

The University of Warwick Pension Scheme –
DB Section

**Annual Implementation
Statement (forming part of
the Trustee’s report) –
Scheme Year ending 31
March 2022**

Table of Contents

1: Introduction and summary	3
2: SIP reviews/changes over the year	4
3: Adherence to the SIP	5
4: Voting and engagement	11

1: Introduction and summary

This document is the Defined Benefit (“DB”) Annual Implementation Statement (“the Statement”), prepared by the Trustee of The University of Warwick Pension Scheme (the “Scheme”), corresponding to the Scheme’s DB Statement of Investment Principles (“SIP”), and covering the year from 1 April 2021 to 31 March 2022 (“the Scheme Year”).

The purpose of this Statement is to:

- Detail any reviews of the SIP the Trustee undertook over the Scheme Year, including the reasons for any changes made to the SIP over the year – see Section 2.
- Set out the extent to which, in the opinion of the Trustee, the Scheme’s SIP was followed during the Scheme Year – see Section 3. In summary, the Trustee considers that all the SIP policies and principles were adhered to during the year.
- Describe the voting behaviour by, or on behalf of, the Trustee over the Scheme Year – see Section 4.

A copy of this Statement will be made available on the following website:

<https://warwick.ac.uk/services/humanresources/internal/rewardandbenefits/corebenefits/pensions/ups>

The Scheme makes use of a wide range of investments; therefore, the principles and policies in the SIP are intended to be applied in aggregate and proportionately, focusing on areas of maximum impact.

To ensure that investment policies set out in the SIP are taken by persons or organisations with the skills, information and resources necessary to take them effectively, the Trustee delegates some responsibilities to the Investment Sub Committee (“ISC”) and the Delegated Chief Investment Officer (“DCIO”). These responsibilities are set out in more detail in the SIP, but are mainly:

- **ISC:** Assisting the Trustee in developing an appropriate overall investment strategy (return target and risk budget) and the ongoing monitoring of the investment strategy and the activity and performance of the DCIO.
- **DCIO:** The Trustee has appointed a DCIO, Towers Watson Limited, to manage the Scheme’s assets. The Trustee has set the DCIO specific objectives and parameters within a bespoke Fiduciary Management Agreement, including the Scheme’s investment objectives and asset allocation limits. The Trustee believes in diversification and the Scheme’s portfolio is built using a diverse range of return-seeking and cashflow matching assets, as well as a dedicated allocation to liability driven investments which seek to match the sensitivity of the Scheme’s liabilities to inflation and interest rates. The DCIO is responsible for implementing the Trustee’s agreed investment strategy, determining the asset allocation, selecting and de-selecting investment managers and reflecting Sustainable Investment (“SI”) considerations throughout the investment process. The DCIO considers the policies and principles set out in the Trustee’s SIP in addition to the specific Fiduciary Management investment guidelines set by the Trustee.

2: SIP reviews/changes over the year

The version of the SIP in place at the start of the Scheme Year was dated September 2020. This had remained in place during the course of the Scheme Year. The Trustee reviewed the SIP towards the end of the Scheme year, but only minor changes were made and were effective after the Scheme Year end. Therefore, this statement specifically focuses on the SIP agreed in September 2020.

3: Adherence to the SIP

Below sets out the actions the Trustee has taken over the Scheme Year to adhere to the policies in the SIP and the ongoing monitoring of the policies as they are set out in the SIP.

Section 1: Introduction

This section provides the relevant introductory and background comments rather than setting out any policies.

Section 2: Division of responsibilities

This section primarily sets out the investment governance structure and responsibilities of the key parties in relation to the investment strategy and ongoing management of the Scheme's investments. Over the Scheme Year the Trustee:

