Universal finite-size scaling for a dynamical phase transition in a kinetically constrained model and a quantum phase transition in a ferromagnet. Takahiro Nemoto (Paris VII, LPMA)

> Collaboration work with Vivien Lecomte (Paris VII, LPMA) Shin-ichi Sasa (Kyoto) Frédéric van Wijland (Paris VII, MSC)

T. N. V. Lecomte, S. Sasa, F. van Wijland, J. Stat. Mech. (2014) P10001



dynamical phase transitions in KCMs Dynamical heterogeneity



J. P. Garrahan, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2011 108 (12) 4701.

dynamical phase transitions in KCMs

Dynamical phase transition by activity bias

M. Merolle, J. P. Garrahan, D. Chandler, PNAS, 102, 10837 (2005)
R.L. Jack, J.P.Garrahan, D. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys. 125, 184509 (2006)
J. P. Garrahan et al, PRL, 98, 195702 (20007).



L. O. Hedges, R. L. Jack, J. P. Garrahan, D. Chandler, science, 323, 2009

Finite size scaling in first order transition Finite size scaling

Second order phase transition

- From small system size simulations,
 - Where is true critical point? (with finite size simulations)
 - Precise order of the transition
- More on first order phase transition
 - (i) Scaling speed ?
 - (ii) Scaling functions ?

Finite size scaling in first order transition Finite size scaling: Classical (thermodynamic) transition M. E. Fisher and A. N. Berker, PRB, 26, 2507 (1982) C. Borgs and R. Kotecky, PRL, 68, 1734 (1992) In general Number of phases **Partition function** $Z_{\text{per}}(h,L) \approx \sum_{q=1}^{d} \exp\{-f_q(h)\beta L^d\}$ System volume Index for phases "metastable free energy" M4 slope $\chi_{max}(L)$ Ex.) Ising spins (d-dimension) l ≈ co ∵ Mo $Z_{\rm per}(h,L) \approx e^{\beta hmL^d} + e^{-\beta hmL^d}$ L < 00 Н $m_{\rm per}(h,L) \approx m \tanh(\beta h m L^d)$ -Mo

Finite size scaling in first order transition

Finite size scaling: Classical (thermodynamic) transition

M. E. Fisher and A. N. Berker, PRB, 26, 2507 (1982)

C. Borgs and R. Kotecky, PRL, 68, 1734 (1992)



Depending on boundary conditions (2 d, cylinder-shaped)

- Exponentially scaling

V. Privman and M. E. Fisher, J. Stat. Phys. 33, (1983).



Finite size scaling in first order transition Finite size scaling: Dynamical phase transition

T. Bodineau and C. Toninelli, Comm. Math. Phys. 311 (2012)

T. Bodineau, V. Lecomte and C. Toninelli, J. Stat. Phys. 147 (2012)

1d-FA → **2** dimensional spin problem?

$$Z_{\rm eff}(s,t) \equiv \frac{\langle e^{-s\mathbb{K}\int_0^t d\tau \, [x_+(\tau)-x_-(\tau)]}\delta(x_\pm(t)=0)\rangle_{p,q}}{\langle \delta_\pm(x(t)=0)\rangle_{p,q}}$$

Brownian motion
$$x_-(\tau)$$

$$\varphi_L(\lambda) = -\Sigma - 4\sqrt{pq} \left(\frac{\lambda\mathbb{K}}{4L\sqrt{pq}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}\alpha_1$$

Finite size scaling in first order transition Finite size scaling: Dynamical phase transition

T. Bodineau and C. Toninelli, Comm. Math. Phys. 311 (2012)

T. Bodineau, V. Lecomte and C. Toninelli, J. Stat. Phys. 147 (2012)

1d-FA → **2** dimensional spin problem?

Dynamical free energy
$$\varphi_L(\lambda) = -\Sigma - 4\sqrt{pq} \left(\frac{\lambda \mathbb{K}}{4L\sqrt{pq}}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} \alpha_1$$



Finite size scaling in first order transition Purpose of this talk

On the contrary,

- With the simplest model showing dynamical phase transition
- Directly checking if classical approach can work

Answer:

- It can work. (But another procedure is needed)
- It is more close to quantum phase transition

Construction of this talk

- **1.** Preliminary: Introduction of mean-field FA
- 2. Problems and how to overcome it
- 3. Discussions

1. Preliminary: Intro of mean-field FA Model

What is KCMs?

