## LOGIC II

#### CIS008-2 Logic and Foundations of Mathematics

#### David Goodwin

david.goodwin@perisic.com



## 12:00, Friday 25<sup>th</sup> November 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Quantifiers 00000 Exercises

## OUTLINE

## **1** Arguments and Rules

## OF INFERENCE

Arguments Rules of inference

#### **2** QUANTIFIERS

Propositional function

Universal quantifier Existential quantifier De Morgan's Laws Rules of Inference NESTED QUANTIFIERS EXAMPLES EXERCISES

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



Quantifiers 00000

## OUTLINE

# ARGUMENTS AND RULES OF INFERENCE Arguments Rules of inference QUANTIFIERS

Propositional function

Universal quantifier Existential quantifier De Morgan's Laws Rules of Inference NESTED QUANTIFIERS EXAMPLES EXERCISES

ヘロン 人間 とくほとう ほとう



An argument is a sequence of propositions written

 $p_1, p_2, \ldots p_n / \therefore q$ 

The symbol  $\therefore$  is read "therefore", the propositions  $p_1, p_2, \ldots p_n$  are called the *hypotheses* (or *premises*), and the proposition q is called the *conclusion*. The argument is **valid** provided that is the propositions  $p_1, p_2, \ldots p_n$  are all true, then q must also be true; otherwise, the argument is **invalid** (or a **fallacy**). An argument is valid because of its form, not its content.

Arguments Quantifiers Nested Quantifiers Examples Exercises

**Rules of Inference**, brief, valid arguments, are used within a larger argument

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

Modus ponens  $p \to q, p/ \therefore q$ Modus tollens  $p \to q, \neg q/ \therefore \neg p$ Addition  $p/ \therefore p \lor q$ Simplification  $p \land q/ \therefore q$ Conjunction  $p, p/ \therefore p \land q$ Hypothetical syllogism  $p \to q, q \to r/ \therefore p \to r$ Disjunctive syllogism  $p \lor q, \neg q/ \therefore q$  Quantifiers

## OUTLINE

## ARGUMENTS AND RULES OF INFERENCE Arguments Rules of inference

2 QUANTIFIERS Propositional function Universal quantifier Existential quantifier De Morgan's Laws Rules of Inference NESTED QUANTIFIERS EXAMPLES

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

5 EXERCISES



QUANTIFIERS

Nested Quantifiers

Examples

Exercises

## PROPOSITIONAL FUNCTION

Let P(x) be a statement involving the variable x and let D be a set. We call P a **propositional function** or **predicate** (with respect to D) if for each  $x \in D$ , P(x) is a proposition. We call D the **domain of discourse**.



RGUMENTS

QUANTIFIERS

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

## UNIVERSAL QUANTIFIER

Let P be a propositional function with domain of discourse D. The **universally quantified statement** is written as

## $\forall x, P(x)$

where the symbol  $\forall$  is read "for all" and is called the **universal quantifier**. The above universally quantified statement is true if P(x) is true for every x in D, and is false if P(x) is false for at least one x in D.

Let P be a propositional function with domain of discourse D. The **existentially quantified statement** is written as

# $\exists x, P(x)$

where the symbol  $\exists$  means "there exists" and is called the **existential quantifier**. The above existentially quantified statement is true if P(x) is true for at least one x in D, and is false if P(x) is false for every x in D.

・ 日 ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

Arguments 00 QUANTIFIERS

Nested Quantifiers

Example

(日)、

Exercises

## GENERALISED DE MORGAN'S LAWS FOR LOGIC

#### THEOREM

If P is a propositional function, each pair of propositions in (a) and (b) has the same truth values (i.e. either both are true or both are false).

A 
$$\neg (\forall x P(x)); \exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\mathbb{B} \neg (\exists x P(x)); \forall x \neg P(x)$$

Arguments 00 QUANTIFIERS

NESTED QUANTIFIERS

Examples

Exercises

## Rules of Inference

UNIVERSAL INSTANTIATION  $\forall x P(x) / \therefore P(d)$  if  $d \in D$ UNIVERSAL GENERALISATION P(d) for every  $d \in D / \therefore \forall x P(x)$ EXISTENTIAL INSTANTIATION  $\exists x P(x) / \therefore P(d)$  for some  $d \in D$ EXISTENTIAL GENERALISATION P(d) for some  $d \in D / \therefore \exists x P(x)$ 



QUANTIFIERS 00000

## OUTLINE

## • Arguments and Rules

## OF INFERENCE

Arguments Rules of inference

#### **2** QUANTIFIERS

Propositional function

Universal quantifier Existential quantifier De Morgan's Laws Rules of Inference **3 NESTED QUANTIFIERS** 

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

**4** Examples**5** Exercises



 Arguments
 Quantifiers
 Nested Quantifiers
 Examples
 Exercises

 00
 00000
 Nested Quantifiers
 Examples
 Exercises

Consider writing the statement:

The sum of any two positive real numbers is positive.

symbolically. We need two variables, say x and y.

