_p(\Gamma)$.

- if possible $x \leftarrow gx$ for some $g \in S$ s.t. $d(x, p)$ decreases

Reduction algorithm

$x \in \mathcal{H}^3$, $S \subset \Gamma$ corresponding to the faces of $D_p(\Gamma)$.

- if possible $x \leftarrow gx$ for some $g \in S$ s.t. $\mathrm{d}(x, p)$ decreases
- repeat

Reduction algorithm

$x \in \mathcal{H}^3$, $S \subset \Gamma$ corresponding to the faces of $D_p(\Gamma)$.

- if possible $x \leftarrow gx$ for some $g \in S$ s.t. $\mathrm{d}(x, p)$ decreases
- repeat

$\rightarrow$ point $x' = g_k \cdots g_1 x$ s.t. $x' \in D_p(\Gamma)$.

Reduction algorithm

$x \in \mathcal{H}^3$, $S \subset \Gamma$ corresponding to the faces of $D_p(\Gamma)$.

- if possible $x \leftarrow gx$ for some $g \in S$ s.t. $\mathrm{d}(x, p)$ decreases
- repeat

$\rightarrow$ point $x' = g_k \cdots g_1 x$ s.t. $x' \in D_p(\Gamma)$.

In particular for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, take $x = \gamma^{-1} p$, which will reduce to $x' = p$, to write γ as a product of the generators.

Computation in hyperbolic space

Computation in hyperbolic space

We have :

- an explicit formula for the hyperbolic distance;

Computation in hyperbolic space

We have :

- an explicit formula for the hyperbolic distance;
- an explicit formula for bisectors;

Computation in hyperbolic space

We have :

- an explicit formula for the hyperbolic distance;
- an explicit formula for bisectors;
- an algorithm for computing intersections of half-spaces;

Computation in hyperbolic space

We have :

- an explicit formula for the hyperbolic distance;
- an explicit formula for bisectors;
- an algorithm for computing intersections of half-spaces;
- an algorithm for computing the volume of a polyhedron.

Algorithm

Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ maximal.

Algorithm

Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ maximal.

- Enumerate elements of $\mathcal{O}^1$ in a finite set S

Algorithm

Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ maximal.

- Enumerate elements of $\mathcal{O}^1$ in a finite set S
- Compute $D = D_p(S)$

Algorithm

Suppose $\mathcal{O}$ maximal.

- Enumerate elements of $\mathcal{O}^1$ in a finite set S
- Compute $D = D_p(S)$
- Repeat until D has a face-pairing and $\mathrm{Vol}(D) < 2\,\mathrm{Covol}(\Gamma)$

Complexity

Why would you care about the complexity?

Complexity

Why would you care about the complexity?

Bound on the size of the Dirichlet domain:

Complexity

Why would you care about the complexity?

Bound on the size of the Dirichlet domain:

$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ action on $L^2_0(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ mixes things fast.

Complexity

Why would you care about the complexity?

Bound on the size of the Dirichlet domain:

$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ action on $L^2_0(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ mixes things fast.
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{diam}(D_p(\Gamma)) \ll \log \mathrm{Covol}(\Gamma)$

Complexity

Why would you care about the complexity?

Bound on the size of the Dirichlet domain:

$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ action on $L^2_0(\Gamma\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ mixes things fast.
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{diam}(D_p(\Gamma)) \ll \log \mathrm{Covol}(\Gamma)$

- Proved complexity: $\mathrm{Covol}(\Gamma)^{O(1)}$
- Observed complexity: $\mathrm{Covol}(\Gamma)^2$
- Lower bound: $\mathrm{Covol}(\Gamma)$

Group cohomology

Group cohomology

Cocycles :

$$Z^1(\Gamma, E) := \{\phi : \Gamma \to E \mid \phi(gh) = \phi(g) + g \cdot \phi(h) \ \forall g, h \in \Gamma\}$$

Group cohomology

Cocycles :

$$Z^1(\Gamma, E) := \{\phi : \Gamma \to E \mid \phi(gh) = \phi(g) + g \cdot \phi(h)\ \forall g, h \in \Gamma\}$$

Coboundaries :

$$B^1(\Gamma, E) := \{\phi_x : g \mapsto x - g \cdot x : \ x \in E\} \subset Z^1(\Gamma, E)$$

Group cohomology

Cocycles :

$$Z^1(\Gamma, E) := \{\phi : \Gamma \to E \mid \phi(gh) = \phi(g) + g \cdot \phi(h) \ \forall g, h \in \Gamma\}$$