KCMs(Kinetically Constrained Models)

F. Ritort and P. Sollich, Adv. Phys., 52, 219 (2003)

Detailed balance with kinetic constraint



1. Preliminary: Intro of mean-field FA Model

(e.g. J. P Garrahan et al, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 075007)

Ex.) Frederickson-Andersen Model (FA) on fully-connected lattice:

- L sites (Infinite range) $oldsymbol{n} = (n_i)_{i=1}^L$
- each spin takes 0 or 1 $n_i = 1$, or $n_i = 0$



1. Preliminary: Intro of mean-field FA Model

(e.g. J. P Garrahan et al, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 075007) Ex.) Frederickson-Andersen Model (FA) on fully-connected lattice: - L sites (Infinite range) $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_i)_{i=1}^L$ - each spin takes 0 or 1 $n_i = 1$, or $n_i = 0$ - Transition rate spin facilitating (ii) (i) $c \sum n_j/L$ factor for kinetic $j \neq i$ constraint (ii) $(1-c) \sum n_j / L$

1. Preliminary: Intro of mean-field FA Model

(e.g. J. P Garrahan et al, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 075007) Ex.) Frederickson-Andersen Model (FA) on fully-connected lattice: - L sites (Infinite range) $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_i)_{i=1}^L$ - each spin takes 0 or 1 $n_i = 1$, or $n_i = 0$ - Transition rate Detailed balance condition spin facilitating (ii) factor for kinetic constraint

s - ensemble An ensemble biased by a fictitious field s $n(t) = \sum n_i = 1, 2, ..., L$: state of the system (total spin) (i)Path probability density: **n(t)** P(history)n n₂ (ii)a function of the history: n_o K(history)t2 tℕ ∆t: t₁ Ex.) Activity Continuous time Markov dynamics :The total number of the spinflips: N





s - ensemble An ensemble biased by a fictitious field s

Path probability of s-ensemble is defined as

 $P_s(\text{history}) \equiv \frac{1}{Z(s)} P(\text{history}) \exp(sK(\text{history}))$ **1** Dynamical partition function: $Z(s) \equiv \sum P(\text{history}) \exp(sK(\text{history}))$ n(t) history Biased by s When s > 0 (or s < 0), the path, in which K takes large value, has larger (or smaller) probability.

s - ensemble An ensemble biased by a fictitious field s

Path probability of s-ensemble is defined as

 $P_s(\text{history}) \equiv \frac{1}{Z(s)} P(\text{history}) \exp(sK(\text{history}))$ **†** Dynamical partition function: $Z(s) \equiv \sum P(\text{history}) \exp(sK(\text{history}))$ history Dynamical free energy: $f(s) \equiv \frac{1}{\tau} \log Z(s)$ Large deviation function of $x = K / \tau$ $I(x) = \max_{s} \left[xs - f(s) \right]$

How to calculate dynamical free energy?

- Population dynamics method (In general, numerical method)

C. Giardin`a, J. Kurchan, and L. Peliti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 120603 (2006).

- Transfer matrix (largest eigenvalue problem, solvable model)

How to calculate dynamical free energy?

- Transfer matrix (largest eigenvalue problem, solvable model)

Markov Dynamics corresponds to Ising spins in statistical physics



Markov dynamics

Ising spin (1-dimensional)

2

(space)

How to calculate dynamical free energy?