- Held 3 ISC meetings - the topics covered include:
 - A review of the risks and opportunities from investing in China and the energy transition.
 - Portfolio evolution considerations given the Scheme's strategic direction, including:
 - Expectations and next steps for considering buy-ins and other longevity hedging arrangements
 - The role of cashflow matching assets
 - Alternative investment approaches available for the return-seeking portfolio
 - An in-depth sustainable investment review.
- Held 4 Trustee meetings and received updates from the DCIO and Scheme Actuary on the investment performance, progression of the Scheme's funding position and the outcomes from the ISC meetings.
- Received training on the following topics:
 - Sustainable investment
 - Cost and charges reporting
 - Cessation of LIBOR
 - Carbon Credits
 - The implications of a run-off approach for the investment portfolio
 - The Pension Schemes Act 2021
 - Pension scams
 - Preparation for the actuarial valuation at 31 March 2022
 - The Pensions Regulator annual DB funding statement
 - Consultation on the revised DB funding code of practice
 - GMP equalisation
- Reviewed the objectives it had set the DCIO and agreed to add an objective around the provision of Trustee training as required.
- Evaluated the performance of the DCIO against the objectives set and its broader performance as DCIO and concluded that it had met all of the objectives and had performed to a satisfactory standard.

The DCIO monitored the Scheme's underlying investment managers and Global Custodian and Performance Measurer on an ongoing basis.

Section 3: Long-term objectives and investment strategy

Over the Scheme Year, the Trustee did not alter the long-term objectives or investment strategy for the Scheme.

Ongoing monitoring of the Scheme's investment strategy and asset allocation

- On a quarterly basis, the Trustee reviewed the Scheme's portfolio and performance of the DCIO via quarterly investment reports and updates from the DCIO covering:
 - Commentary on performance and portfolio changes
 - Performance of the underlying assets
 - Funding position of the Scheme
 - Risk and return statistics of the portfolio
 - Asset allocation
 - The DCIO's adherence to the investment guidelines set by the Trustee (No breaches were reported during the year).
- In order to ensure appropriate incentivisation and alignment of decision-making between the Trustee and the DCIO, the DCIO is subject to a number of obligations set out in its contractual arrangements with the Trustee and the DCIO is aware of and gives effect to the principles set out in the Trustee's SIP. The DCIO acted in accordance with these obligations throughout the year.
- The Trustee's investment strategy seeks to outperform a benchmark based on a projection of the Scheme's liability cashflows. The liability benchmark is updated following each actuarial valuation, and when there is any significant change to the structure of the Scheme's liabilities. The benchmark was last updated following the actuarial valuation in 2019.
- The DCIO monitored and reported on the Scheme's investments and managers on a regular basis to ensure that the investment strategy remained consistent with the Scheme's objectives.
- The DCIO monitored and reported on manager performance relative to an appropriate market benchmark where one was available or an appropriate return objective where a market benchmark was not available. In addition, the DCIO assessed the performance of the Scheme's investment managers relative to peers and in the context of the prevailing market environment.
- The Trustee has implemented a dynamic risk management framework whereby the Scheme's funding position is monitored relative to agreed upside and downside triggers which are used to indicate if the Scheme is sufficiently ahead of or behind the journey plan to warrant reviewing or changing the Scheme's investment strategy. On a daily basis, using its proprietary software, Asset Liability Suite, the DCIO tracked an estimate of the Scheme's funding level relative to the Journey Plan and upside and downside triggers. No triggers were hit during the Scheme Year.
- The Trustee believes in diversification and the Scheme's portfolio is built using a diverse range of return-seeking and cashflow matching assets, as well as a dedicated allocation to liability driven investments which seek to match the sensitivity of the Scheme's liabilities to inflation and interest rates, in line with the policies set out in the SIP. Throughout the year, implementation of this strategy including the realisation of investments was delegated to the DCIO who managed the balance of these investments.
- The DCIO acted within guidelines set by the Trustee including asset allocation, manager and geographical diversification, and foreign currency exposure. No changes were made to the guidelines during the year.

- The Trustee considers that the balance of investments held and the approach to managing risk is in the best interests of members in order to mitigate downside risk to the funding position of the Scheme whilst helping the Scheme to achieve its ultimate objective over an appropriate time horizon.

Section 4: Other investment policies

As set out above, the Trustee has delegated responsibility to the DCIO (within agreed investment guidelines and in accordance with the Trustee's SIP) to implement the Trustee's agreed investment strategy, including making certain decisions about investments in compliance with Sections 34 and 36 of the Pensions Act 1995. As such the DCIO is also responsible for:

- Choosing investments – including the selection and deselection of investments, and the ongoing management of relationships with asset managers.
- Sustainable and responsible investment – i.e. how Environmental, Social and Governance (“ESG”) factors are allowed for in the portfolio in terms of both capital allocation and stewardship.
- Managing portfolio liquidity relative to the Scheme's requirements.

