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\begin{array}{r}
p_{U^{\circ \circ}}(P)=\inf \left\{\delta>0 ; P \in \delta U^{\circ \circ}\right\}=\inf \{\delta>0 ; P \in \delta U\} \\
=\inf \left\{\delta>0 ;\|P\|_{\Delta} \leq \delta\right\}=\|P\|_{\Delta}
\end{array}
$$
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$$
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The vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}(E)$ of all such $f$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\Theta b}(E)$ and becomes a Fréchet space with the topology $\tau_{\Theta}$ generated by the family of seminorms

$$
f \in \mathcal{H}_{\Theta b}(E ; F) \mapsto\|f\|_{\Theta, \rho}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{m}}{m!}\left\|\hat{d}^{m} f(0)\right\|_{\Theta}
$$

for all $\rho>0$.
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for all $\phi \in E^{\prime}, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
(ii)For each $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathcal{P}_{f}\left({ }^{m} E\right)$ is dense in $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\Theta}\left({ }^{m} E\right),\|\cdot\|_{\Theta}\right)$.
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F., Matos and Pellegrino introduced the class
$\mathcal{P}_{N,((r, q) ;(s, p))}\left({ }^{m} E\right)$ of all Lorentz $((r, q) ;(s, p))$-nuclear $n$-homogeneous polynomials on $E$ and proved that if $E^{\prime}$ has the
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