Learning as *Space* **Implications for Organisational Learning**

Dr Elena P. Antonacopoulou

Manchester Business School
University of Manchester
Booth Street West
Manchester, M15 6PB
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (161) 275 6391

Direct line: +44 (161) 275 6365

Fax: +44 (161) 275 6598

EAntonacopoulou@man.mbs.ac.uk

Track: Academic

Abstract

Although the body of knowledge in relation to organisational learning is continuously growing, our understanding and interpretations of learning in business organisations continues to be confronted with several challenges. One such challenge, remains the way we seek to conceptualise learning and the conditions, which shape what, how, and why learning may or may not take place (Antonacopoulou, 2001). Whilst, existing empirical studies have informed our understanding of the motives and driving forces of learning and the resulting attitudes towards learning manifested by human agents (e.g. mathophobia and philomathia see Antonacopoulou, 1998; Park, 1994), we still impose some structures around which learning activity tends to be examined. For example, organisational practices seeking to promote and support learning, primarily in the form of training and development interventions, HRD policies and their practical manifestations, remain the main focus of organisational learning (Stuart 1984; Burgoyne & Hodgson, 1983). Other lines of enquiry into learning activity in organisations, have also been exploring the importance of actions, experience and reflection in relation to the practices of communities which have revealed further the cultural, social, power and political dynamics which shape learning (see Davies & Easterby-Smith, 1984; Hoberman & Mailick, 1992; Seibert & Daudelin, 1999).

Despite these developments however, issues such as *where* and *when* does learning take place are neglected aspects in organisation learning debates. This suggests that issues of time and space have been given scant attention even by studies, which claim to have a processual and contextual sensitivity to the study of learning within organisations.

Conceptions of time and space in much of the organisation studies literature often treat time as linear, drawing attention to cause and effect, improvements planned and measured on clock-time principles. Moreover, space is treated as stable and uniform amenable to rational ordering (Kern, 1983; Harvey, 1990; Clark, 1990). It is noticeable, how the development of technologies has allowed a collapsing and compressing of time and space, as indeed reflected by the pace of life the tendency to account for every second in measuring 'productivity'. The impact of such conceptions of *time* and *space* reflect a new emphasis on organization based on *synchronization* and *speed* of change. If one accepts the tendency to treat time as a currency (time as money) and space as something to be owned, controlled and exploited, one begins to wonder what impact such conceptions have on organizational learning.

This paper explores the multiple issues that emerge when one locates learning in time and space. Locating learning in time and space opens up a wide spectrum of possibilities for readdressing both our language and understanding of learning, organizing and their relationship. It is argued that time and space are central aspects of learning, that can provide further insights into the situated, relational and temporal nature of learning. The analysis will suggest that learning itself can be conceptualised as *space*, both in terms of the freedom in provides and the organizing qualities it entails in the process of developing knowledge and understanding. Conceptualising learning as *organizing temporal space*, reveals further the social ordering that shapes organizational learning and raises several implications for the way organisational learning may be supported, particularly if learning remains mainly a real-time activity and one that is sought to be timetabled (through structured training interventions).

References

- Antonacopoulou, E.P. (1998), "Developing Learning Managers within Learning Organisations", in M. Easterby-Smith, L. Araujo and J. Burgoyne (Eds.) *Organisational Learning and the Learning Organisation: Developments in Theory and Practice*, Sage, London, pp. 214-242.
- Antonacopoulou, E.P. (2001), "Revisiting the *How, What* and *Why* of Managerial Learning: Some new evidence", *Working paper*, Manchester Business School.
- Burgoyne, J. & Hodgson, V.E. (1983), National Learning And Managerial Action: A Phenomenological Study In The Field Setting, *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 20, No. 3, 387-399.
- Clark, P. (1990) 'Chronological Codes and Organizational Analysis', in J. Hassard and D. Pym (eds.) *The Theory and Philosophy of Organizations: Critical Issues and New Perspectives*. London: Routledge.
- Davies, J. & Easterby-Smith, M. (1984), Learning and Developing From Managerial Work Experiences, *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 21, No. 2, 169-198.
- Harvey, D. (1990) 'The Time and Space of the Enlightenment in D. Harvey, *The Condition of Postmodernity: An Enquiry into the Origins of Cultural Change*, Oxford: Blackwell.

- Hoberman, S. & Mailick, S. (1992), *Experiential Management Development: From Learning to Practice*, Westport, Connecticut: Quorum Books.
- Kern S. (1983) *The Culture of Space and Time 1880-1918*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, Mass.
- Park, A. (1994), Individual Commitment To Life Time Learning: Individuals' Attitudes, Report On The Quantitative Survey, *Employment Department, Research Series*, No. 32, July.
- Seibert, K.W. & Daudelin, M.W. (1999), *The Role of Reflection in Managerial Learning: Theory, Research and Practice*, Westport, Connecticut: Quorum Books.
- Stuart, R. (1984), Frameworks For The Practice Of Learning Interventions, in Cox & Beck (Eds), *Management Development: Advances In Practice And Theory*, 187-206, John Wiley, UMIST, UK.