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## Quantum Relative Entropy

-- a fundamental quantity in Quantum Mechanics \& Quantum Information Theory :

The quantum relative entropy of $\rho$ w.r.t $\sigma$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho \geq 0, \operatorname{Tr} \rho=1 ; \quad \sigma \geq 0: \\
& \text { (density matrix/state) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
D(\rho \| \sigma):=\operatorname{Tr}(\rho \log \rho)-\operatorname{Tr}(\rho \log \sigma)
$$

$$
\log \equiv \log _{2}
$$

well-defined if $\quad \operatorname{supp} \rho \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \sigma$

- Classical counterpart:

$$
D(p \| q):=\sum_{x \in X} p_{x} \log \frac{p_{x}}{q_{x}} ; \quad p=\left\{p_{x}\right\}_{x \in X} ; q=\left\{q_{x}\right\}_{x \in X}
$$
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- $D(\rho \| \sigma)$ acts as a parent quantity for von Neumann entropy:

$$
S(\rho):=-\operatorname{Tr}(\rho \log \rho)=-D(\rho \| I) \quad(\sigma=I)
$$

- It also acts as a parent quantity for other entropies
- Conditional entropy


$$
S(A \mid B)_{\rho}:=S\left(\rho_{A B}\right)-S\left(\rho_{B}\right)=-D\left(\rho_{A B} \| I_{A} \otimes \rho_{B}\right)
$$

$$
\rho_{B}=\operatorname{Tr}_{A} \rho_{A B}
$$

- Mutual information

$$
I(A: B)_{\rho}:=S\left(\rho_{A}\right)+S\left(\rho_{B}\right)-S\left(\rho_{A B}\right)=D\left(\rho_{A B} \| \rho_{A} \otimes \rho_{B}\right)
$$

Some Properties of $D(\rho \| \sigma)$
"distance"

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\rho \| \sigma) & \geq 0 \quad \rho, \sigma \text { states } \\
& =0 \text { if } \& \text { only if } \rho=\sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

- Joint convexity:

For two mixtures of states $\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \rho_{i} \quad \& \quad \sigma=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \sigma_{i}$

$$
D(\rho \| \sigma) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} D\left(\rho_{i} \| \sigma_{i}\right)
$$

- Invariance under joint unitaries

$$
D\left(U \rho U^{*} \| U \sigma U^{*}\right)=D(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

- Data-processing inequality $\equiv$ Monotonicity under quantum operations

| Quantum operation:any allowed physical process on a <br> quantum-mechanical system |
| :--- | :---: |

Most general description given by
a completely positive trace-preserving (CPTP) map $(\Lambda)$

- Data-processing inequality (DPI)

$$
D(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \leq D(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

This is a fundamental property for any relative entropy

## Significance of the quantum relative entropy in Quantum Information Theory

It acts as a parent quantity for optimal rates of information-processing tasks e.g.

- data compression,
- transmission of information through a channel etc.
in the "asymptotic memoryless setting"
information sources \& channels are assumed to be
- memoryless
- available for infinite number of uses (asymptotic limit)
( $n \rightarrow \infty$ )
- E.g. Transmission of classical information


Optimal rate (of classical information transmission):
classical capacity

## $C(\mathcal{N})=$ maximum number of bits transmitted per use of $\mathcal{N}$

memoryless: there is no correlation in the noise acting on successive inputs
: $n$ successive uses of the channel; independent

- To evaluate $C(\mathcal{N})$ :

- One requires: prob. of error $p_{e}^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
$C(\mathcal{N})$ : Optimal rate of reliable information transmission -given in terms of a mutual information:
(obtainable from the relative entropy)

Other important relative entropies
(1) $\alpha-$ relative Renyi entropies: $\alpha-\mathrm{RRE}$
(2) Max- and min-relative entropies
(1) $\quad \alpha$ - relative Renyi entropies: $\quad \alpha-\mathrm{RRE}$

$$
D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma):=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma)=D(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

- Also is of important operational significance,


## (2) Max- and Min- relative entropies

- Max-relative entropy [ND 2008]

$$
D_{\max }(\rho \| \sigma):=\inf \left\{\gamma: \rho \leq 2^{\gamma} \sigma\right\}
$$

