Local Sequential Monte Carlo

Adam M. Johansen and Arnaud Doucet

University of Warwick
a.m.johansen@warwick.ac.uk
www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/statistics/staff/academic/johansen/

March 28th, 2011
Lille Workshop on Filtering, MCMC and ABC

Outline

- Background
  - Hidden Markov Models / State Space Models
  - Particle Filters / Sequential Monte Carlo
  - Block Sampling
- Local SMC
  - Motivation
  - Formulation
- Examples
  - A Toy Linear Gaussian Model
  - Stochastic Volatility

The Structure of the Problem



Hidden Markov Models / State Space Models



- Unobserved Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ transition f.
- Observed process $\{Y_n\}$ conditional density g.
- Density:

$$p(x_{1:n}, y_{1:n}) = f_1(x_1)g(y_1|x_1)\prod_{i=2}^{n} f(x_i|x_{i-1})g(y_i|x_i).$$

Motivating Examples

- Tracking, e.g. ACV Model:
  - States: $x_n = [s_n^x \; u_n^x \; s_n^y \; u_n^y]^T$
  - Dynamics: $x_n = Ax_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_n^x \\ u_n^x \\ s_n^y \\ u_n^y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_{n-1}^x \\ u_{n-1}^x \\ s_{n-1}^y \\ u_{n-1}^y \end{bmatrix} + \epsilon_n$$

  - Observation: $y_n = Bx_n + \nu_n$

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_n^x \\ r_n^y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s_n^x \\ u_n^x \\ s_n^y \\ u_n^y \end{bmatrix} + \nu_n$$

- Stochastic Volatility, e.g.:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_i|x_{i-1}) &= \mathcal{N}\left(\phi x_{i-1}, \sigma^2\right) \\ g(y_i|x_i) &= \mathcal{N}\left(0, \beta^2 \exp(x_i)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Formal Solutions

- Filtering: Prediction and Update Recursions:

$$p(x_n|y_{1:n-1}) = \int p(x_{n-1}|y_{1:n-1}) f(x_n|x_{n-1}) dx_{n-1}$$

$$p(x_n|y_{1:n}) = \frac{p(x_n|y_{1:n-1}) g(y_n|x_n)}{\int p(x'_n|y_{1:n-1}) g(y_n|x'_n) dx'_n}$$

- Smoothing:

$$p(x_{1:n}|y_{1:n}) = \frac{p(x_{1:n-1}|y_{1:n-1}) f(x_n|x_{n-1}) g(y_n|x_n)}{\int g(y_n|x'_n) f(x'_n|x_{n-1}) p(x'_{n-1}|y_{1:n-1}) dx'_{n-1:n}}$$

The Monte Carlo Method

- Given a probability density, p,

$$I = \int_E \varphi(x)p(x)dx$$

- Simple Monte Carlo solution:
  - Sample $X_1, \ldots, X_N \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} p$.
  - Estimate $\widehat{I} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi(X_i)$.
- Can also be viewed as approximating $\pi(dx) = p(x)dx$ with

$$\widehat{\pi}^N(dx) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{X_i}(dx).$$

Importance Sampling

- Given q, such that
  - $p(x) > 0 \Rightarrow q(x) > 0$
  - and $p(x)/q(x) < \infty$,

  define $w(x) = p(x)/q(x)$ and:

$$I = \int \varphi(x)p(x)dx = \int \varphi(x)w(x)q(x)dx.$$

- This suggests the estimator:
  - Sample $X_1, \ldots, X_N \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} q$.
  - Estimate $\widehat{I} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w(X_i)\varphi(X_i)$.
- Can also be viewed as approximating $\pi(dx) = p(x)dx$ with

$$\widehat{\pi}^N(dx) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w(X_i)\delta_{X_i}(dx).$$

Self-Normalised Importance Sampling

- Often, p is known only up to a normalising constant.
- As $\mathbb{E}_q(Cw\varphi) = C\mathbb{E}_p(\varphi)\ldots$
- If $v(x) = Cw(x)$, then

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}_q(v\varphi)}{\mathbb{E}_q(v\mathbf{1})} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_q(Cw\varphi)}{\mathbb{E}_q(Cw\mathbf{1})} = \frac{C\mathbb{E}_p(\varphi)}{C\mathbb{E}_p(\mathbf{1})} = \mathbb{E}_p(\varphi).$$

- Estimate the numerator and denominator with the same sample:

$$\widehat{I} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} v(X_i)\varphi(X_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} v(X_i)}.$$