- Transfer matrix (largest eigenvalue problem, solvable model)

Transition rate:

$$w(n \to n') = \delta_{n',n+1} cn(L-n)/L + \delta_{n',n-1}(1-c)n(n-1)/L$$

Master operator

$$L_{n,n'} = w(n' \to n) - \delta_{n,n'}\lambda(n)$$

Modified Master operator by activity

$$L_{n,n'}^s = w(n' \to n)e^s - \delta_{n,n'}\lambda(n)$$

Largest eigenvalue of $L^s = dynamical free energy$

Numerical example (c=0.3)





1. Preliminary: Intro of mean-field FA Finite size scaling

Exponential scaling (numerical check)



1. Preliminary: Intro of mean-field FA Finite size scaling

Scaling function (numerical check)



1. Preliminary: Intro of mean-field FA Finite size scaling

Scaling function (numerical check)

$$x = \kappa(s - s_c)$$

<u>Q1. Analytical expression of these functions?</u> $\tilde{\rho}(x) = \bar{\rho}(x\kappa^{-1} + s_c)$ <u>Q2. How to derive the exponential scaling?</u> $\tilde{\chi}(x) = \bar{\chi}(x\kappa^{-1} + s_c)/\chi(s_c)$



Construction of this talk

1. Preliminary: Introduction of mean-field FA

2. Problems and how to overcome it

3. Discussions

2. Problems and how to overcome it **Problem...?**



- Auxiliary dynamics

R. L. Jack and P. Sollich, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp. 184, 304 (2010).

- Donsker-Varadhan type variational formula

e.g. J. P Garrahan et al, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 075007

T. N. and S. Sasa, Phys. Rev. E 84, 061113 (2011)

h

$$P_{s}(\text{hist}) \approx P_{s}^{\text{aux}}(\text{hist})$$
s-ensemble \leftrightarrow Modified system
Probability is not conserved) (Probability is conserved)
 $w_{s}^{\text{mod}}(n \rightarrow n') = w(n \rightarrow n')e^{-s - (1/2)[h^{*}(n') - h^{*}(n)]}$
Modified transition rate Original transition rate
 $h^{*} \equiv \operatorname{Argmax} \left\langle \lambda^{\text{mod}} - \lambda \right\rangle_{s}^{\text{mod}}$

 λ : Escape rate

- Modifying free energy $h^*(oldsymbol{n})$

Original system with Detailed balance condition (DB)

Modified system also satisfies DB

$$w_s^{\mathrm{mod}}(\boldsymbol{n} \to \boldsymbol{n}') = w(\boldsymbol{n} \to \boldsymbol{n}')e^{-s - (1/2)[h^*(n') - h^*(n)]}$$

The equilibrium distribution function in the modified system: $P_{\rm eq}^{\rm mod}(n) \propto P_{\rm eq}(n) e^{\frac{1}{2} h^*(n)}$

Original equilibrium distribution





For L = 100, we got



Blue: Modifying Hamiltonian $h^*(n)/L$ Red: Original Hamiltonian (=entropy) Yellow = Red + Blue :Total effective Hamiltonian

- Non analytic point of h* at s = sc



Blue: Modifying Hamiltonian $h^*(n)/L$ Red: Original Hamiltonian (=entropy) Yellow = Red + Blue : Total effective Hamiltonian

- Non analytic point of h* at s = sc



Non analytic point of h* at s = sc



- Our ansatz

For finite L, we assume that we know, for $S = S_c$ Distribution: $P_L^{s_c}(n) \propto \begin{cases} P_i(n) & n \le n_c(L) \\ P_a(n) & n > n_c(L) \end{cases}$ For $s \sim s_c$ we conjecture $P_{\rm eq}^{\rm mod}(n)|_{s \sim s_c} = \begin{cases} P_{\rm i}(n)[1 + a^*(s)] & n \le n_c \\ P_{\rm a}(n)[1 - a^*(s)] & n > n_c \end{cases}$

where $a^*(s)$ is an unknown function.