- Min-relative entropy [Renner et al 2012]

$$
D_{\min }(\rho \| \sigma):=-2 \log \|\sqrt{\rho} \sqrt{\sigma}\|_{1}
$$

## Properties of the min-max relative entropies

- Positivity: If $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}), \quad$ for $*=$ max, min

$$
D_{*}(\rho \| \sigma) \geq 0
$$

just as $D(\rho \| \sigma)$

- Data-processing inequality:

$$
D_{*}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \leq D_{*}(\rho \| \sigma) \quad \text { for any CPTP map } \Lambda
$$

- Invariance under joint unitaries:

$$
D_{*}\left(U \rho U^{\dagger} \| U \sigma U^{\dagger}\right)=D_{*}(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

for any unitary operator $U$

- Interestingly,

$$
D_{\min }(\rho \| \sigma) \leq D(\rho \| \sigma) \leq D_{\max }(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

# Operational significance of the Max- and Min- relative entropies in: 

One-shot Information Theory [Renner; ND]


## One-shot information theory



One-shot classical capacity :=max. number of bits that can be transmitted on a single use
$C^{(1)}(\mathcal{N})$ : given in terms of a mutual information obtained from the max-relative entropy

## In Summary.....

- there is a plethora of different entropic quantities which arise in Quantum Information theory
-- which are interesting both from the mathematical and operational points of view;
- hence it is desirable to have a
unifying mathematical framework
for the study of these different quantities.
- Recently, such a framework was partially provided: by a non-commutative generalization of the $\alpha-\mathrm{RRE}$
[Wilde et al; Muller-Lennert et al]

$$
\tilde{p}_{\alpha}^{-}(\rho, i, \sigma):=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2 \alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2 \alpha}}\right)\right]^{\alpha}
$$

$\alpha$ - Quantum Renyi Divergence
(sandwiched Renyi entropy)

## $\alpha$ - Quantum Renyi Divergence

- Recently, such a framework was partially provided:
by a non-commutative generalization. $\alpha-\mathrm{RRE}$
[Wilde et al; Muller-Lennert et al]
$\alpha-\mathrm{QRD}$
$\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma):=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \operatorname{Tr}\left[\begin{array}{c}\left(\sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2 \alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2 \alpha}}\right) \\ \square \downarrow\end{array}\right]^{\alpha}$
IF $[\rho, \sigma]=0$ THEN

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho \sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\alpha}}\right]^{\alpha} \\
\downarrow \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## $\alpha$ - Quantum Renyi Divergence

- Recently, such a framework was partially provided: by a non-commutative generalization $\alpha-\mathrm{RRE}$
[Wilde et al; Muller-Lennert et al]
$\alpha-\mathrm{QRD}$

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma):=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \operatorname{Tr}\left[\sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2 \alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2 \alpha}}\right]^{\alpha}
$$


$\alpha-\operatorname{RRE} \quad D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma)=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1-\alpha}\right)$
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$$
\underbrace{D_{\text {min }}(\rho \| \sigma)}_{\alpha=1 / 2}
$$

"super-parent"

- Properties of
properties of

$$
\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) \longrightarrow \begin{gathered}
D_{\min }(\rho \| \sigma), D(\rho \| \sigma) \\
D_{\max }(\rho \| \sigma)
\end{gathered}
$$

$D_{\text {min }}(\rho \| \sigma)$
$D(\rho \| \sigma)$


- J oint convexity of $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma)$ for $1 / 2 \leq \alpha \leq 1$
[Frank \& Lieb]
$\Rightarrow$ joint convexity of $D_{\text {min }}(\rho \| \sigma), D(\rho \| \sigma)$
- $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma)$ monotonically increasing in $\alpha \begin{aligned} & D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) \leq D_{\beta}(\rho \| \sigma) \\ & \text { for } \alpha \leq \beta .\end{aligned}$
[Muller-Lennert et al] $\Rightarrow D_{\text {min }}(\rho \| \sigma) \leq D(\rho \| \sigma) \leq D_{\text {max }}(\rho \| \sigma)$
- Data-processing inequality for $\tilde{D}_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma)$ for $\alpha \geq 1 / 2$
[Frank \& Lieb; Beigi] $\Rightarrow$ DPI for $D_{\text {min }}(\rho \| \sigma), D(\rho \| \sigma), D_{\text {max }}(\rho \| \sigma)$