If x > 0 and y > 0, then x + y > 0.  $(x > 0) \land (y > 0) \rightarrow (x + y > 0)$ 

# Arguments Quantifiers Nested Quantifiers Examples Exercises 00 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000

Let P(x) denote the following

$$(x>0) \land (y>0) \rightarrow (x+y>0)$$

We need two universal quantifiers, the given statement can be written symbolically as

 $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ 

We note the domain of discourse for this propositional function is  $X \times Y$ , or more specifically  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , which means that each variable x and y must belong to the set of real numbers. Multiple quantifiers such as  $\forall x \forall y$  are said to be **nested quantifiers**.



3

・ロット (雪) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )

## OUTLINE

# • Arguments and Rules

#### OF INFERENCE

Arguments Rules of inference

#### **2** QUANTIFIERS

Propositional function

Universal quantifier Existential quantifier De Morgan's Laws Rules of Inference 3 NESTED QUANTIFIERS

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Examples
 Exercises



æ

Arguments 00	Quantifiers 00000	Nested Quantifiers	Examples	Exercises
EXAMPLES	3			

- Restate  $\forall m \exists n (m < n)$  in words. The domain of discourse is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 2 Write the assertion "Everybody loves somebody" symbolically, letting L(x, y) be the statement "x loves y".
- Onsider the statement
   ∀x∀y((x > 0) ∧ (y > 0) → (x + y > 0)), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false?
- Gensider the statement ∀x∃y(x + y = 0), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement ∃x∀y(x ≥ y), with the domain of discourse Z<sup>+</sup> × Z<sup>+</sup>. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement  $\exists x \exists y ((x > 1) \land (y > 1) \land (xy = 6))$ , with the domain of discourse  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Is this true or false?

Arguments 00	Quantifiers 00000	NESTED QUANTIFIERS	Examples	Exercises
EXAMPLES	5			

- Restate  $\forall m \exists n (m < n)$  in words. The domain of discourse is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . There is no greatest integer.
- 2 Write the assertion "Everybody loves somebody" symbolically, letting L(x, y) be the statement "x loves y".
- Onsider the statement
   ∀x∀y((x > 0) ∧ (y > 0) → (x + y > 0)), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false?
- Gensider the statement ∀x∃y(x + y = 0), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement ∃x∀y(x ≥ y), with the domain of discourse Z<sup>+</sup> × Z<sup>+</sup>. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement  $\exists x \exists y ((x > 1) \land (y > 1) \land (xy = 6))$ , with the domain of discourse  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Is this true or false?

Arguments 00	Quantifiers 00000	Nested Quantifiers	Examples	Exercises
EXAMPLES	3			

- Restate  $\forall m \exists n (m < n)$  in words. The domain of discourse is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . There is no greatest integer.
- Write the assertion "Everybody loves somebody" symbolically, letting L(x, y) be the statement "x loves y". ∀x∃yL(x, y).
- Onsider the statement
   ∀x∀y((x > 0) ∧ (y > 0) → (x + y > 0)), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false?
- Gonsider the statement ∀x∃y(x + y = 0), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement ∃x∀y(x ≥ y), with the domain of discourse Z<sup>+</sup> × Z<sup>+</sup>. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement  $\exists x \exists y ((x > 1) \land (y > 1) \land (xy = 6))$ , with the domain of discourse  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Is this true or false?

Arguments 00	Quantifiers 00000	Nested Quantifiers	Examples	Exercises
EXAMPLES	3			

- Restate  $\forall m \exists n (m < n)$  in words. The domain of discourse is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . There is no greatest integer.
- Write the assertion "Everybody loves somebody" symbolically, letting L(x, y) be the statement "x loves y". ∀x∃yL(x, y).
- Onsider the statement
   ∀x∀y((x > 0) ∧ (y > 0) → (x + y > 0)), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false? True.
- Gensider the statement ∀x∃y(x + y = 0), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement ∃x∀y(x ≥ y), with the domain of discourse Z<sup>+</sup> × Z<sup>+</sup>. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement  $\exists x \exists y ((x > 1) \land (y > 1) \land (xy = 6))$ , with the domain of discourse  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Is this true or false?

