Gradient interfaces with disorder

Codina Cotar

University College London

May 28, 2014, U Warwick Joint works with Christof Külske

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Outline

- 1 The models
 - Setting
 - Model A
 - Model B
- 2 Questions
- 3 Results
 - Known results for gradients without disorder

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

- New results for gradients with disorder
- Non-convex potentials with disorder

4 Some tools

5 Sketch of proof



• $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ finite, $\partial \Lambda := \{x \notin \Lambda, ||x - y|| = 1 \text{ for some } y \in \Lambda \}$

- Height Variables (configurations) $\phi_x \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \Lambda$
- Boundary condition ψ , such that

$$\phi_x = \psi_x$$
, when $x \in \partial \Lambda$.

- Gradients ∇φ: ∇φ_b = φ_x φ_y for b = (x, y), ||x y|| = 1
 tilt u = (u₁,..., u_d) ∈ ℝ^d and tilted boundary condition ψ^u_x = x ⋅ u, x ∈ ∂Λ.
- (Ω, F, P) the probability space of the disorder, E the expectation w.r.t P, V the variance w.r.t. P and Cov the covariance w.r.t P.

The models

└- Model A

Model A

The Hamiltonian (random external field)

$$H^{\psi}_{\Lambda}[\xi](\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \Lambda \cup \partial \Lambda \\ |x-y|=1}} V(\phi_x - \phi_y) + \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \xi_x \phi_x,$$

- $(\xi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ are assumed to be *i.i.d.* real-valued random variables, with *finite non-zero second moments*.
- $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ is an even function such that there exist $0 < C_1 < C_2$ with

$$C_1 \leq V''(s) \leq C_2$$
 for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

• The finite volume Gibbs measure on \mathbb{R}^{Λ}

$$\nu_{\Lambda}^{\psi}[\xi](\phi) := \frac{1}{Z_{\Lambda}^{\psi}[\xi]} \exp(-\beta H_{\Lambda}^{\psi}[\xi](\phi)) \prod_{x \in \Lambda} d\phi_x,$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

where $\phi_x = \psi_x$ for $x \in \partial \Lambda$.

- χ is the set of bonds (x, y) which satisfy the plaquette condition
- $C_b(\chi)$ is the set of continuous and bounded functions on χ
- The finite-volume ∇φ-Gibbs measure μ^ρ_Λ[ξ] on χ is such that it satisfies for all F ∈ C_b(χ)

$$\int_{\chi} \mu^{\rho}_{\Lambda}[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta) F(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}} \nu^{\psi}_{\Lambda}[\xi](\mathrm{d}\phi) F(\nabla\phi),$$

where ψ is any field configuration whose gradient field is ρ . (i.e., the distribution of the $\nabla \phi$ -field under the Gibbs measure ν_{Λ}^{ψ})

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

— The models

└- Model A

Infinite-volume gradient Gibbs measure μ[ξ] has to satisfy the DLR equation

$$\int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\rho) \int \mu^{\rho}_{\Lambda}[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)F(\eta) = \int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)F(\eta),$$

for every finite $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ and for all $F \in C_b(\chi)$.

- For $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define the shift operators τ_v :
 - For the bonds by $(\tau_{\nu}\eta)(b) := \eta(b-\nu)$ for b bond and $\eta \in \chi$
 - For the disorder by $(\tau_v \xi)(y) := \xi(y v)$ for $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$.
- A measurable map ξ → μ[ξ] is called a shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure if μ[ξ] is a ∇φ− Gibbs measure for P-almost every ξ, and if

$$\int \mu[\tau_{\nu}\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)F(\eta) = \int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)F(\tau_{\nu}\eta),$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and for all $F \in C_b(\chi)$.