Limitations of the $\alpha-\mathrm{QRD}$

- The data-processing inequality is not satisfied for $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2)$
- The important family of $\alpha-$ RRE can only be obtained from the $\alpha-\mathrm{QRD}$ in the special case of commuting operators
(Q) Can one define a more general family of relative entropies which overcomes these limitations?
(A) Yes!
- Two-parameter family of relative entropies

$$
D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) ; \quad \alpha, z \in \mathbb{R}
$$

$\alpha-z$ relative Renyi entropies: $\quad \alpha-z-\mathrm{RRE}$

- They stem from quantum entropic functionals defined by


## Jaksic, Ogata, Pautrat and Pillet

for the study of entropic fluctuations in non-equilibrium
statistical mechanics
$\rho:$ reference state of a dynamical system
$\sigma \equiv \rho_{t}$ : state resulting from $\rho$ due to time evolution under the action of a Hamiltonian for a time $t$.

## Definition:

$$
\forall \rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) ; \sigma \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}): \operatorname{supp} \rho \subseteq \operatorname{supp} \sigma
$$

$$
D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

with the trace functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{z}} \sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{z}}\right)^{z} \\
& \alpha, z \in \mathbb{R} \quad=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2 z}} \rho^{\frac{\alpha}{z}} \sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2 z}}\right)^{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Take limits for

$$
\alpha \rightarrow 1 ; \quad z \rightarrow 0
$$

$$
=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2 z}} \sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{z}} \rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2 z}}\right)^{z}
$$
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## Quantum Renyi axioms for a relative entropy

## Unitary invariance : $D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)=D_{\alpha, z}\left(U \rho U^{\dagger} \| U \sigma U^{\dagger}\right)$

- Tensor property:

$$
D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \otimes \kappa \| \sigma \otimes \omega)=D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)+D_{\alpha, z}(\kappa \| \omega)
$$

- Order Axiom:

$$
\rho \geq \sigma \Rightarrow D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \geq 0
$$

$$
\forall z \geq|\alpha-1| \quad \rho \leq \sigma \Rightarrow D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \leq 0
$$

etc.

$$
\forall z \geq|\alpha-1| \quad \rho \geq \sigma \Rightarrow D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \geq 0
$$

- Proof:

Let $0<\alpha<1$

$$
D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \underset{( }{\frac{1}{2-1}} \log f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

- r.t.p. $\quad \rho \geq \sigma \Rightarrow \log f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \leq 0$

$$
\rho \geq \sigma \Rightarrow f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \leq 1
$$

r.t.p.

$$
\rho \geq \sigma \Rightarrow f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \leq f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \rho)
$$

$$
\left.\because f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \rho)\right)=1
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}}\right]^{2} \leq \operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rho^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}}\right]^{2} \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma^{\nu}\right]^{2} \leq \operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rho^{\nu}\right]^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

For $0<v<1, x^{v}$ is operator monotone: $\rho \geq \sigma \Rightarrow \rho^{v} \geq \sigma^{v}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \rho)=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{z}} \rho^{v}\right]^{z} \geq \operatorname{Tr}\left[\rho^{\frac{\alpha}{z}} \sigma^{v}\right]^{z}=f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \\
& \text { (a) holds if } 0<v<1, \text { i.e. if } \frac{(1-\alpha)}{z}<1, \text { i.e., }-\frac{z>(1-\alpha) \text {; ; }}{z},
\end{aligned}
$$

- For $0<\alpha<1$ order axiom holds for $Z>(1-\alpha)$
- Similarly, for $\quad \alpha_{z}>1$ order axiom holds for $z>(\alpha-1)$

| $\because$ Order Axiom: | $\rho \geq \sigma$ | $\Rightarrow$ | $D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \\| \sigma) \geq 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\forall z \geq\|\alpha-1\|$ | $\rho \leq \sigma$ | $\Rightarrow$ | $D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \\| \sigma) \leq 0$ |



## Data-processing inequality (DPI)

$$
\forall \Lambda: \operatorname{CPTP} \quad D_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \leq D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

(Q) For which parameter ranges does $D_{\alpha, z}$ satisfy the DPI ?