- Our ansatz

- Our ansatz

$$\bar{\rho}(s) = \frac{\langle \rho \rangle_{i}}{2} [1 + a^{*}(s)] + \frac{\langle \rho \rangle_{a}}{2} [1 - a^{*}(s)], \qquad \rho \equiv n/L$$

$$\chi(s) = L \left\{ \frac{\langle \rho^{2} \rangle_{i}}{2} [1 + a^{*}(s)] + \frac{\langle \rho^{2} \rangle_{a}}{2} [1 - a^{*}(s)] - \rho(s)^{2} \right\}$$
How do we determine $a^{*}(s)$? Variational formula
$$h^{*} \equiv \operatorname{Argmax}_{h} \left\langle \lambda^{\operatorname{mod}} - \lambda \right\rangle_{s}^{\operatorname{mod}}$$

$$a^{*}(s) = \frac{2x}{\langle \rho \rangle_{a} - \langle \rho \rangle_{i} \sqrt{1 + 4x^{2} [\langle \rho \rangle_{a} - \langle \rho \rangle_{i}]^{-2}}} \Big|_{x = \kappa(s - s_{c})}$$
$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\Omega_{-}^{c}} \left[\left\langle \frac{\rho}{2} \right\rangle_{i} - \left\langle \frac{\rho}{2} \right\rangle_{a} \right] \left[\left\langle \frac{r}{2L} \right\rangle_{i} - \left\langle \frac{r}{2L} \right\rangle_{a} \right].$$
$$\Omega_{-} = 2 \frac{n_{c}}{L} c(1 - \frac{n_{c}}{L}) P_{i}(n_{c}) \frac{e^{-Lh_{a}((n_{c} + 1)/L)/2}}{e^{-Lh_{i}(n_{c}/L)/2}} e^{-s}$$



T. N. V. Lecomte, S. Sasa, F. van Wijland, J. Stat. Mech. (2014) P10001



A is the potential height separating two phases

T. N. V. Lecomte, S. Sasa, F. van Wijland, J. Stat. Mech. (2014) P10001

- Scaling factor



Construction of this talk

- **1. Preliminary: Introduction of mean-field FA**
- 2. Problems and how to overcome it
- **3.** Discussions

3. Discussions Quantum phase transitions



But with **auxiliary potential** and Donsker-Varadhan type **variational principle**

3. Discussions Quantum phase transitions



3. Discussions Quantum phase transitions

Model: Mean-field quantum ferromagnet with transverse field

Hamiltonian
$$\hat{H} = -L\left[(1/L)\sum_{i=1}^{L}\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{z}\right]^{p} - \Gamma L\left[(1/L)\sum_{i=1}^{L}\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{x}\right]$$

Victor Bapst and Guilhem Semerjian, J. Stat. Mech. 2012, P06007 (2012).

p>2 —> Ground state energy show a (first order) phase transition

Ground state energy Ground state magnetization Ground state susceptibility



3. Discussions

Quantum phase transitions - Scaling function

$$\begin{split} \tilde{m}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\langle m \rangle_{\rm p} + \langle m \rangle_{\rm f} - \frac{2x}{\sqrt{1 + 4x^2 \left[\langle m \rangle_{\rm p} - \langle m \rangle_{\rm f} \right]^{-2}}} \right] \\ & \tilde{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{C} \left[\langle m^2 \rangle_{\rm p} + \langle m^2 \rangle_{\rm f} - \frac{2x \left[\langle m^2 \rangle_{\rm p} - \langle m^2 \rangle_{\rm f} \right] \left[\langle m \rangle_{\rm p} - \langle m \rangle_{\rm f} \right]^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 + 4x^2 \left[\langle m \rangle_{\rm p} - \langle m \rangle_{\rm f} \right]^{-2}}} - 2\tilde{m}(x)^2 \right] \end{split}$$

Ex.)p=3, L=70 Ground state magnetization



Ground state susceptibility



3. Discussions

Quantum phase transitions - Scaling factor

Victor Bapst and Guilhem Semerjian, J. Stat. Mech. 2012, P06007 (2012). Energy gap between the ground state and first excited state

$$a = \int_0^{m_{\rm fe}^\infty} dm \log \left[-\frac{m^p + e_{\rm c}^\infty}{\sqrt{1 - m^2} \Gamma_{\rm c}^\infty} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{m^p + e_{\rm c}^\infty}{\sqrt{1 - m^2} \Gamma_{\rm c}^\infty}\right)^2 - 1} \right]$$





Conclusion

- For mean field FA, we performed finite size scaling around 1st order phase transition.
- Idea is similar to classical one, but we used auxiliary potential and variational principle
- The dynamical phase transition is close to quantum phase transition: mean field quantum ferromagnet