The models

└─ Model B

Model B

- For each $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$, |x y| = 1, we define the measurable map $V^{\omega}_{(x,y)}(s) : (\omega, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.
- V^ω_(x,y) are random variables with *uniformly-bounded finite second moments* and jointly *stationary* distribution.
- For some given $0 < C_{1,(x,y)}^{\omega} < C_{2,(x,y)}^{\omega}$, $\omega \in \Omega$, with $0 < \inf_{(x,y)} \mathbb{E}(C_{1,(x,y)}^{\omega}) < \sup_{(x,y)} \mathbb{E}(C_{2,(x,y)}^{\omega}) < \infty$, $V_{(x,y)}^{\omega}$ obey for \mathbb{P} -almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the following bounds, uniformly in the bonds (x, y)

$$C_{1,(x,y)}^{\omega} \leq (V_{(x,y)}^{\omega})''(s) \leq C_{2,(x,y)}^{\omega}$$
 for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

For each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each bond (x, y), $V_{(x,y)}^{\omega} \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is an even function.

Model B

The Hamiltonian for each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ (random potentials)

$$H^{\psi}_{\Lambda}[\omega](\phi) := rac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in \Lambda \cup \partial \Lambda, |x-y|=1} V^{\omega}_{(x,y)}(\phi_x - \phi_y)$$

• Let $\omega \in \Omega$ be fixed. We will denote by $\mu[\tau_v \omega]$ the infinite-volume gradient Gibbs measure with given Hamiltonian $\overline{H}[\omega](\eta) := (H^{\rho}_{\Lambda}[\omega](\tau_v \eta))_{\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d, \rho \in \chi}$. This means that we shift the field of disorded potentials on bonds from $V^{\omega}_{(x,y)}$ to $V^{\omega}_{(x+v,y+v)}$.

Questions (for general potentials V):

 Existence and (strict) convexity of infinite volume surface tension

$$\sigma(u)[\xi] = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \sigma_{\Lambda}[\xi](u), \ \ \sigma_{\Lambda}[\xi](u) := rac{1}{|\Lambda|} \log Z_{\Lambda}^{\psi^u}[\xi].$$

• Existence of shift-covariant infinite volume gradient Gibbs measure

$$\mu[\xi] := \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \mu_{\Lambda}^{\rho}[\xi]$$

- Uniqueness of shift-covariant Gibbs measure (maybe under additional assumptions on the measure).
- Quantitative results for $\mu[\xi]$: decay of covariances with respect to $\nabla \phi$, central limit theorem (CLT) results, large deviations (LDP) results.

Results

Known results for gradients without disorder

Known results for gradients without disorder

 $0 < C_1 \leq V'' \leq C_2:$

- Existence and strict convexity of the surface tension for $d \ge 1$.
- Gibbs measures ν do not exist for d = 1, 2.
- $\nabla \phi$ -Gibbs measures μ exist for $d \ge 1$.
- (Funaki-Spohn) For every u = (u₁,..., u_d) ∈ ℝ^d there exists a unique shift-invariant ergodic ∇φ- Gibbs measure µ with E_µ[φ_{e_k} φ₀] = u_k, for all k = 1,...,d.
- Decay of covariances results, CLT results, LDP results
- Important properties for proofs: shift-invariance, ergodicity and extremality of the infinite volume Gibbs measures

Results

Results for gradients with disorder

- For model A, van Enter-Külske (2007): For d = 2, there exists no shift-covariant gradient Gibbs measure $\mu[\xi]$ with $\mathbb{E} \left| \int \mu[\xi](d\eta) V'(\eta(b)) \right| < \infty$ for all bonds *b*.
- For model A, Cotar-Külske (2010): For d = 3, 4, there exists no shift-covariant Gibbs measure.
- Cotar-Külske (2014): (Model A) Let $d \ge 3$, $\xi(0)$ with symmetric distribution and $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Assume $0 < C_1 \le V'' \le C_2$. Then there exists exactly one shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure $\xi \to \mu[\xi]$ with $\mathbb{E}(\int \mu[\xi])$ ergodic and such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)\eta_b\right) = \langle u, y_b - x_b\rangle \text{ for all } b = (x_b, y_b).$$

Moreover $\mu[\xi]$ satisfies the integrability condition $\mathbb{E} \int \mu[\xi] (d\eta) (\eta_b)^2 < \infty$ for all bonds *b*.