Choosing investments

- The DCIO considered past performance as one of several inputs into the assessment of investment managers, which relies predominantly on research views based on a range of qualitative and quantitative factors, including the consideration of SI/ESG factors as outlined below. Whilst there were some changes to the underlying investment managers, no managers were terminated based on short term performance alone. Consistent with the Scheme's long investment time horizon, the Trustee seeks to be a long-term investor and the DCIO has appointed managers (in the majority of cases) with the expectation of a long-term relationship. This in turn allows investment managers to take a longer-term approach to investing, including engagement with issuers of debt and equity, with a view to improving investment outcomes over the long term.
- As part of its manager selection and ongoing oversight processes, the DCIO considers the level of fees and the type of fee structures used by each manager (including a consideration of the alignment of interests created by certain fee structures). The DCIO considers a number of factors including the asset class / investment strategy, the way in which the strategy is implemented (e.g. active management or passive benchmark tracking), and fee benchmarking relative to peers. The majority of the Scheme's investment managers were paid an ad valorem fee, in line with normal market practice, for a given scope of services which includes consideration of long-term factors and engagement. The exceptions to this were in Secure Income Assets (SIAs) where some of the underlying managers' remuneration was partly based on performance over an appropriate time horizon. The use of performance fees for these types of investments (where manager skill is a key driver of expected returns) is quite common. The Trustee and DCIO recognise the incentives created by such fee structures and are comfortable with them given the highly active nature of these individual strategies, and in the context of the Scheme's wider investment portfolio where the aggregate use of these fee structures is limited.
- During the Scheme Year, the DCIO reviewed and reported to the Trustee on the total fees and costs incurred by the Scheme through its investments. As part of its review and reporting on the Scheme's costs, the DCIO also reported to the Trustee on the costs associated with portfolio turnover. The Trustee and DCIO were comfortable that portfolio costs, including those associated with turnover, were consistent with expectations relative to the underlying investments. The DCIO periodically monitors and assesses the level of portfolio turnover within the investment strategies invested to ensure the level is appropriate for the mandate.

- The DCIO's process for selecting, monitoring and de-selecting managers explicitly and formally includes an assessment of a manager's approach to SI (recognising that the degree to which these factors are relevant to any given strategy is a function of time horizon, investment style, philosophy and exposures). Where ESG factors are considered to be particularly influential to outcomes, the DCIO engages with investment managers to improve their processes. Some examples of the impact these policies have had on the portfolio are disclosed in the next section.

Responsible investing, stewardship and sustainability

- Following the 2021 SI review, a subsequent ISC meeting took place in the current Scheme Year which explored the implications of the DCIO's SI policies on the portfolio and how SI considerations are taken into account when investing in certain geographies.
- In February 2022, the ISC carried out a detailed review of the DCIO's approach to Environmental, Social and Governance ("ESG") issues and how these are being incorporated by the DCIO into the portfolio. The review included:
 - A review of the Trustee's current SI policy to ensure it remains appropriate with proposed changes put to the ISC
 - The importance of sustainable investing and integrating ESG factors
 - Training on the latest SI regulations affecting the Scheme
 - How the DCIO integrates sustainability and ESG into its ongoing process, including manager selection and research, portfolio construction and asset research
 - An assessment of the underlying investment managers and how sustainability and ESG factors are applied in the process for the managing of the assets. The majority of the Scheme's applicable mandates were rated either positive or neutral by the DCIO on ESG and engagement metrics. Three of the Scheme's managers held Weak ratings leading the DCIO to engage with the respective managers to improve on the relevant criteria before reviewing the position in 12 months time
 - An overview of the Scheme's portfolio applying an ESG lens, including the following specific portfolio examples that have a focus on ESG:
 - Various assets held as part of the Secure Income Assets layer across areas such as renewable energy, social housing and public transport.
 - A carbon credits strategy invested as part of the Scheme's Diversifying Strategies layer which takes part in the pricing mechanism for emitting carbon emissions in the local market
 - A review of the EOS at Federated Hermes public policy engagement activity on behalf of the Scheme
 - A look ahead at the future initiatives and work being carried out by the DCIO which is expected to impact the Scheme, including Carbon Journey Planning and new metrics which are better at capturing climate transition risk
 - A walkthrough of the new SI reporting scorecard which provides the Trustee with a snapshot of the portfolio's SI credentials and exposures, including climate related exposures in line with the recommendations of the Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosures.
- The ISC confirmed it was satisfied with the approach being taken by the DCIO on ESG and so was aligned with the Trustee's policies.
- Over the Scheme Year the Trustee did not make any investment decisions based on non-financial matters.
- The Trustee expects that the annual communication to members regarding ESG and stewardship will be addressed in the annual implementation statement. This document is a statutory report and will be produced and published on an annual basis alongside the Scheme's Annual Reports and Accounts.

