On products of nuclear operators

Oleg Reinov

Saint Petersburg State University

An operator $T: X \to Y$ is nuclear if it is of the form

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle y_k$$

for all $x \in X$, where $(x'_k) \subset X^*$, $(y_k) \subset Y$, $\sum_k ||x'_k|| ||y_k|| < \infty$. We use the notation N(X, Y)

If T is nuclear, then

$$T: X \to c_0 \to l_1 \to Y.$$

A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espases nucléaires, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Volume 16, 1955, 196 + 140. Let A be a compact operator in H. Then A has the norm convergent expansion

$$A=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\mu_n(A)(f_n,\cdot)h_n,$$

where (f_n) , (h_n) are ONS's, $\mu_1(A) \ge \mu_2(A) \ge \cdots > 0$) The $\mu_n(A)$ are called the singular values of A. Notation $s_n(A)$ or just s_n .

Simon B., Trace ideals and their applications, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 35, Cambridge University Press, 1979.

R. Schatten and J. von Neumann

• $A \in S_p(H): \sum S_n^p(A) < \infty, \ p > 0.$ • $S_p \circ S_q \subset S_r, \ 1/r = 1/p + 1/q;$ $p, q \in (0, \infty)$

 $N(H) = S_1(H).$

Oleg Reinov On products of nuclear operators

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

3

R. Schatten and J. von Neumann

 $A\in S_p(H): \ \sum s_n^p(A)<\infty, \ p>0.$ $S_p\circ S_q\subset S_r, \ 1/r=1/p+1/q;$ $p,q\in (0,\infty)$ $N(H)=S_1(H).$

▲御▶ ▲ 陸▶ ▲ 陸▶ - - 陸

s-nuclear operators – Applications de puissance s.éme sommable

An operator T : X → Y is s-nuclear (0 < s ≤ 1) if it is of the form

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle y_k$$

for all $x \in X$, where $(x'_k) \subset X^*, (y_k) \subset Y, \sum_k ||x'_k||^s ||y_k||^s < \infty$. We use the notation $N_s(X, Y)$.

$$N_p(H) = S_p(H), 0$$

 R. Oloff, p-normierte Operatorenideale, Beiträge Anal. 4, 105-108 (1972).

s-nuclear operators – Applications de puissance s.éme sommable

• An operator $T: X \to Y$ is *s*-nuclear $(0 < s \le 1)$ if it is of the form

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_k, x \rangle y_k$$

for all $x \in X$, where $(x'_k) \subset X^*, (y_k) \subset Y, \sum_k ||x'_k||^s ||y_k||^s < \infty$. We use the notation $N_s(X, Y)$.

۲

$$N_p(H) = S_p(H), 0$$

R. Oloff, p-normierte Operatorenideale, Beiträge Anal. 4, 105-108 (1972).

On products of nuclear operators

A natural question (due to Boris Mitjagin):

- Is it true that a product of two nuclear operators in Banach spaces can be factored through a trace class (i.e., S₁-) operator in a Hilbert space?
- By using an example from
 - Carleman T., Über die Fourierkoeffizienten einer stetigen Funktion, A. M., 41 (1918), 377-384.
 - it can be shown that
- The answer is negative.

On products of nuclear operators

A natural question (due to Boris Mitjagin):

- Is it true that a product of two nuclear operators in Banach spaces can be factored through a trace class (i.e., *S*₁-) operator in a Hilbert space?
- By using an example from
 - Carleman T., Über die Fourierkoeffizienten einer stetigen Funktion, A. M., 41 (1918), 377-384.
 - it can be shown that
- The answer is negative.



T is nuclear.

Consider the product TT. Note that eigenvalues $(\lambda_k(TT)) \in I_1$ and not better.

Suppose, there is an S_1 -operator $U \in S_1(H)$ so that

$$TT: C \xrightarrow{A} H \xrightarrow{U} H \xrightarrow{B} C.$$

$$T: C \stackrel{*f}{\to} C.$$

T is nuclear.

Consider the product *TT*. Note that eigenvalues $(\lambda_k(TT)) \in I_1$ and not better.

Suppose, there is an S_1 -operator $U \in S_1(H)$ so that

$$TT: C \xrightarrow{A} H \xrightarrow{U} H \xrightarrow{B} C.$$

.⊒ . ►

$$T: C \stackrel{*f}{\to} C.$$

T is nuclear.

Consider the product *TT*. Note that eigenvalues $(\lambda_k(TT)) \in I_1$ and not better.

Suppose, there is an S_1 -operator $U \in S_1(H)$ so that

 $TT: C \xrightarrow{A} H \xrightarrow{U} H \xrightarrow{B} C.$

$$T: C \stackrel{*f}{\to} C.$$

T is nuclear.

Consider the product *TT*. Note that eigenvalues $(\lambda_k(TT)) \in I_1$ and not better.

Suppose, there is an S_1 -operator $U \in S_1(H)$ so that

$$TT: C \xrightarrow{A} H \xrightarrow{U} H \xrightarrow{B} C.$$

$$H \stackrel{B}{\to} C \stackrel{A}{\to} H \stackrel{U}{\to} H \stackrel{B}{\to} C.$$

Eigenvalues of UAB = eigenvalues of TT = BUA (and, so, in l_1). BUT:

 $A \in \Pi_2$; so, $AB \in S_2$; $U \in S_1$.