• (Model B) Let $d \ge 1$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Assume

 $0 < C_1 \leq (V_{(i,j)}^{\omega})'' \leq C_2$ for all ω . Then there exists exactly one shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure $\omega \to \mu[\omega]$ with $\mathbb{E}(\int \mu[\omega])$ ergodic and such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int \mu[\omega](\mathrm{d}\eta)\eta_b\right) = \langle u, y_b - x_b\rangle \text{ for all } b = (x_b, y_b).$$

Moreover $\mu[\omega]$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\int \mu[\omega](\mathrm{d}\eta)(\eta_b)^2 < \infty \text{ for all } b.$$

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

Gradient interfaces with disorder

Results

New results for gradients with disorder

For our 2nd main result, we need

Poincaré inequality assumption on the distribution γ of the disorder ξ(0), (respectively of V^ω_(0,e1)): There exists λ > 0 such that for all smooth enough real-valued functions f on Ω, we have for the probability measure γ

$$\lambda \operatorname{var}_{\gamma}(f) \le \int |\nabla f|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\gamma, \tag{1}$$

where $|\nabla f|$ is the Euclidean norm of the gradient of f smooth enough.

 Milman (2010, 2012)-enough for the above to have semi-convexity assumption

Let

$$\partial_b F(\eta) := \frac{\partial F(\eta)}{\partial \eta_b}, \ ||\partial_b F||_{\infty} := \sup_{\eta \in \chi} |\partial_b F(\eta)| \text{ and }]|b|[= \max\{|x_b|, 1\}.$$

Results

New results for gradients with disorder

• Cotar-Külske (2014): Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(a) (Model A) Let d ≥ 3. Assume that (ξ(x))_{x∈Z^d} are i.i.d with mean 0 and the distribution of ξ(0) satisfies (1). Then if ξ → μ[ξ] is the shift-covariant gradient Gibbs measure from uniqueness result, ξ → μ[ξ] satisfies the following decay of covariances for all F, G ∈ C¹_b(χ)

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}\left(\mu[\xi](F(\eta)),\mu[\xi](G(\eta))\right)| \leq c \sum_{b,b'} \frac{||\partial_b F||_{\infty}||\partial_{b'} G||_{\infty}}{||b-b'||^{d-2}},$$

for some c > 0 which depends only on d, C_1, C_2 and on the number of terms b, b' in F and G.

(b) (Model B) Let d ≥ 1. Assume that V^ω_(x,y) are i.i.d., and they also satisfy (1) for P-almost every ω and uniformly in the bonds (x, y). Then if ω → μ[ω] is the shift-covariant gradient Gibbs measure from uniqueness result, ω → μ[ω] satisfies the following decay of covariances for all F, G ∈ C¹_b(χ)

$$|\mathbb{C}\mathrm{ov}\,(\mu[\omega](F(\eta)),\mu[\omega](G(\eta)))| \leq c \sum_{b,b'} \frac{||\partial_b F||_{\infty}||\partial_{b'} G||_{\infty}}{||b-b'|[d]}.$$

Results

Non-convex potentials with disorder

Conjecture for disordered non-convex potentials

For the potential

$$e^{-V(s)} = pe^{-k_1\frac{s^2}{2}} + (1-p)e^{-k_2\frac{s^2}{2}}, \ \beta = 1, k_1 << k_2, \ p = \left(\frac{k_1}{k_2}\right)^{1/4}$$



Biskup-Kotecký (2007): d = 2. Existence of two ergodic $\nabla \phi$ -Gibbs measures with same expected tilt $E_{\mu}[\phi_{e_k} - \phi_0] = 0$, but with different variances.