- Data-processing inequality for the $\alpha-Z-\mathrm{RRE}$



## Data-processing inequality (DPI)

## Proof of DPI in the blue region: <br> $$
0 \leq \alpha<1 ; \quad z \geq \max (\alpha, 1-\alpha)
$$

$D_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \leq D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)$
[Frank \& Lieb]:

- To prove DPI it suffices to prove that $\quad f_{\alpha, Z}(\rho \| \sigma)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { is j ointly concave } \\
& \text { for } \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

(trace functional)
gininivrsit of
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Data-processing inequality (DPI) contd.
J oint concavity of $\quad f_{\alpha, z} \Rightarrow$ DPI for $D_{\alpha, z}$ for $0 \leq \alpha<1$

- Proof

$$
\Lambda: \operatorname{CPTP} \quad D_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \leq D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

Stinespring's Dilation Theorem:
Action of $\Lambda$ on a state $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ :


J oint concavity of $\quad f_{\alpha, z} \Rightarrow$ DPI for $D_{\alpha, z}$ for $0 \leq \alpha<1$
Proof: contd.

$$
\Lambda(\rho)=\operatorname{Tr}_{2}\left[U(\rho \otimes \tau) U^{*}\right]
$$

- Let
du: normalized Haar measure on all unitaries on $\mathcal{H}_{2}$

Set: $\quad X=U(\rho \otimes \tau) U^{*} \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d u(I \otimes u) X\left(I \otimes u^{*}\right) & =\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{2} X\right) \otimes \kappa \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{2}\left[U(\rho \otimes \tau) U^{*}\right] \otimes \kappa
\end{aligned}
$$

Integral representation

$$
=\Lambda(\rho) \otimes \kappa
$$

Joint concavity of $\quad f_{\alpha, z} \Rightarrow$ DPI for $D_{\alpha, z}$ for $0 \leq \alpha<1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \stackrel{1}{\alpha-1} \log f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \\
& D_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \leq \bar{D}_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \quad \Leftrightarrow f_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \geq f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Lambda(\rho) \otimes \kappa & =\int d u(I \otimes u) U(\rho \otimes \tau) U^{*}\left(I \otimes u^{*}\right) \\
& =\int d u V_{u}(\rho \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*} & V_{u}=(I \otimes u) U
\end{array}
$$

J oint concavity of $\quad f_{\alpha, z} \Rightarrow$ DPI for $D_{\alpha, z}$ for $0 \leq \alpha<1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \\
& D_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \leq D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \Leftrightarrow f_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \geq f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \\
& f_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma))=f_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \otimes \kappa \| \Lambda(\sigma) \otimes \kappa) \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\text { Tensor } \\
\text { property }
\end{array} \\
& =f_{\alpha, z}\left(\int d u V_{u}(\rho \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*} \| \int d u V_{u}(\sigma \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*}\right) \\
& \text { IF jointly concave } \\
& \geq \int d u f_{\alpha, z}\left(V_{u}(\rho \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*} \| V_{u}(\sigma \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*}\right) \\
& =\int d u f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \otimes \tau \| \sigma \otimes \tau) \\
& \text { unitary invariance } \\
& \Lambda(\rho) \otimes \kappa=\int d u(I \otimes u) U(\rho \otimes \tau) U^{*}\left(I \otimes u^{*}\right) \\
& =\int d u V_{u}(\rho \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*} \quad V_{u}=(I \otimes u) U
\end{aligned}
$$

J oint concavity of $\quad f_{\alpha, z} \Rightarrow$ DPI for $D_{\alpha, z}$ for $0 \leq \alpha<1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)=\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \\
D_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \leq D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \Leftrightarrow f_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \geq f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \\
f_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma))=f_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \otimes \kappa \| \Lambda(\sigma) \otimes \kappa) \\
\quad=f_{\alpha, z}\left(\int d u V_{u}(\rho \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*} \| \int d u V_{u}(\sigma \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

IF jointly concave

$$
\begin{aligned}
\geq \int d u & f_{\alpha, z}\left(V_{u}(\rho \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*} \| V_{u}(\sigma \otimes \tau) V_{u}^{*}\right) \\
& =\int d u f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \otimes \tau \| \sigma \otimes \tau) \quad \text { unitary invariance } \\
& =f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \otimes \tau \| \sigma \otimes \tau) \quad \text { normalization of the Haar measure } \\
& =f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma) \quad \text { Tensor property }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\therefore f_{\alpha, z}(\Lambda(\rho) \| \Lambda(\sigma)) \geq f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