Importance Sampling in The HMM Setting

- Given $p(x_{1:n}|y_{1:n})$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.
- Choose $q_n(x_{1:n}) = q_n(x_n|x_{1:n-1})q_{n-1}(x_{1:n-1})$.
- Weight:

$$\begin{aligned} w_n(x_{1:n}) &\propto \frac{p(x_{1:n}|y_{1:n})}{q_n(x_n|x_{1:n-1})q_{n-1}(x_{1:n-1})} \\ &= \frac{p(x_{1:n}|y_{1:n})}{q_n(x_n|x_{1:n-1})p(x_{1:n-1}|y_{1:n-1})} w_{n-1}(x_{1:n-1}) \\ &\propto \frac{f(x_n|x_{n-1})g(y_n|x_n)}{q_n(x_n|x_{n-1})} w_{n-1}(x_{1:n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Sequential Importance Sampling – Prediction & Update

- A first "particle filter":
  - Simple default: $q_n(x_n|x_{n-1}) = f(x_n|x_{n-1})$.
  - Importance weighting becomes:

$$w_n(x_{1:n}) = w_{n-1}(x_{1:n-1}) \times g(y_n|x_n)$$

- Algorithmically, at iteration n:
  - Given $\{W^i_{n-1}, X^i_{1:n-1}\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$:
    - Sample $X^i_n \sim f(\cdot|X^i_{n-1})$ *(prediction)*
    - Weight $W^i_n \propto W^i_{n-1} g(y_n|X^i_n)$ *(update)*

- Actually:
  - Better proposals exist...
  - but even they aren't good enough.

Resampling

- Stabilisation of importance weights.
- Given $\{W_n^i, X_n^i\}$:
  - Draw $\{\widetilde{X}_n^i\}$ such that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varphi(\widetilde{X}_n^i)\middle|\sigma(\{W_n^j, X_n^j\}_{j=1}^n)\right] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_n^i\varphi(X_n^i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_n^i}$$

  - Replace $\{W_n^i, X_n^i\}_{i=1}^N$ with $\{\frac{1}{N}, \widetilde{X}_n^i\}_{i=1}^N$.
- Simplest approach (multinomial) resampling:

$$\widetilde{X}_n^i \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n W_n^j\delta_{X_n^j}}{\sum_{j=1^n} W_n^j}$$

- Lower variance options preferable.

Sequential Importance Resampling

- Algorithmically, at iteration n:
  - Given $\{W_{n-1}^i, X_{1:n-1}^i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$:
  - **Resample**, obtaining $\{1/N, \widetilde{X}_{1:n-1}^i\}$.
    - Sample $X_n^i \sim q_n(\cdot|\widetilde{X}_{n-1}^i)$
    - Weight $W_n^i \propto \frac{f(X_n^i|\widetilde{X}_{n-1}^i)g(y_n|X_n^i)}{q_n(X_n^i|\widetilde{X}_{n-1}^i)}$

- Actually:
  - Resample efficiently.
  - Only resample when necessary.

Iteration 2



Iteration 3



Iteration 4



Iteration 5



Iteration 6



Iteration 7



Iteration 8



Iteration 9



Iteration 10



Block Sampling: An Idealised Approach

At time n, given $x_{1:n-1}$; discard $x_{n-L+1:n-1}$:

- Sample from $q(x_{n-L+1:n}|x_{n-L}, y_{n-L+1:n})$.
- Weight with

$$W(x_{1:n}) = \frac{p(x_{1:n}|y_{1:n})}{p(x_{1:n-L}|y_{1:n-1})q(x_{n-L+1:n}|x_{n-L}, y_{1:n-L+1:n})}$$

- Optimally,

$$q(x_{n-L+1:n}|x_{n-L}, y_{n-L+1:n}) = p(x_{n-L+1:n}|x_{n-L}, y_{n-L+1:n})$$
$$W(x_{1:n}) \propto \frac{p(x_{1:n-L}|y_{1:n})}{p(x_{1:n-L}|y_{1:n-1})} = p(y_n|x_{1:n-L}, y_{n-L+1:n-1})$$

- Typically intractable; auxiliary variable approach in [4].

Particle MCMC

- MCMC algorithms which employ SMC proposals [1]
- SMC algorithm as a collection of RVs
  - Values
  - Weights
  - Ancestral Lines
- Construct MCMC algorithms:
  - With many auxiliary variables
  - *Exactly* invariant for distribution on extended space
  - Standard MCMC arguments justify strategy

- Does this suggest anything about SMC?
- Can something similar help with smoothing?