Gradient interfaces with disorder

Results

Non-convex potentials with disorder

Cotar-Deuschel (2012):
$$d \ge 2$$
.
Let

$$V = V_0 + g, \ C_1 \le V_0'' \le C_2, \ g'' < 0.$$

If

 $C_0 \leq g'' < 0 ext{ and } \sqrt{eta} ||g''||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} ext{ small}(C_1, C_2).$

uniqueness for shift-invariant $\nabla \phi$ -Gibbs measures μ such that $E_{\mu} [\phi_{e_k} - \phi_0] = u_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., d. Our results includes the Biskup-Kotecký model, but for different range of choices of p, k_1 and k_2 .

Consider the corresponding disordered model

$$e^{-V_b(\eta_b)} := e^{-\omega_b(\eta_b)^2} (p e^{-k_1(\eta_b)^2} + (1-p) e^{-k_2(\eta_b)^2}).$$

Naive Aizenman-Wehr argument hints at: uniqueness for low enough $d \le d_c$ and uniqueness/non-uniqueness phase transition for high enough $d > d_c \ge 2$.

-Some tools

For $0 < C_1 \le V'' \le C_2$:

Brascamp-Lieb Inequality: for all $x \in \Lambda$ and for all $i \in \Lambda$

$$\operatorname{var}_{\nu_{\Lambda}^{\psi}}(\phi_{i}) \leq \operatorname{var}_{\tilde{\nu}_{\Lambda}^{\psi}}(\phi_{i}),$$

ν_Λ^ψ is the Gaussian Free Field with potential Ṽ(s) = C₁s².
 Random Walk Representation Deuschel-Giacomin-Ioffe (2000): Representation of Covariance Matrix in terms of the Green function of a particular random walk.

GFF: If $x, y \in \Lambda$

$$\operatorname{cov}_{\nu_{\Lambda}^{0}}(\phi_{x},\phi_{y})=G_{\Lambda}(x,y),$$

where $G_{\Lambda}(x, y)$ is the Green's function, that is, the expected number of visits to y of a simple random walk started from x killed when it exits Λ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \blacksquare \quad \operatorname{General} 0 < C_1 \leq V'' \leq C_2 : \\ 0 \leq \operatorname{cov}_{\nu_{\Lambda}^{\psi}}(\phi_x, \phi_y) \leq \frac{C}{||x-y|^{[d-2]}}, \ |\operatorname{cov}_{\mu_{\Lambda}^{\rho}}(\nabla_i \phi_x, \nabla_j \phi_y)| \leq \\ \frac{C}{||x-y|^{[d-2+\delta}} \end{array} \end{array}$

The dynamic: SDE satisfied by $(\phi_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$

$$d\phi_x(t) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_x}(\phi(t))dt + \sqrt{2}dW_x(t), \ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where $W_t := \{W_x(t), x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is a family of independent 1-dim Brownian Motions.

Komlos (1967): If (ζ_n)_{n∈N} is a sequence of real-valued random variables with lim inf_{n→∞} E(|ζ_n|) < ∞, then there exists a subsequence {θ_n}_{n∈N} of the sequence {ζ_n}_{n∈N} and an integrable random variable θ such that for any arbitrary subsequence {θ̃_n}_{n∈N} of the sequence {θ̃_n}, we have almost surely that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\tilde{\theta}_1+\tilde{\theta}_2+\ldots+\tilde{\theta}_n}{n}=\theta.$$

Some tools

■ Gloria-Otto (2012)/ Ledoux (2001): Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $a = (a_i)_{i=1}^n$ be independent random variables with uniformly-bounded finite second moments on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let X, Y be Borel measurable functions of $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e. measurable w.r.t. the smallest σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^N for which all coordinate functions $\mathbb{R}^n \ni a \to a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are Borel measurable). Then $|\operatorname{cov} (X, Y)| \leq 1$

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} \operatorname{var} (a_i) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int \sup_{a_i} \left| \frac{\partial X}{\partial a_i} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \sup_{a_i} \left| \frac{\partial Y}{\partial a_i} \right|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P} \right)^{1/2}$$

where $\sup_{a_i} \left| \frac{\partial Z}{\partial a_i} \right|$ denotes the supremum of

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial a_i}(a_1,\ldots,a_{i-1},a_i,a_{i+1},\ldots,a_n)$$

of Z with respect to the variable a_i , for Z = X, Y.