Hence,

$$UAB \in S_{2/3}$$
.

Contradiction.

• *Remark.* Sharp fact is that if $V \in NN$, then it factors through an operator $U \in S_2$.

$$H \xrightarrow{B} C \xrightarrow{A} H \xrightarrow{U} H \xrightarrow{B} C.$$

Eigenvalues of UAB = eigenvalues of TT = BUA (and, so, in l_1). BUT:

$$A\in \Pi_2$$
; so, $AB\in S_2$; $U\in S_1$.

Hence,

$$UAB \in S_{2/3}$$
.

Contradiction.

• *Remark.* Sharp fact is that if $V \in NN$, then it factors through an operator $U \in S_2$.

4 B 6 4 B

$$H \stackrel{B}{\to} C \stackrel{A}{\to} H \stackrel{U}{\to} H \stackrel{B}{\to} C.$$

Eigenvalues of UAB = eigenvalues of TT = BUA (and, so, in l_1). BUT:

$$A \in \Pi_2$$
; so, $AB \in S_2$; $U \in S_1$.

Hence,

$$UAB \in S_{2/3}$$
.

Contradiction.

• *Remark.* Sharp fact is that if $V \in NN$, then it factors through an operator $U \in S_2$.

$$H \xrightarrow{B} C \xrightarrow{A} H \xrightarrow{U} H \xrightarrow{B} C.$$

Eigenvalues of UAB = eigenvalues of TT = BUA (and, so, in l_1). BUT:

$$A \in \Pi_2$$
; so, $AB \in S_2$; $U \in S_1$.

Hence,

$$UAB \in S_{2/3}$$
.

Contradiction.

Remark. Sharp fact is that if V ∈ NN, then it factors through an operator U ∈ S₂.

General situation

• Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$. If $T \in N_{\alpha} \circ N_{\beta}$, then it factors through an S_r -operator, where

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{3}{2}.$$

• Particular cases:

$$\alpha = 1, \beta = \frac{2}{3} \implies r = 1;$$
$$\alpha = 1, \beta = 1 \implies r = 2.$$

A B M A B M

General situation

• Let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$. If $T \in N_{\alpha} \circ N_{\beta}$, then it factors through an S_r -operator, where

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{3}{2}.$$

• Particular cases:

$$\alpha = 1, \beta = \frac{2}{3} \implies r = 1;$$

$$\alpha = 1, \beta = 1 \implies r = 2.$$

- < 注 ▶ → 注 ▶ -

To formulate the theorem, we need a definition:

• The spectrum of A is central-symmetric, if together with any eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ it has the eigenvalue $-\lambda$ of the same multiplicity.

It was proved in a paper by M. I. Zelikin

- M. I. Zelikin, A criterion for the symmetry of a spectrum", Dokl. Akad. Nauk 418 (2008), no. 6, 737-740
- Theorem. The spectrum of a nuclear operator A acting on a separable Hilbert space is central-symmetric iff trace A^{2n−1} = 0, n ∈ N.

To formulate the theorem, we need a definition:

The spectrum of A is central-symmetric, if together with any eigenvalue λ ≠ 0 it has the eigenvalue −λ of the same multiplicity.

It was proved in a paper by M. I. Zelikin

- M. I. Zelikin, A criterion for the symmetry of a spectrum", Dokl. Akad. Nauk 418 (2008), no. 6, 737-740
- Theorem. The spectrum of a nuclear operator A acting on a separable Hilbert space is central-symmetric iff trace A²ⁿ⁻¹ = 0, n ∈ N.

We can proof:

- Theorem. Let Y be a subspace of a quotient (or a quotient of a subspace) of an L_p-space, 1 ≤ p ≤ ∞ and T ∈ N_s(Y, Y) (s-nuclear), where 1/s = 1 + |1/2 1/p|, The spectrum of T is central-symmetric iff trace T²ⁿ⁻¹ = 0, n = 1, 2,
- *Remark*: In the theorem "trace" is well defined. The result is sharp.
- Boris S. Mityagin, Criterion for Z_d-symmetry of a Spectrum of a Compact Operator, arXiv: 1504.05242 [math.FA].

We can proof:

- Theorem. Let Y be a subspace of a quotient (or a quotient of a subspace) of an L_p-space, 1 ≤ p ≤ ∞ and T ∈ N_s(Y, Y) (s-nuclear), where 1/s = 1 + |1/2 1/p|, The spectrum of T is central-symmetric iff trace T²ⁿ⁻¹ = 0, n = 1, 2,
- *Remark*: In the theorem "trace" is well defined. The result is sharp.
- Boris S. Mityagin, Criterion for Z_d-symmetry of a Spectrum of a Compact Operator, arXiv: 1504.05242 [math.FA].

We can proof:

- Theorem. Let Y be a subspace of a quotient (or a quotient of a subspace) of an L_p-space, 1 ≤ p ≤ ∞ and T ∈ N_s(Y, Y) (s-nuclear), where 1/s = 1 + |1/2 1/p|, The spectrum of T is central-symmetric iff trace T²ⁿ⁻¹ = 0, n = 1, 2,
- *Remark*: In the theorem "trace" is well defined. The result is sharp.
- Boris S. Mityagin, Criterion for Z_d-symmetry of a Spectrum of a Compact Operator, arXiv: 1504.05242 [math.FA].

Thank you for your attention!