Liquidity and realisation of investments

- As part of the investment guidelines, the Trustee has also set liquidity limits that the DCIO must adhere to. The Trustee has a policy to ensure that the Scheme's cashflow requirements can be readily met without disrupting its investments.
- Throughout the Scheme Year, the DCIO regularly monitored the level of cash in the Scheme, and cashflows into/out of the Scheme to ensure that there were sufficient assets in readily realisable investments to meet the Scheme's requirements without disrupting its investments.
- The Trustee monitored the liquidity of the Scheme's portfolio and cashflows into and out of the Scheme on a quarterly basis.
- The DCIO can make adjustments to the Scheme's allocation to cash when necessary within guidelines set by the Trustee.

Section 5: Risk management

Rather than setting out any policies, this section provides an overview of the broad range of risks recognised by the Trustee, risks which could ultimately lead to the Scheme accumulating insufficient assets to finance members benefits.

The Trustee has put in place an Integrated Risk Management Framework which seeks to identify, manage and monitor risks which could negatively impact the Scheme's ability to meet its funding objectives. This framework incorporates funding, covenant and investment factors and is ultimately used to help inform the Scheme's investment strategy. In relation to investment factors, the Trustee has identified a number of risks which it seeks to manage and monitor, in conjunction with the DCIO. Solvency and mismatch risk, investment manager risk, liquidity risk, and interest rate and inflation risks have been discussed above in the relevant sections on investment strategy and investment managers. The DCIO reported to the Trustee on each of these risks in meeting papers which were discussed at the Trustee's quarterly meetings.

The Trustee received an integrated investment and actuarial funding update on a quarterly basis at the Trustee meetings. It also received regular updates from the Sponsor to assess the level of ability and willingness of the Sponsor to support the continuation of contributions to the Scheme.

Currency risk was managed through the use of currency hedged share classes for relevant foreign currency denominated investments by the DCIO, managing the overall foreign currency exposure in line with the investment guidelines set by the Trustee. Throughout the year, the DCIO left a small proportion of the Scheme's foreign currency exposure unhedged for reasons of diversification and return generation. The DCIO monitored the Scheme's unhedged exposures on a regular basis and reported this to the Trustee as part of its quarterly meeting papers.

The Scheme's custodial risk from the custodian becoming insolvent was addressed by investing in a diversified range of reputable pooled funds which have been researched from an Operational Due Diligence perspective and where the Scheme's assets are held by separate custodians appointed by the managers. In addition, any uninvested cash was swept into a pooled cash fund at the custodian where the assets are held off the custodian's balance sheet. In addition, the DCIO's specialist research team reviews the custodian on a regular basis.

Political risk arising from political regimes and actions, particularly in less established/ more opaque markets, was managed throughout the year by maintaining a well-diversified portfolio by geography and managed within geographical constraints specified in the investment guidelines. The DCIO considers political risk when

determining whether to allocate capital to an investment and also in determining the relative sizing of an investment, as was the case when determining the allocation route and sizing made to Chinese equities in the portfolio. The Scheme held <0.2% in Russian-listed assets as at the end of February 2022 (the start of the Russia-Ukraine war).

4: Voting and engagement

The Trustee has delegated the day to day ESG integration and stewardship activities (including voting and engagement) to its investment managers. The Trustee has not set any specific guidelines around manager voting.