Ancestral Trees



$a_3^1 = 1 \qquad a_3^4 = 3 \qquad a_2^1 = 1 \qquad a_2^4 = 3$

$b_{3,1:3}^2 = (1,1,2) \quad b_{3,1:3}^4 = (3,3,4) \quad b_{3,1:3}^6 = (4,5,6)$

SMC Distributions

We'll need:

$$\psi_{n,L}^{M}\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{n-L+2:n}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{n-L+1:n}, \overline{k}; x_{n-L}\right)$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^{M} q(\overline{x}_{n-L+1}^{i} \,|\, x_{n-L})\right] \prod_{p=n-L+2}^{n} \left[r(\overline{\mathbf{a}}_p|\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{p-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{M} q\left(\overline{x}_p^i|\overline{x}_{p-1}^{\overline{a}_p^i}\right)\right] r(\overline{k}|\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{n}})$$

and

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{n,L}^{M}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{n-L+2:n}^{\ominus k}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n-L+1:n}^{\ominus k}; x_{n-L} \,\middle|\middle|\, \widetilde{b}_{n-L+1:n-1}^{k}, k, \widetilde{x}_{n-L+1:n}^{k}\right)$$

$$= \frac{\psi_{n,L}^{M}(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{n-L+2:n}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n-L+1:n}, k; x_{n-L})}{q\left(\widetilde{x}_{n-L+1}^{\widetilde{b}_{n,n-L+1}^{k}}|x_{n-L}\right)\left[\prod_{p=n-L+2}^{n} r\left(\widetilde{b}_{n,p}^{k}|\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{\mathbf{p-1}}\right) q\left(\widetilde{x}_p^{\widetilde{b}_{n,p}^{k}}|\widetilde{x}_{p-1}^{\widetilde{b}_{n,p-1}^{n}}\right)\right] r(k|\widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_n)}$$

Toy Model: Linear Gaussian HMM

- Linear, Gaussian state transition:

$$f(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; x_{t-1}, 1)$$

- and likelihood

$$g(y_t|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(y_t; x_t, 1)$$

- Analytically: Kalman filter/smoother/etc.

- Simple bootstrap PF:
  - Proposal:

$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}, y_t) = f(x_t|x_{t-1})$$

  - Weighting:

$$W(x_{t-1}, x_t) \propto g(y_t|x_t)$$

  - Resample residually every iteration.

More than one SMC Algorithm?

- Standard approach:
  - Run an SIR algorithm with N particles.
  - Use
$$\pi_n^N(dx_{1:n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_n^i \delta_{X_{1:n}^i}(dx_{1:n}).$$
- A crude alternative:
  - Run $L = \lfloor N/M \rfloor$ algorithms with M particles.
  - Use
$$\pi_n^{M,l}(dx_{1:n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} W_n^{l,i} \delta_{X_{1:n}^{l,i}}(dx_{1:n}).$$
  - Guarantees L i.i.d. samples.
  - For small M their distribution may be poor.

Covariance Estimation: 1d Linear Gaussian Model



Local Particle Filtering: Current Trajectories



Local Particle Filtering: First Particle



Local Particle Filtering: SMC Proposal



Local Particle Filtering: CSMC Auxiliary Proposal



Local SMC

- Propose from:

$$\mathcal{U}_{1:M}^{\otimes n-1}(b_{1:n-2}, k)p(x_{1:n-1}|y_{1:n-1})\psi_{n,L}^{M}(\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{n-L+2:n}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{n-L+1:n}, \overline{k}; x_{n-L})$$
$$\widetilde{\psi}_{n-1,L-1}^{M}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{n-L+2:n-1}^{\ominus k}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n-L+1:n-1}^{\ominus k}; x_{n-L} \,||\, b_{n-L+2:n-1}, x_{n-L+1:n-1}\right)$$

- Target:

$$\mathcal{U}_{1:M}^{\otimes n}(b_{1:n-L}, \overline{b}_{n,n-L+1:n-1}^{\overline{k}}, \overline{k})p(x_{1:n-L}, \overline{x}_{n-L+1:n}^{\overline{b}_{n,n-L+1:n}^{\overline{k}}}|y_{1:n})$$
$$\widetilde{\psi}_{n,L}^{M}\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{n-L+2:n}^{\ominus \overline{k}}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{n-L+1:n}^{\ominus \overline{k}}; x_{n-L} \,\middle|\middle|\, \overline{b}_{n,n-L+1:n}^{\overline{k}}, \overline{x}_{n-L+1:n}^{\overline{b}_{n,n-L+1:n}^{\overline{k}}}\right)$$
$$\psi_{n-1,L-1}^{M}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{n-L+2:n-1}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{n-L+1:n-1}, k; x_{n-L}\right).$$

- Weight: $\bar{Z}_{n-L+1:n}/\widetilde{Z}_{n-L+1:n-1}$.