Coboundaries :

$$B^1(\Gamma, E) := \{\phi_x : g \mapsto x - g \cdot x : \ x \in E\} \subset Z^1(\Gamma, E)$$

Cohomology :

$$H^1(\Gamma, E) := Z^1(\Gamma, E)/B^1(\Gamma, E)$$

Hecke operators

Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

$$H^i(\Gamma, M)$$

Hecke operators

Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

$$\begin{array}{c} H^i(\Gamma, M) \\ \Big\downarrow \mathrm{Res} \\ H^i(\Gamma \cap \delta\Gamma\delta^{-1}, M) \end{array}$$

Hecke operators

Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\Gamma, M) & & \\ \mathrm{Res}\downarrow & & \\ H^i(\Gamma \cap \delta\Gamma\delta^{-1}, M) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\delta}]{} & H^i(\delta^{-1}\Gamma\delta \cap \Gamma, M) \end{array}$$

Hecke operators

Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\Gamma, M) & & H^i(\Gamma, M) \\ \text{Res}\downarrow & & \uparrow\text{Cores} \\ H^i(\Gamma \cap \delta\Gamma\delta^{-1}, M) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\delta}]{} & H^i(\delta^{-1}\Gamma\delta \cap \Gamma, M) \end{array}$$

Hecke operators

Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Comm}(\Gamma)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\Gamma, M) & \xrightarrow{T_\delta} & H^i(\Gamma, M) \\ \text{Res} \downarrow & & \uparrow \text{Cores} \\ H^i(\Gamma \cap \delta\Gamma\delta^{-1}, M) & \xrightarrow[\tilde{\delta}]{} & H^i(\delta^{-1}\Gamma\delta \cap \Gamma, M) \end{array}$$

Principal ideal problem

Recall: have to find a generator δ of ideal of norm $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$.

Principal ideal problem

Recall: have to find a generator δ of ideal of norm $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$.

Search in $\mathcal{O}^1 \backslash \{\mathrm{nrd} = \pi\}$: complexity $\Delta_B^{O(1)}$.

Principal ideal problem

Recall: have to find a generator δ of ideal of norm $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$.

Search in $\mathcal{O}^1 \backslash \{\mathrm{nrd} = \pi\}$: complexity $\Delta_B^{O(1)}$.

Buchmann's algorithm over number fields: subexponential under GRH.

Principal ideal problem

Recall: have to find a generator δ of ideal of norm $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$.

Search in $\mathcal{O}^1 \backslash \{\mathrm{nrd} = \pi\}$: complexity $\Delta_B^{O(1)}$.

Buchmann's algorithm over number fields: subexponential under GRH.
Adapt Buchmann's algorithm over a quaternion algebra: heuristically subexponential.

Examples

A quartic example

Let F the unique quartic field of signature $(2,1)$ and discriminant -275. Let B be the unique quaternion algebra with discriminant $11\mathbb{Z}_F$, ramified at every real place of F. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a maximal order in B (it is unique up to conjugation). Then $\mathcal{O}^1$ is a Kleinian group with covolume $93.72\ldots$ The fundamental domain I have computed has 310 faces and 924 edges.

A quartic example

Let F the unique quartic field of signature $(2,1)$ and discriminant -275. Let B be the unique quaternion algebra with discriminant $11\mathbb{Z}_F$, ramified at every real place of F. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a maximal order in B (it is unique up to conjugation). Then $\mathcal{O}^1$ is a Kleinian group with covolume $93.72\ldots$ The fundamental domain I have computed has 310 faces and 924 edges.

Have a look at the fundamental domain!

A quartic example

$N(\mathfrak{p})$	$T_{\mathfrak{p}}$	characteristic polynomial
9	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 & -5 \\ -2 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$	$(x+5)(x^2-5x-2)$
9	same	$(x+5)(x^2-5x-2)$
16	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & -11 \\ 2 & 0 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & -8 \end{pmatrix}$	$(x+8)(x^2-x-8)$
19	$\begin{pmatrix} -4 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$x(x+4)^2$
19	same	$x(x+4)^2$
25	—	$(x+9)(x^2-x-74)$
29	—	$x(x^2+6x-24)$
29	—	$x(x^2+6x-24)$

Non base-change classes

Bianchi groups: $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F)$ with F quadratic imaginary.

Non base-change classes

Bianchi groups: $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F)$ with F quadratic imaginary.
Finis, Grunewald, Tirao: formula for the base-change subspace in $H^i(\Gamma, E_k)$. Remark: very few non base-change classes.