The Scheme is invested across a diverse range of asset classes which carry different ownership rights, for example fixed income whereby these holdings do not have voting rights attached. Therefore, voting information was requested from the Scheme's equity and listed real assets managers (as here there is a right to vote as an ultimate owner of a stock) across the following five pooled funds:

- Adaptive capped ESG equity (LGIM)
- Multi-Factor equity (LGIM)
- Listed global prime property (LGIM)
- Listed infrastructure (LGIM)
- China A shares equity (FSSA)

Legal & General Investment Management (“LGIM”)

The DCIO's view is that LGIM continues to demonstrate good/leading practice vs. peers, in particular in their willingness to take visible stances on topics they believe are important. This is supported by an effective approach to conflict management, high transparency, and effective communications. Some of LGIM's strengths in this area are displayed publicly through its climate impact pledge program and through leading collaborative engagement efforts. However, the DCIO continues to engage with LGIM on the level of stewardship team resourcing as well as pushing for better/more effective fixed income engagement.

LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses ISS's 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and LGIM do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. LGIM's use of ISS recommendations is purely to augment their own research and proprietary ESG assessment tools. The LGIM Investment Stewardship team also uses the research reports of Institutional Voting Information Services (IVIS) to supplement the research reports that they receive from ISS for UK companies when making specific voting decisions

To ensure LGIM's proxy provider votes in accordance with their position on ESG, they have put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions. These instructions apply to all markets globally and seek to uphold what the DCIO considers are minimum best practice standards which it believes all companies globally should observe, irrespective of local regulation or practice.

LGIM retain the ability in all markets to override any vote decisions, which are based on their custom voting policy. This may happen where engagement with a specific company has provided additional information (for example from direct engagement, or explanation in the annual report) that allows LGIM to apply a qualitative overlay to their voting judgement. LGIM have strict monitoring controls to ensure votes are fully and effectively executed in accordance with LGIM's voting policies by their service provider. This includes a regular manual check of the votes input into the platform, and an electronic alert service to inform LGIM of rejected votes which require further action.

FSSA Investment Managers (“FSSA”)

Corporate engagement and asset stewardship are a key part of the investment process for FSSA and has been a key part of the investment process across all of its investment strategies. The investment team’s long-term investment horizon, approach of investing in companies with strong governance structures and history and experience of investing in local markets, supports the view that they are well-equipped to engage with company management with a view to improving outcomes for minority shareholders. The DCIO views FSSA’s approach to SI as good.

FSSA uses Glass Lewis as its proxy advisor. The Head of each asset class or their authorised signatory is responsible for ensuring that all company resolutions are reviewed such that an appropriate and consistent recommendation is made in line with the corporate governance guidelines and principles as outlined in the Proxy Voting policy. Once the proxy voting intentions have been confirmed, they must communicate the decision to the Company Engagement team in an agreed format by the pre-advised cut-off date. FSSA will only vote in the best interests of its investors.

Manager voting data

The Trustee understands the importance of carrying out periodic reviews of the voting information and engagement policies of its investment managers to ensure they align with its own policies and principles. The table below provides a summary of the voting activity for the Scheme’s holdings over the year.

The Trustee delegates the exercise of voting rights to its investment managers. Voting activity is undertaken in line with the voting policy of the investment manager which is as noted above for the Scheme’s equity and real assets managers. The DCIO has assessed the investment manager’s voting policy as part of its overall assessment of the investment manager’s capabilities. The DCIO considered the policy to be appropriate, and consistent with the Trustee’s policies and objectives and ultimately therefore in the best financial interests of the members.

	Votable Resolutions	% of votable resolutions voted on	% of votes with management	% votes against management	% of votes abstained
Adaptive capped ESG equity (LGIM)	36,110	99.8	79.2	19.4	1.4
Multi-Factor equity (LGIM)	26,901	99.7	80.0	18.9	1.1
Listed infrastructure (LGIM)	1,106	100.0	83.4	16.4	0.2
Listed global prime property (LGIM)	1,052	100.0	84.8	15.0	0.2
China A shares equity (FSSA)	794	100.0	93.6	6.4	0.0

Significant Votes

The Trustee recognises there is a broad set of potential ESG risks for the Scheme. Votes are considered significant when one or more of the following apply:

- They are cast against the management recommendation
- The companies represent a meaningful holding
- They support proposals that are deemed to be important to the long-term value of the business and in the best interests of shareholders

The tables below give some examples of significant votes for the year to 31 March 2022:

Company name	AT&T
Date of vote	30-April-21
Summary of the resolution	Resolution 3 – Advisory Vote to Ratify Named Executive Officers' Compensation
How LGIM voted	LGIM voted against the resolution.
Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management. It is their policy not to engage with their investee companies in the three weeks prior to an AGM as their engagement is not limited to shareholder meeting topics
Rationale for the voting decision	LGIM identified serious issues with the structure and quantum of AT&T's executive remuneration. In particular, the \$48 million sign-on equity award to the incoming CEO of its Warner Media division and a \$9 million retention grant to the General Counsel. The awards and payments made by AT&T did not meet LGIM's expectations of fair and balanced remuneration both in respect to their magnitude and the lack of performance criteria.
Outcome of the vote	Failed. 51.7% of shareholders voted against the resolution.
Implications of the outcome e.g lessons learned and likely future steps in response to the outcome	LGIM will continue to seek to engage with the company and monitor progress

Company name	Apple Inc.
Date of vote	04-March-22
Summary of the resolution	This was a shareholder resolution for a Civil Rights audit and report.
How LGIM voted	LGIM voted FOR the resolution (against management).
Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Yes. LGIM engaged with the company prior to the annual meeting and communicated how they were likely to vote. More generally, LGIM publicly communicates its vote instructions on its website with the rationale for all votes against management.
Rationale for the voting decision	LGIM considered the issues in question to be a material risk to the company and its shareholders.
Outcome of the vote	Passed. 53.6% of the votes were in favour of the resolution.
Implications of the outcome e.g lessons learned and likely future steps in response to the outcome	LGIM will continue to engage with Apple on this topic to track what changes are made in response to this resolution and the effects of such changes.

Company name	Chaozhou Three-Circle (Group) Co.Ltd
Date of vote	02-June-21
Summary of the resolution	The company's eligibility for issuance of shares without preemptive rights.
How FSSA voted	FSSA voted against the resolution.
Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	No
Rationale for the voting decision	The discount being provided was deemed excessive and as such not in the interest of shareholders. Furthermore the size of the equity placement was deemed excessive.
Outcome of the vote	The total amount of funds raised in this issuance reduced to RMB 3.9Bn from the original target of 7.5Bn.

Implications of the outcome e.g lessons learned and likely future steps in response to the outcome	<p>FSSA are particularly stringent in their voting of governance matters. Small matters count, and FSSA feel there is always scope for their Chinese portfolio companies to become even better over time hence they will continue to push on this front.</p> <p>FSSA intend on communicating/engaging more with companies in future meetings on areas for improvement and on items they have voted against at AGMs.</p>
---	---

Industry wide / public policy engagement

In addition to the above, the DCIO has partnered with EOS to undertake public policy engagement on behalf of its clients (including the Trustee).

This public policy and market best practice engagement is done with legislators, regulators, industry bodies and other standard-setters to shape capital markets and the environment in which companies and their investors operate, a key element of which is risk related to climate change. The DCIO represents client policies/sentiment to EOS via the Client Advisory Council, of which WTW are currently the chair.

Engagement activities by EOS on public policy over the year included:

- Engagements with over 1,200 companies on a total of 4,154 issues and objectives representing assets under advice of \$1.64tn.
- 64 responses to consultations or proactive equivalents and 71 discussions with relevant regulators and stakeholders.
- Voting recommendations in relation to over 128,000 resolutions, with over 20,000 being against management.
- Active participation in a number of stewardship initiatives including Climate Action 100+, PRI, Investor Alliance for Human Rights and the International Corporate Governance Network.

The DCIO is also engaged in a number of industry wide initiatives and collaborative engagements including:

- Becoming a signatory to the 2020 UK Stewardship Code in the first wave;
- Co-founding the Net Zero Investment Consultants Initiative with eleven other investment consultants in 2021, with a commitment across its global Investment business
- Joining the Net Zero Asset Managers Initiative in 2021, committing 100% of its discretionary assets
- Being a signatory of the Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI) and active member of their Stewardship Advisory Committee;
- Being a member of and contributor to the Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC), Asian Investors Group on Climate Change (AIGCC), and Australasian Investors Group on Climate Change (IGCC);
- Founding the Coalition for Climate Resilient Investment (with the World Economic Forum);
- Co-founding the Investment Consultants Sustainability Working Group;
- Continuing to lead collaboration through the Thinking Ahead Institute and Willis Research Network.