Arguments 00	Quantifiers 00000	Nested Quantifiers	Examples	Exercises
EXAMPLES	3			

- Restate  $\forall m \exists n (m < n)$  in words. The domain of discourse is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . There is no greatest integer.
- Write the assertion "Everybody loves somebody" symbolically, letting L(x, y) be the statement "x loves y". ∀x∃yL(x, y).
- Onsider the statement
   ∀x∀y((x > 0) ∧ (y > 0) → (x + y > 0)), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false? True.
- Gensider the statement ∀x∃y(x + y = 0), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false? True.
- 6 Consider the statement ∃x∀y(x ≥ y), with the domain of discourse Z<sup>+</sup> × Z<sup>+</sup>. Is this true or false?
- 6 Consider the statement  $\exists x \exists y ((x > 1) \land (y > 1) \land (xy = 6))$ , with the domain of discourse  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Is this true or false?

Arguments 00	Quantifiers 00000	Nested Quantifiers	Examples	Exercises
EXAMPLES	3			

- Restate  $\forall m \exists n (m < n)$  in words. The domain of discourse is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . There is no greatest integer.
- Write the assertion "Everybody loves somebody" symbolically, letting L(x, y) be the statement "x loves y". ∀x∃yL(x, y).
- Onsider the statement
   ∀x∀y((x > 0) ∧ (y > 0) → (x + y > 0)), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false? True.
- Gensider the statement ∀x∃y(x + y = 0), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false? True.
- 6 Consider the statement ∃x∀y(x ≥ y), with the domain of discourse Z<sup>+</sup> × Z<sup>+</sup>. Is this true or false? False.
- 6 Consider the statement  $\exists x \exists y ((x > 1) \land (y > 1) \land (xy = 6))$ , with the domain of discourse  $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Is this true or false?

Arguments 00	Quantifiers 00000	NESTED QUANTIFIERS	Examples	Exercises
EXAMPLES	5			

- Restate  $\forall m \exists n (m < n)$  in words. The domain of discourse is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . There is no greatest integer.
- Write the assertion "Everybody loves somebody" symbolically, letting L(x, y) be the statement "x loves y". ∀x∃yL(x, y).
- Onsider the statement
   ∀x∀y((x > 0) ∧ (y > 0) → (x + y > 0)), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false? True.
- Gensider the statement ∀x∃y(x + y = 0), with the domain of discourse ℝ × ℝ. Is this true or false? True.
- 6 Consider the statement ∃x∀y(x ≥ y), with the domain of discourse Z<sup>+</sup> × Z<sup>+</sup>. Is this true or false? False.
- G Consider the statement ∃x∃y((x > 1) ∧ (y > 1) ∧ (xy = 6)), with the domain of discourse Z<sup>+</sup> × Z<sup>+</sup>. Is this true or false? True.

## OUTLINE

# • Arguments and Rules

## OF INFERENCE

Arguments Rules of inference

#### **2** QUANTIFIERS

Propositional function

Universal quantifier Existential quantifier De Morgan's Laws Rules of Inference NESTED QUANTIFIERS EXAMPLES

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

**6** Exercises



æ

Arguments 00	Quantifiers 00000	NESTED QUANTIFIERS	Examples	Exercises
Exercise	S			

Determine the truth values of each of the following, where the domain of discourse is  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ :

 $\begin{array}{c} \textcircled{0} \quad \forall x \forall y (x^{2} + y^{2} \geq 0) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \forall x \exists y (x^{2} + y^{2} \geq 0) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \exists x \exists y (x^{2} + y^{2} \geq 0) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \exists x \exists y (x^{2} + y^{2} \geq 0) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \forall x \forall y ((x < y) \rightarrow (x^{2} < y^{2})) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \forall x \exists y ((x < y) \rightarrow (x^{2} < y^{2})) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \exists x \exists y ((x < y) \rightarrow (x^{2} < y^{2})) \\ \textcircled{0} \quad \exists x \exists y ((x < y) \rightarrow (x^{2} < y^{2})) \\ \end{array}$ 

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