The independence assumption can be relaxed by using, for example, Marton (2013) and Caputo, Menz, Tetali (2014)

We will first prove: Fix $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let for all $\alpha \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$

$$E_{\alpha} := \{ \eta \mid \lim_{|\Lambda| \to \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \eta(b_{x,\alpha}) = u_{\alpha} \},$$

along the sequence with $b_{x,\alpha} := (x + e_{\alpha}, x) \in \chi$. Then there exists a unique shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure $\xi \to \mu[\xi]$ which satisfies for \mathbb{P} -almost every ξ

$$\mu[\xi](E_{\alpha}) = 1, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}.$$

Moreover, $\mu[\xi]$ satisfies the integrability condition

$$\mathbb{E}\int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)(\eta(b))^2 < \infty \text{ for all bonds } b \in \chi.$$

Sketch of proof

Existence: We consider first case u = 0.

Step 1: Define

$$\bar{\mu}^0_{\Lambda}[\xi] := \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{x \in \Lambda} \mu^{\rho_0}_{\Lambda+x}[\xi],$$

where $\Lambda + x := \{z + x : z \in \Lambda\}$. Then there exists a deterministic subsequence $(m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for \mathbb{P} -almost every ξ

$$\hat{\mu}_{k}^{0}[\xi] := rac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{\mu}_{\Lambda_{m_{i}}}^{0}[\xi]$$

converges as $k \to \infty$ weakly to $\mu[\xi]$, which is a shift-covariant random gradient Gibbs measure.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

■ Step 2: It suffices to show

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\liminf_{k\to\infty}\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k\frac{1}{|\Lambda_{m_i}|}\sum_{w\in\Lambda_{m_i}}\mathbb{E}\mu^{\rho_0}_{\Lambda_{m_i}+w}[\xi]\left(\frac{1}{|\Lambda_n|}\sum_{x\in\Lambda_n}\eta(b_{x,\alpha})\right)^2=0.$$

■ Step 3: We need to estimate the following 3 terms

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{var}_{\mu_{m_{i}+w}^{\rho_{0}}[\xi]}\left(\frac{1}{|\Lambda_{n}|}\sum_{x\in\Lambda_{n}}\eta(b_{x,\alpha})\right)\right) + \mathbb{V}\left(\mu_{m_{i}+w}^{\rho_{0}}[\xi]\left(\frac{1}{|\Lambda_{n}|}\sum_{x\in\Lambda_{n}}\eta(b_{x,\alpha})\right)\right) + \left(\mathbb{E}\mu_{m_{i}+w}^{\rho_{0}}[\xi]\left(\frac{1}{|\Lambda_{n}|}\sum_{x\in\Lambda_{n}}\eta(b_{x,\alpha})\right)\right)^{2}.$$

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ○へぐ

General $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ case. Define

$$\nu_{\mathrm{shift},\Lambda}^{\psi}[\xi](\mathrm{d}\phi) := \frac{1}{Z_{\mathrm{shift},\Lambda}^{\psi}[\xi]} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{x \in \Lambda, y \in \Lambda \cup \partial \Lambda \\ |x-y|=1}} V(\phi(x) - \phi(y) - \langle u, x-y \rangle)} e^{\sum_{x \in \Lambda} \xi(x)\phi(x)} \, \mathrm{d}\phi_{\Lambda}\delta_{\psi}(\mathrm{d}\phi_{\mathbb{Z}^{d}\setminus\Lambda}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Proceed now as in Steps 1-3.