## In fact: To prove DPI it suffices to prove that

$f_{\alpha, z}(A) \equiv f_{\alpha, z}(A, K):=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} K A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{z}} K^{*}\right)^{z}$ is concave in $A$.
$A \geq 0, K$ fixed matrix
[Carlen \& Lieb]

- Why? Because for
$\begin{gathered}\text { Because for } \\ \text { the choice }\end{gathered} K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & I \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) ; \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\rho & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma\end{array}\right)$

$$
f_{\alpha, z}(A)=f_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

- Concavity of $f_{\alpha, Z}(A, K)$ in $A$
$\Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{J}$ int concavity of
- So focus on proving concavity of

$$
f_{\alpha, z}(A)
$$
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- Concavity of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\alpha, z}(A):=\operatorname{Tr}\left[A^{\frac{\alpha}{z}} K A^{\frac{1-\alpha}{z}} K^{*}\right]^{z} \\
\text { for } & \alpha \in(0,1) ; z \geq \max \{\alpha, 1-\alpha\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Set $p=\frac{\alpha}{z} ; q=\frac{1-\alpha}{z} ; \quad z=\frac{1}{p+q}$

$$
f_{p, q}(A)=\operatorname{Tr}\left[A^{p} K A^{q} K^{*}\right]^{\frac{1}{p+q}}
$$

- Concavity of $f_{p, q}(A)$ for $p, q \in(0,1)$
(holomorphic functions that map the upper half plane into itself)
Pick Functions: Holomorphic functions defined on the upper-half plane:

$$
I^{+}(\mathbb{C}):=\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} \xi>0\}
$$

with their ranges in the closed upper half plane $\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} \xi \geq 0\}$

Then $f(\xi)$ is a Pick function if


$$
\operatorname{Im} \xi>0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Im} f(\xi) \geq 0
$$



Also known as: Hergl otz functions or Nevanlinna functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } \begin{array}{ll}
f(\xi)=\xi^{p} ; & 0<p<1 \\
\text { defined on the cut plane } \\
\xi^{p}=e^{p \log |\xi|} e^{i p \arg \xi} & \arg \xi \in(-\pi,+\pi) \\
\xi=|\xi| e^{i \arg \xi} & \\
\operatorname{Im} \xi>0 \Leftrightarrow \arg \xi \in(0, \pi) & \operatorname{Im} \xi \uparrow \\
\Rightarrow \arg \xi^{p}=p \arg \xi \in(0, \pi) & \\
\quad(\because 0<p<1) & \mathbb{C} \\
\therefore \operatorname{Im} \xi^{p} \geq 0 & \operatorname{Re} \xi
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f(\xi)=\xi^{p}$ is a Pick function

CAMBRIDGE Subclass of Pick functions $P(a, b)$

- Let $(a, b)$ be an open interval on the real axis
- Then $P(a, b)$ : the subclass of Pick functions which can be analytically continued across the interval $(a, b)$ into the lower half plane such that the continuation is by reflection w.r.t. the real axis. $\quad f(\bar{\xi})=\overline{f(\xi)}$
- Loewner's theoremr
$P(a, b)$ : the class of functions that are operator monotone on $(a, b)$



## Relevance of Pick functions for our proofs

- A Pick function $f(\xi) \in P(a, b)$ has a unique canonical integral representation of the form

$$
f(\xi)=\alpha \xi+\beta+\int_{a}^{b}\left(\frac{1}{t-\xi}-\frac{t}{t^{2}+1}\right) d \mu(t)
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0 ; \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}$; and
$d \mu(t)$ : a positive Borel measure on the real $t$ - axis for which

$$
\alpha=\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\text { iy })}{i y} ; \beta=\operatorname{Re} f(i) ; \quad \xrightarrow[a]{a}\left(t^{2}+1\right)^{-1} d \mu(t)<\infty
$$

## We want to prove

- Concavity of $f_{p, q}(A)$ for $p, q \in(0,1)$

This would imply DPI
for $D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)$ here



