Zero ann R, S=-R $\int_{\mathcal{S}} \int_{\mathcal{S}}$ 5, d_1 4. 5 (5) 4-2 (4) 2----4---edendent (2) $S_1 \leq S_4$, S = -R, no S_1 better 3 Sz = Jz, S=-R, so Sz better () d1 > d3 5 d, Zde Remaining: d, 4 5 Reger I has nochaice Playes IF plays of, Stategry (d, , S,) reads: (4, -4)

W7 - L1

W7 - L1 Ex Hand goune Player II's moves: 1 coin in his left hand (L) 2 coins in his right hand (R). LR Player II hides coinis) $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} L$ $0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} R$ PEngerI clumes - no dominent moves - not separable (see below) Reward (far I) FOUI: -A - Player I could min loss by putting I coin in left hand (L). Flax Boss = 1. Reasonable, but player could guess, so Incentive to play RZ All depends on information available Apriori, can only guarantee max logs of 1 - Player I may want to max goin by using R. but if Player II guenes that will get 0. A priori, canonly guarantee won't lose anything. Want strategies that do not depend on available information. Key idea introduce probability distribution for moves "mix strategy Hayer I P(L) = 1-p, P(R) = p

Expected rewards? Player I pure strategies (for now) $\Rightarrow \quad \text{Expected gain} = (1-p) \cdot 0 + p \cdot 2 = 2p$ for Player I Player I plays L (pure) Expected gain = $(1-p) \cdot 1 + p \cdot 0 = 1-p$ for Player II If I knew P, he would play min (2p, 1-p). I knows this and therefore chooses p that maximises min(2p, 1-p) 2 /2 p 1 /2 p 2p = 1-p(5) $p = \frac{1}{3}$ -p expected loss for I = min (2. 5, 1-5) Wim(2p,1-p) = 2/3 Hence, I using mixed startegy with P=3 ensures expected payoff Z/3 (compare to 0) Same argument for I playing mild with P(L)=1-q, P(R)=q

key

ilion

Playes I put R:

$$\Rightarrow$$
 expected gain = (1-q).0+ q.2 = 2q
Player I pure L:
 \Rightarrow expected gain = (1-q).1+ q.0 = 1-q
Max min(2q, 1-q)
 9
 \Rightarrow q = $\frac{1}{3}$, expected gain far I = $\frac{2}{3}$
Value of the game is $\frac{2}{3}$.
(Also fair price to play is $\frac{2}{3}$ s)