Non base-change classes

Bianchi groups: $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F)$ with F quadratic imaginary.
Finis, Grunewald, Tirao: formula for the base-change subspace in $H^i(\Gamma, E_k)$. Remark: very few non base-change classes.
Şengün, Rahm: extended their computations.

Non base-change classes

Bianchi groups: $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F)$ with F quadratic imaginary.
Finis, Grunewald, Tirao: formula for the base-change subspace in $H^i(\Gamma, E_k)$. Remark: very few non base-change classes.
Şengün, Rahm: extended their computations.

Conjecture (Modified Maeda's conjecture)

The set of non-lifted cuspidal newforms in $H^i(\Gamma, E_k)$, modulo twins, forms one Galois orbit.

Non base-change classes

Bianchi groups: $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F)$ with F quadratic imaginary.
Finis, Grunewald, Tirao: formula for the base-change subspace in $H^i(\Gamma, E_k)$. Remark: very few non base-change classes.
Şengün, Rahm: extended their computations.

Conjecture (Modified Maeda's conjecture)

The set of non-lifted cuspidal newforms in $H^i(\Gamma, E_k)$, modulo twins, forms one Galois orbit.

Experiment : always dimension 2 space,
except $(d, k) = (-199, 2)$: dimension 4.

Non base-change classes

Bianchi groups: $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_F)$ with F quadratic imaginary.
Finis, Grunewald, Tirao: formula for the base-change subspace in $H^i(\Gamma, E_k)$. Remark: very few non base-change classes.
Şengün, Rahm: extended their computations.

Conjecture (Modified Maeda's conjecture)

The set of non-lifted cuspidal newforms in $H^i(\Gamma, E_k)$, modulo twins, forms one Galois orbit.

Experiment : always dimension 2 space,
except $(d, k) = (-199, 2)$: dimension 4. Two twin Galois orbits with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$, swapped by $\mathrm{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$.

Everywhere good reduction abelian surfaces

Bianchi group for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-223})$.

Everywhere good reduction abelian surfaces

Bianchi group for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-223})$.
Have a look at the fundamental domain!

Everywhere good reduction abelian surfaces

Bianchi group for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-223})$.
Have a look at the fundamental domain!
Cuspidal subspace in $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$: dimension 2, one Galois orbit in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

Everywhere good reduction abelian surfaces

Bianchi group for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-223})$.
Have a look at the fundamental domain!
Cuspidal subspace in $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$: dimension 2, one Galois orbit in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

Şengün, Dembélé : the Jacobian J of the hyperelliptic curve

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &= 33x^6 + 110\sqrt{-223}x^5 + 36187x^4 - 28402\sqrt{-223}x^3 \\ &\quad -2788739x^2 + 652936\sqrt{-223}x + 14157596 \end{aligned}$$

has good reduction everywhere, $\mathrm{End}(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and matches the Hecke eigenvalues.

Everywhere good reduction abelian surfaces

Bianchi group for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-223})$.
Have a look at the fundamental domain!
Cuspidal subspace in $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$: dimension 2, one Galois orbit in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

Şengün, Dembélé : the Jacobian J of the hyperelliptic curve

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &= 33x^6 + 110\sqrt{-223}x^5 + 36187x^4 - 28402\sqrt{-223}x^3 \\ &\quad -2788739x^2 + 652936\sqrt{-223}x + 14157596 \end{aligned}$$

has good reduction everywhere, $\mathrm{End}(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and matches the Hecke eigenvalues.

Looking for more examples in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-455})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-571})$.

Torsion Jacquet-Langlands

Can also look at $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}}$ and $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Torsion Jacquet-Langlands

Can also look at $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}}$ and $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Jacquet–Langlands: relation between (co)homology of $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{N})$ in a Bianchi group and that of Γ coming from a division algebra of discriminant $\mathfrak{N}$.

Torsion Jacquet-Langlands

Can also look at $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}}$ and $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Jacquet–Langlands: relation between (co)homology of $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{N})$ in a Bianchi group and that of Γ coming from a division algebra of discriminant $\mathfrak{N}$.

Calegari, Venkatesh: numerical torsion Jacquet-Langlands correspondence.

Torsion Jacquet-Langlands

Can also look at $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{tor}}$ and $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Jacquet–Langlands: relation between (co)homology of $\Gamma_0(\mathfrak{N})$ in a Bianchi group and that of Γ coming from a division algebra of discriminant $\mathfrak{N}$.

Calegari, Venkatesh: numerical torsion Jacquet-Langlands correspondence.

Joint with H. Şengün: experimental verification with Hecke operators (still in progress).

Thank you for your attention !