- Concavity of $f_{p, q}(A)$ for $p, q \in(0,1)$
(Q) How do Pick functions enter into the proof?
- Consider 2 related functions:
$A \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\xi)=f_{p, q}(Q+\xi R) \\
& G(\xi)=f_{p, q}(\xi Q+R) \quad Q>0, R=R^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where: domain of $f_{p, q}$ hàs been extended to complex matrices

- The 2 functions are related :

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(\xi) & =f_{p, q}(Q+\xi R) \\
& =\xi f_{p, q}\left(\frac{1}{\xi} Q+R\right)=\xi G\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f_{p, q}(A)=\underset{\text { Tr }}{\operatorname{Tr}}\left[A^{p} K A^{q} K^{*}\right]^{\frac{1}{p+q}} \\
\text { ofogeneous order 1 }
\end{array}
$$

$$
f_{p, q}(A)=F(\xi)=f_{p, q}(Q+\xi R) ; \quad G(\xi)=f_{p, q}(\xi Q+R)
$$

- Claim: Concavity of $f_{p, q}(A)$ for $A \geq 0$ amounts to proving: Concavity of $F(x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$; (over the domain of $F$ )


## Concavity of $f_{p, q}(A)$ for $A \geq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow$ Concavity of $F(x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$;

- Suppose $A_{1}, A_{2} \geq 0 \quad \&$ for $\forall x \in[0,1]$

$$
f_{p, q}\left(x A_{1}+(1-x) A_{2}\right) \geq x f_{p, q}\left(A_{1}\right)+(1-x) f_{p, q}\left(A_{2}\right) \text { concavity }
$$

$$
\text { Set } Q=A_{2}, R=A_{1}-A_{2} ; \quad \xi=x
$$

$$
F(x)=f_{p, q}(Q+x R)
$$

$$
F(\xi)=f_{p, q}(Q+\xi R)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =f_{p, q}\left(A_{2}+x\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =f_{p, q}\left(x A_{1}+(1-x) A_{2}\right) \geq x f_{p, q}\left(A_{1}\right)+(1-x) f_{p, q}\left(A_{2}\right) \\
& \quad\left[f_{p, q}\left(A_{1}\right)=F(1) ; f_{p, q}\left(A_{2}\right)=F(0)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
F(x) \geq x F(1)+(1-x) F(0)
$$

$$
f_{p, q}(A) \longrightarrow F(\xi)=f_{p, q}(Q+\xi R) ; \quad G(\xi)=f_{p, q}(\xi Q+R)
$$

Concavity of $F(x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$; implies DPI

## Proof of concavity outline in 4 lines

- Prove that $G(\xi)$ is a Pick function
- It hence has an integral representation
- This carries over to $F(x)$; $\because F(\xi)=\xi G\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)$
- Then proving concavity of $F(x)$ amounts to proving concavity of the integral's kernel
- which is straightforward!

$$
0 \leq \alpha<1 ; \quad z \geq \max (\alpha, 1-\alpha)
$$

Concavity of $F(x)$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$; (over the domain of $F$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { domain of holomorphy of } \quad F(\xi)=f_{p, q}(Q+\xi R) \text {; } \\
& F(x)=\alpha x+\beta+\int_{-c}^{c} \frac{x^{2}}{t x-1} d \mu(t) \\
& \text { - kernel } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
g(x)=\frac{x^{2}}{t x-1} \\
g^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{2}{(t x-1)^{3}}<0
\end{array} \\
& \text { for } x<1 /|c|<1 / t \\
& \Rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}(x) \leq 0 \Rightarrow F(x) \text { concave }
\end{aligned}
$$

## SUMMARY

- Introduced a 2-parameter family of relative entropies,
-- that unifies the study of all known relative entropies $\alpha-z-$ relative Renyi entropies: $\alpha-z-\mathrm{RRE}$

$$
D_{\alpha, z}(\rho \| \sigma)
$$

- These satisfies the quantum generalizations of Renyi's axioms for a relative entropy.
- Focus: For which parameter ranges does it satisfy the DPI ?
- Proved the DPI for $0 \leq \alpha<1 ; \quad z \geq \max (\alpha, 1-\alpha)$ using the theory of Pick functions.


Thank You!

- Thanks to Koenraad Audenaert;
- \& to Felix Leditzky for preparing the figures.


## Summary and conj ectures regarding DPI

- Regions of concavity (blue) and conjectured convexity (orange) of the (reparametrizede) trace functional