Uniqueness:

Suppose that there exist two shift-covariant measures ξ → μ[ξ], ξ → μ
[ξ], μ[ξ], μ[ξ] ∈ P(χ), stationary for the dynamics which satisfy for P-almost every ξ

$$\mu[\xi](E_{\alpha}) = 1, \ \bar{\mu}[\xi](E_{\alpha}) = 1, \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\},\$$

and which satisfy the integrability condition

$$\mathbb{E}\int \mu[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)(\eta(b))^2 < \infty, \mathbb{E}\int \bar{\mu}[\xi](\mathrm{d}\eta)(\eta(b))^2 < \infty, \text{ for all } b.$$

For each fixed $\xi \in \Omega$, we construct two independent χ_r -valued random variables $\eta = {\eta(b)}_{b \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^*}$ and $\bar{\eta} = {\bar{\eta}(b)}_{b \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^*}$ on a common probability space $(\Upsilon, \mathcal{L}, \mathbb{Q}[\xi])$ in such a manner that η and $\bar{\eta}$ are distributed by $\mu[\xi]$ and $\bar{\mu}[\xi]$ under $\mathbb{Q}[\xi]$, respectively.

We will first show

For all $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\int \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T\sum_b e^{-2r|x_b|}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}[\xi]}\left[\left(\eta_t(b)-\bar{\eta}_t(b)\right)^2\right]\,\mathrm{d}t\mathbb{P}(\,\mathrm{d}\xi)=0.$$

■ There exists a deterministic sequence (m_r)_{r∈ℕ} in ℕ such that for ℙ-almost every ξ

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{m_i} \int_0^{m_i} \sum_{b} e^{-2r|x_b|} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}[\xi]} \left[\left(\eta_t(b) - \bar{\eta}_t(b) \right)^2 \right] dt \right) = 0.$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ■ のQ@

This implies for \mathbb{P} -almost all ξ

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int |\eta-\bar{\eta}|_r^2\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k[\xi](d\eta d\bar{\eta})=0,$$

where $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{k}[\xi]$ is a shift-covariant probability measure on $\chi \times \chi$ defined by

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k[\xi](d\eta d\bar{\eta}) := \frac{1}{k} \bigg(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{m_i} \int_0^{m_i} \mathbb{Q}[\xi](\{\eta_t(b), \bar{\eta}_t(b)\}_b \in d\eta d\bar{\eta}) \, \mathrm{d}t \bigg).$$

The first marginal of $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_k[\xi]$ is $\mu[\xi]$ and the second one is $\bar{\mu}[\xi]$.

This implies that the Wasserstein distance between μ and μ
 is zero and hence μ[ξ] = μ[ξ] for P-almost all ξ.

Ergodicity of the averaged measure:

• Let $\mathcal{F}_{inv}(\chi)$ the σ -algebra of shift-invariant events on χ . Let

$$\mu_{av} = \left(\int \mathbb{P}(d\xi)\mu[\xi]\right) (\,\mathrm{d}\eta).$$

We need to show that for all $A \in \mathcal{F}_{inv}(\chi)$, we have $\mu_{av}(A) = 0$ or $\mu_{av}(A) = 1$. We will show that this holds by contradiction.

 Suppose that there exists A ∈ F_{inv}(χ) such that 0 < μ_{av}(A) < 1. Then, for P-almost all ξ we have 0 < μ[ξ](A) < 1. We define now for all ξ the *distinct* measures on χ

$$\mu_A[\xi](B) := rac{\mu[\xi](B \cap A)}{\mu[\xi](A)} \; ext{ and } \; \mu_{A^c}[\xi](B) := rac{\mu[\xi](B \cap A^c)}{\mu[\xi](A^c)}, \; orall B \in \mathcal{T},$$

where we denoted by $\mathcal{T} := \sigma(\{\eta_b : b \in \chi\})$ the smallest σ -algebra on χ generated by all the edges in χ .

└─ Sketch of proof

THANK YOU!