Key Identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\psi^{M}_{n,L}(\mathbf{a}_{n-L+2:n}, \mathbf{x}_{n-L+1:n}, k; x_{n-L})}{p(x_{n-L+1:n}|x_{n-L}, y_{n-L+1:n})\widetilde{\psi}^{M}_{n,L}(\mathbf{a}^{\ominus k}_{n-L+2:n}, \mathbf{x}^{\ominus k}_{n-L+1:n}, k; x_{n-L}\,||\ldots\,)} \\
&= \frac{q\left(x^{b^{k}_{n,n-L+1}}_{n-L+1}|x_{n-L}\right)\left[\prod_{p=n-L+2}^{n} r\left(b^{k}_{n,p}|\mathbf{w_{p-1}}\right) q\left(x_{p}^{b^{k}_{n,p}}|x_{p-1}^{b^{n}_{n,p-1}}\right)\right] r(k|\mathbf{w}_n)}{p(x_{n-L+1:n}|x_{n-L}, y_{n-L+1:n})} \\
&= \widehat{Z}_{n-L+1:n}/p(y_{n-L+1:n}|x_{n-L})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bootstrap Local SMC

- Top Level:
  - Local SMC proposal.
  - Stratified resampling when ESS$< N/2$.
- Local SMC Proposal:
  - Proposal:
$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}, y_t) = f(x_t|x_{t-1})$$
  - Weighting:
$$W(x_{t-1}, x_t) \propto \frac{f(x_t|x_{t-1})g(y_t|x_t)}{f(x_t|x_{t-1})} = g(y_t|x_t)$$
  - Resample multinomially every iteration.

Bootstrap Local SMC: M=100



Bootstrap Local SMC: M=1000



Bootstrap Local SMC: M=10000



Tuned Local SMC

- Top Level:
  - Local SMC proposal.
  - Stratified resampling when ESS$< N/2$.
- Local SMC Proposal:
  - Proposal:
$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}, y_t) = p(x_t|x_{t-1}, y_t)$$
  - Weighting:
$$W(x_{t-1}, x_t) \propto p(y_t|x_{t-1})$$
  - Resample residually every iteration.

Tuned Local SMC: M=100



Tuned Local SMC: M=1000



Tuned Local SMC: M=10000



Optimal Block Sampling



Stochastic Volatility Bootstrap Local SMC

- Model:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_i|x_{i-1}) =& \mathcal{N}\left(\phi x_{i-1}, \sigma^2\right) \\ g(y_i|x_i) =& \mathcal{N}\left(0, \beta^2 \exp(x_i)\right) \end{aligned}$$

- Top Level:
  - Local SMC proposal.
  - Stratified resampling when ESS$< N/2$.
- Local SMC Proposal:
  - Proposal:

$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}, y_t) = f(x_t|x_{t-1})$$

  - Weighting:

$$W(x_{t-1}, x_t) \propto \frac{f(x_t|x_{t-1})g(y_t|x_t)}{f(x_t|x_{t-1})} = g(y_t|x_t)$$

  - Resample residually every iteration.

SV Simulated Data



SV Bootstrap Local SMC: M=100



SV Bootstrap Local SMC: M=1000



SV Bootstrap Local SMC: M=10000



SV Exchange Rata Data



SV Bootstrap Local SMC: M=100



SV Bootstrap Local SMC: M=1000



SV Bootstrap Local SMC: M=10000



In Conclusion

- SMC can be used hierarchically.
- Software implementation is not difficult [5].
- Optimal block sampling can be approximated well:
  - Little specific tuning is *required*.
  - Minimizes need for resampling.
  - Robustness to outliers.
- The computational cost of this strategy is rather high.
- Parallel implementations are natural.

- Actually, similar techniques apply elsewhere [3, 2].

References

[1] C. Andrieu, A. Doucet, and R. Holenstein. Particle Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B*, 72(3): 269–342, 2010.

[2] N. Chopin, P. Jacob, and O. Papaspiliopoulos. SMC^2. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, 1101.1528, January 2011.

[3] P. Del Moral, A. Doucet, and A. Jasra. Sequential Monte Carlo samplers. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B*, 63(3): 411–436, 2006.

[4] A. Doucet, M. Briers, and S. Sénécal. Efficient block sampling strategies for sequential Monte Carlo methods. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 15(3):693–711, 2006.

[5] A. M. Johansen. SMCTC: Sequential Monte Carlo in C++. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 30(6):1–41, April 2009.

Thanks for Listening. Any questions?